## In the Matter Of: CHRISTY T. O'CONNELL

VS.

IRIS DOMINY, M.D., et al.

DANIEL SMALL, M.D. October 26, 2015 Case 1:14-cv-01339-JFM Document 76-8 Filed 08/03/16 Page 2 of 52

DANIEL SMALL, M.D. - 10/26/2015

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND 2 \_ \_ \_ \_ 3 4 CHRISTY T. O'CONNELL, : CIVIL ACTION NO. Plaintiff, : : 14-cv-1339 5 vs. : 6 IRIS DOMINY, M.D., et al., 7 Defendants 8 9 \_ \_ \_ \_ 10 Monday, October 26, 2015 11 Pretrial examination of DANIEL SMALL, M.D., held 12 in the offices of the Regus Conference Center, 13 100 Overlook Center, 2nd Floor, Princeton, New 14 Jersey 08540, commencing at 3:38 p.m., on the 15 above date, before Mickey Dinter, Registered 16 Professional Reporter, Certified Court Reporter 17 and Notary Public for the State of New Jersey. 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Washington, DC 1-800-292-4789 www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

Page 1

Pages 2..5

1	APPEARANCES:		Page 2	1	Page 4 DANIEL SMALL, M.D., 123
2				$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\2 \end{vmatrix}$	Franklin Corner Road, Lawrenceville, New
	SALSBURY, CLEMENTS & BEKMAN				
3	BY: EMILY C. MALARKEY, ESQ	UIRE		3	Jersey 08648, being first duly sworn/
4	300 West Pratt Street Baltimore, MD 21201			4	affirmed, was examined and testified as
	410.539.6633			5	follows:
5	malarkey@scbmalaw.com			6	BY MR. FOGELSON:
	Counsel for Plaintiff			7	Q. Dr. Small, my name is Matt Fogelson.
6				8	We spoke briefly. I represent Dr. Dominy.
7	VARNER & GOUNDRY BY: MATTHEW H. FOGELSON, E	COLLER		9	I'm sure you've had your deposition taken
8	121 East Patrick Street	SQUIKE		10	before, but I will run through the generic
	Frederick, MD 21701			11	deposition rules.
9	301.631.1800			12	First, for the benefit of the
	mfogelson@vglaw.com				court reporter, only one person can talk at
10	Counsel for Defendants			13	
11 12					a time. I ask that you wait until I'm
13				15	finished asking my question and I will try
14				16	to wait until you finish your answer before
15				17	I ask my next question.
16				18	Second, all answers have to
17 18				19	<i>v v</i>
19				20	as opposed to nodding your head. Again,
20				21	that's for the purpose so the court reporter
21				22	can take down your response.
22				23	If you don't hear me or you
23 24				24	don't understand one of my questions, let me
25				25	know and I will reask it. If you answer a
					-
1	INDEX		Page 3	1	Page 5
1 2			Page 3	1 2	question, I will assume that you understood
2	INDEX WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M	.D.	Page 3	2	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair?
		.D. PAGE 1		<b>2</b> 3	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand.
2 3 4		PAGE 1		2 3 4	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any
2 3	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON	PAGE 1	LINE	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Page 5</li> <li>question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I understand.</li> <li>Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M	PAGE 1	LINE	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Page 5</li> <li>question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair?</li> <li>A. Yes, I understand.</li> <li>Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you</li> </ul>
2 3 4	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON	PAGE 1	LINE 6	2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have
2 3 4 5 6	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS	PAGE 1 4 (	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled	PAGE 1 4 (	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do
2 3 4 5 6 7	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a	PAGE 1 4 (	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of	PAGE 1 4 (	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS	PAGE 1 4 G PAGE 1 28 I	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 G PAGE 1 28 I	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21	PAGE 1 4 G PAGE 1 28 I	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 G PAGE 1 28 I	LINE 6 LINE	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that you have?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that you have? A. No, it's not. It hasn't changed
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that you have? A. No, it's not. It hasn't changed materially in the last two years, but
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21 22	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that you have? A. No, it's not. It hasn't changed materially in the last two years, but there are minor changes. Basically, if
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	<b>2</b> 3 <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that you have? A. No, it's not. It hasn't changed materially in the last two years, but there are minor changes. Basically, if there's board certification through 2013,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	WITNESS: DANIEL SMALL, M BY MR. FOGELSON EXHIBITS DESCRIPTION Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice Bulletin titled Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion REQUESTS FOR DOCUMENTS PAGE LINE 12 21 15 14 QUESTIONS INSTRUCTED NOT PAGE LINE	PAGE 1 4 9 28 1 /ITEMS	LINE 6 LINE 12	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 177 18 19 20 21 22	Page 5 question, I will assume that you understood what it was I was asking, is that fair? A. Yes, I understand. Q. If you need to take a break for any reason, just let me know, that's fine. You're probably aware if you want to look at anything that you may have with you before an answer, that's fine, as we'll take whatever time you need to do that. Do you have a copy of your CV with you? A. No, I don't think I do. I think I'm rather familiar with it, though. Q. Okay. Not everybody is familiar with their own CV in my experience. I have one that's dated May 1st, 2013. Do you think that's the most up-to-date one that you have? A. No, it's not. It hasn't changed materially in the last two years, but there are minor changes. Basically, if

1-800-292-4789

Pages 6..9

	Deres 6		Dente 9
1	Page 6 through 2012 for the most part I'm still	1	Page 8 Minimally Invasiva Surgary
1	through 2013, for the most part, I'm still	1	Minimally Invasive Surgery.
2	on the same committees.	2	Q. Are there any other similar types of
3	Q. All right. I notice you have this,	3	entities that you used to belong to, but you
4	let's see, at least on this version, your	4	no longer do for any reason?
5	CV, on page 3, there are some publications.	5	A. I think at one point, I was a member
6	There's some clinical research experience.	6	of the American Association of Gynecological
7	Do any of those things on your CV, do you	7	Laparoscopy. I think by registering to get
		8	
8	know, have to do with any of the, directly		their publication, you became a member of
9	with any of the issues in this case?	9	the organization, but I certainly had no
10	A. Not whatsoever.	10	professional affiliation with other OB/GYN
11	Q. Okay. What states are you licensed	11	professional groups that I would consider
12	to practice medicine in at present?	12	to be significant.
13	A. New Jersey and Pennsylvania.	13	Q. Okay. For the two groups that you
14	Q. Have you been licensed in any other	14	have mentioned, I guess that you're presently
15	states in the past?	15	a member of, the first was ACOG, have you
16	A. No.	16	ever been the subject of any discipline or
17		17	investigation by those entities?
	Q. Have you ever had your license to		A. No.
18	practice medicine in New Jersey or Penn-	18	
19	sylvania suspended or revoked or adversely	19	Q. Have you ever had any action brought
20	acted upon in some fashion?	20	against you by either of those entities?
21	A. No.	21	A. No.
22	Q. And where do you have hospital	22	Q. Let's talk a little about your
23	privileges at present?	23	malpractice expert witness experience.
24	A. Capital Health Medical Center,	24	When did you first start
25	Hopewell, New Jersey.	25	reviewing cases as an expert in medical
			S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
1	Page 7	1	Page 9
1	Q. Anywhere else?	1	malpractice cases?
2	<b>Q. Anywhere else?</b> A. No.	2	malpractice cases? A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I
2 3	<b>Q. Anywhere else?</b> A. No. <b>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</b>	2 3	<b>malpractice cases?</b> A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I have done this for awhile, that I get asked
2 3 4	<b>Q. Anywhere else?</b> A. No.	2 3 4	malpractice cases? A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I
2 3	<b>Q. Anywhere else?</b> A. No. <b>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</b>	2 3	<b>malpractice cases?</b> A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I have done this for awhile, that I get asked
2 3 4	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<b>malpractice cases?</b> A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I have done this for awhile, that I get asked this kind of question with some frequency. I don't really know exactly when I started.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<b>malpractice cases?</b> A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I have done this for awhile, that I get asked this kind of question with some frequency. I don't really know exactly when I started. I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges, or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges, or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> <li>call them, similar society private</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> <li>A. By your question, I take it to mean</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> <li>call them, similar society private</li> <li>organizations that you joined or that aren't</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> <li>A. By your question, I take it to mean</li> <li>how many files or how many different</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> <li>call them, similar society private</li> <li>organizations that you joined or that aren't</li> <li>state-licensure bodies?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> <li>A. By your question, I take it to mean</li> <li>how many files or how many different</li> <li>individual matters that I'd be asked to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges, or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> <li>call them, similar society private</li> <li>organizations that you joined or that aren't</li> <li>state-licensure bodies?</li> <li>A. The only thing that might qualify</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> <li>A. By your question, I take it to mean</li> <li>how many files or how many different</li> <li>individual matters that I'd be asked to</li> <li>review? I would say, probably, thirty a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges,</li> <li>or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> <li>call them, similar society private</li> <li>organizations that you joined or that aren't</li> <li>state-licensure bodies?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> <li>A. By your question, I take it to mean</li> <li>how many files or how many different</li> <li>individual matters that I'd be asked to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. Anywhere else?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. And, I take it, you probably had</li> <li>privileges in other institutions in the past?</li> <li>A. I have.</li> <li>Q. All right. Have your hospital</li> <li>privileges where you now have privileges, or any hospital in the past where you may</li> <li>have had privileges or any other institution</li> <li>that grants privileges, have those ever been</li> <li>suspended or revoked or acted upon in any</li> <li>fashion?</li> <li>A. No.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any, what I will</li> <li>call, private medical societies, for example,</li> <li>ACOG?</li> <li>A. I am a fellow in the American College</li> <li>of OB/GYN in ACOG.</li> <li>Q. Are you a member of any other, I will</li> <li>call them, similar society private</li> <li>organizations that you joined or that aren't</li> <li>state-licensure bodies?</li> <li>A. The only thing that might qualify</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>malpractice cases?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you can imagine that if I</li> <li>have done this for awhile, that I get asked</li> <li>this kind of question with some frequency.</li> <li>I don't really know exactly when I started.</li> <li>I estimate it to be about twenty years ago.</li> <li>Q. How did you whenever it was,</li> <li>approximately twenty years ago, how was it</li> <li>that you first got involved in expert</li> <li>witness work?</li> <li>A. I think the first case was a result</li> <li>of having met an attorney through a medical</li> <li>malpractice peer review situation and then</li> <li>after that, it was word-of-mouth.</li> <li>Q. Just take the last couple of years</li> <li>for this question. How many records do you</li> <li>review in a typical year, even if your</li> <li>involvement doesn't go any further than</li> <li>taking a look at a case for an attorney?</li> <li>A. By your question, I take it to mean</li> <li>how many files or how many different</li> <li>individual matters that I'd be asked to</li> <li>review? I would say, probably, thirty a</li> </ul>

Pages 10..13

	Page 10		Page 12
1	matters that number, thirty a year, has that	1	So, the plaintiffs work has gradually
2	number changed substantially over time?	2	
			increased over the last five to ten years.
3	A. Sure. It's probably stable for the	3	Q. Do you know if you have ever
4	last ten years or so.	4	testified in a deposition or in a trial for
5	Back in the 1990s when I	5	a case that was based in Maryland, whether
6	first started doing this, I don't remember	6	you were in Maryland, the case itself was
7	what I did per year. I might have done one	7	in Maryland?
8	a year. There might have been years where	8	A. I don't remember the name, but I
9	I did no cases. At some point, it gradually	9	think I have. There was a case that I was
10	started to increase until it reached the	10	hired by, I guess, defense attorneys in
11	present level.	11	Delaware but, I think, the case was actually
12	Q. In the past couple of years, do you	12	venued in Maryland.
13	know how many times you have been deposed,	13	Q. Okay. You don't remember the name
14	approximately?	14	of the case, correct?
15	A. I'm not sure. I would imagine	15	A. I do not.
16	something like ten times a year.	16	Q. Do you remember the name of the firm?
17	Q. Do you know, again, just over the	17	A. I can probably figure it out, but I
18	last couple of years, how many times you	18	don't remember it as I sit here today. If
		19	
19 20	have testified in a medical malpractice case that went to trial?		it's important, I could probably provide
20		20	that to you at a different time.
21	A. Again, I don't keep the statistics.	21	Q. If you can figure that out, I ask
22	I lose track of whether something was three	22	you to provide that information to Miss
23	years ago or opposed to two years ago, but	23	Malarkey, counsel for plaintiffs.
24	I would think that I have been in court,	24	Have you ever done work for
25	maybe, four times this year. It could be	25	Miss Malarkey or for her firm, other than
	Page 11		Page 13
1		1	Page 13 this case?
	less. It's probably about the same the last		this case?
2	less. It's probably about the same the last few years.	2	this case? A. I have looked at several files for
2 3	<ul><li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li><li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get</li></ul>	2 3	this case? A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements
2 3 4	<ul><li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li><li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other</li></ul>	2 3 4	this case? A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul><li>this case?</li><li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li><li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, mid-</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> <li>Q. Is that when you give that figure,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a website?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> <li>Q. Is that when you give that figure, from what period of time are you giving that</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a website?</li> <li>A. No. Well</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> <li>Q. Is that when you give that figure, from what period of time are you giving that figure? For the past five years? Ten years?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a website?</li> <li>A. No. Well</li> <li>Q. I don't mean a practice website. I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> <li>Q. Is that when you give that figure, from what period of time are you giving that figure? For the past five years? Ten years? One year? Approximately.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a website?</li> <li>A. No. Well</li> <li>Q. I don't mean a practice website. I mean a personal website related to expert</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> <li>Q. Is that when you give that figure, from what period of time are you giving that figure? For the past five years? Ten years?</li> <li>One year? Approximately.</li> <li>A. I would say the last three to five</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a website?</li> <li>A. No. Well</li> <li>Q. I don't mean a practice website. I mean a personal website related to expert work.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>less. It's probably about the same the last few years.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, in the case where you get involved as an expert witness, in other words, where your involvement goes beyond reviewing records, do you know how many of those, what percentage of those you are retained by attorneys representing a plaintiff as opposed to an attorney representing a defendant, some kind of healthcare provider, doctor, hospital, midlevel, what have you?</li> <li>A. I'm sure you understand that this is a dynamic number as cases open and close but, roughly speaking, eighty percent of the work I do is on the defense side and twenty percent of the work I do is on the plaintiff side.</li> <li>Q. Is that when you give that figure, from what period of time are you giving that figure? For the past five years? Ten years? One year? Approximately.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>this case?</li> <li>A. I have looked at several files for others in her firm, Salsbury, Clements Bekman.</li> <li>Q. Do you know, approximately, the first time was that you looked at a case for their firm?</li> <li>A. It might be that this was the first time. I'm not sure in terms of when everything started. Maybe two years ago.</li> <li>Q. Do you know how they obtained your name to send you the first case, whether it was this one or some other one?</li> <li>A. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, do you now, or have you in the past, advertised your expert witness services in any way?</li> <li>A. No, I do not advertise in any way.</li> <li>Q. Do you have a website?</li> <li>A. No. Well</li> <li>Q. I don't mean a practice website. I mean a personal website related to expert</li> </ul>

	DANIEL SMALL, M		- 10/26/2015 Pages 141/
	Page 14		Page 16
1	practice website for my private practice.	1	approximately, you've spent on the case?
2	Q. Are you able, Doctor, to tell me,	2	A. No. If I knew how many hours I had
3	I'm looking for an estimate, a dollar figure	3	spent, I could tell you how much I had
4	as opposed to a percentage, how much you	4	billed.
5	earned in 2014 from your expert witness	5	Q. Have you ever been named as a
6	work?	6	defendant in a medical malpractice case
7	A. In previous depositions, I've shared	7	yourself?
8	a percentage. I'm not comfortable, nor do	8	A. Yes.
9	I actually know for 2014 what a dollar figure	9	Q. How many times?
10	would be. I would be comfortable in sharing	10	A. Six times.
11	a percentage. If I give you a dollar figure,	11	
12			Q. All right. What is how long ago
	then you're asking me to make my income	12	was the most recent case?
13	public information, which does not seem	13	A. There's one case currently open.
14	fair.	14	Q. What about the case before that, the
15	Q. Okay. My question is as to a dollar	15	second?
16	figure, I can't at this point control what	16	A. That was 2002. Around here, things
17	your answer is to that.	17	take a long time to resolve. I was dropped
18	MS. MALARKEY: I think he	18	with prejudice from the 2002 case about a
19	just said he doesn't know for 2015.	19	year ago.
20	BY MR. FOGELSON:	20	Q. Of any of those six cases, did any
21	Q. I thought you knew a percentage,	21	of those cases, including, and the most
22	but not a dollar figure.	22	recent one, no pending cases, other than
23	In any event, when is the	23	very generally, did any of them involve
24	most recent year, whether it's percentage or	24	allegations similar to the allegations in
25	dollar figure, that you can give some sort	25	this case?
	Page 15		Dage 17
1	of answer to whether it's percentage or	1	Page 17 A No If it's helpful to you none
	of answer to whether it's percentage or	1	A. No. If it's helpful to you, none
2	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure?	2	A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso-
<b>2</b> 3	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that	2 3	A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.
<b>2</b> 3 4	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return	2 3 4	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none</li> <li>involved the use of methotrexate or misoprostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor,</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> 3 4 5	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none</li> <li>involved the use of methotrexate or misoprostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor,</li> <li>what residency program or programs have you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney	2 3 4 5 6	A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion. Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?
2 3 4 5 6 7	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked.	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion. <b>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor,</b> <b>what residency program or programs have you</b> <b>completed?</b> A. You'll see on the CV that I did my OB/GYN training at the Medical College of
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none</li> <li>involved the use of methotrexate or misoprostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor,</li> <li>what residency program or programs have you</li> <li>completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion. <b>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor,</b> <b>what residency program or programs have you</b> <b>completed?</b> A. You'll see on the CV that I did my OB/GYN training at the Medical College of Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986. <b>Q. Are there any residency programs that</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 <b>11</b>	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 <b>11</b> 12	<ul> <li>of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure?</li> <li>A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that percentage?</li> <li>A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what year that was for?</li> <li>A. No. It was a few years ago.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 <b>11</b> 12 <b>13</b>	<ul> <li>of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure?</li> <li>A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that percentage?</li> <li>A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what year that was for?</li> <li>A. No. It was a few years ago.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure?</li> <li>A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that percentage?</li> <li>A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what year that was for?</li> <li>A. No. It was a few years ago.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure?</li> <li>A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What was that percentage?</li> <li>A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony.</li> <li>Q. Do you know what year that was for?</li> <li>A. No. It was a few years ago.</li> <li>Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as far as how much you have earned from expert	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified. Looking</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as far as how much you have earned from expert witness work. I know you can't provide it right now based on your answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified. Looking at your CV, you're board certified in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as far as how much you have earned from expert witness work. I know you can't provide it right now based on your answer. Do you know, for this case,	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified. Looking at your CV, you're board certified in obstetrics and gynecology since 1988, is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as far as how much you have earned from expert witness work. I know you can't provide it right now based on your answer. Do you know, for this case, prior to today's deposition, how much you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified. Looking at your CV, you're board certified in obstetrics and gynecology since 1988, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as far as how much you have earned from expert witness work. I know you can't provide it right now based on your answer. Do you know, for this case, prior to today's deposition, how much you have billed?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified. Looking at your CV, you're board certified in obstetrics and gynecology since 1988, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, then, it looks like you</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	of answer to whether it's percentage or dollar figure? A. A few years ago, I was asked that information and looked at the tax return that had been filed and came up with a percentage that I shared with the attorney who asked. Q. Okay. What was that percentage? A. That fifteen percent of my income came from expert witness testimony. Q. Do you know what year that was for? A. No. It was a few years ago. Q. I'm going to make a request, Doctor, I'm requesting a clarification. Sounds like that's, whatever that fifteen percent was, was a number of years ago. For 2014 and '13, I ask you to try to figure that out as far as how much you have earned from expert witness work. I know you can't provide it right now based on your answer. Do you know, for this case, prior to today's deposition, how much you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A. No. If it's helpful to you, none involved the use of methotrexate or miso- prostol. None involved an abortion.</li> <li>Q. Going back to your training, Doctor, what residency program or programs have you completed?</li> <li>A. You'll see on the CV that I did my</li> <li>OB/GYN training at the Medical College of</li> <li>Pennsylvania from 1982 to 1986.</li> <li>Q. Are there any residency programs that you started that you did not complete, for whatever reason?</li> <li>A. No, that's the only residency. I started it and I completed it.</li> <li>Q. And am I correct you didn't complete any fellowships after that OB/GYN residency?</li> <li>A. You are correct.</li> <li>Q. You are board certified. Looking at your CV, you're board certified in obstetrics and gynecology since 1988, is that correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul>

Pages 18..21

	DANIEL SMALL, M.	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 1821
	Page 18		Page 20
1	And, then, there's 2008, '9, '10, '11,	1	Associates where I practice as a full-time
2	'12. And, I take it, have you recertified	2	obstetrician/gynecologist. That's the vast
3	since 2012?	3	majority of my professional activity.
4	A. Yes. My recertification is current.	4	I'm involved in various
5	Q. Okay. In any of those, either the	5	hospital committees. That's not for com-
6	initial board certification exam when you	6	pensation, that's just part of my function
		7	
7	took it in '88 or any of those	-	at the hospital. I'm also the director of
8	recertifications from '98 to the present,	8	gynecologic minimally invasive surgery and
9	did you pass those on the first attempts?	9	chairman of the rotobics program at Capital
10	A. Yes, on all of them.	10	Health Medical Center.
11	Q. Okay.	11	Q. Do you hold any academic position at
12	A. Well, it's a little bit inaccurate,	12	present?
13	perhaps, as a question and answer for the	13	A. I do not.
14	yearly recertification in the sense they	14	Q. Have you ever held any in the past?
15	are online articles that you read and answer	15	A. Yes, though I'm not an academic.
16	questions about. I guess you could fail	16	I'm a general OB/GYN who practices in the
17	that. Maybe the question and answers are	17	community.
18	• 1	18	I was on staff for two years,
10	accurate but, no, I have never failed	10	1986 and 1987, with an active staff position
	anything. It's hard for me to imagine how		
20	somebody can fail when you have the article	20	at Thomas Jefferson University Hospital in
21	in front of you and you're being asked	21	Philadelphia. I actively taught students
22	questions open book on the article that's	22	and residents during that period of time.
23	in front of you, but the only real exams	23	I held the position of instructor in OB/GYN
24	were '98, 2008 and, then, a written exam	24	through, I believe, the year 2000. I will
25	that I had to take a year or two ago.	25	have to look at my CV that you have in
	Page 19		Page 21
1	Page 19 Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another	1	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I
1	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another	$\frac{1}{2}$	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I
2	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.	1 2 3	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave
2 3	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me	3	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time.
2 3 4	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's	3 4	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator
2 3 4 5	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.	3 4 5	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the
2 3 4 5 6	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams.	3 4 5 6	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine
2 3 4 5 6 7	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt?	3 4 5 6 7	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt? A. Right. I haven't failed any of the	3 4 5 6 7 8	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt? A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt? A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt? A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt? A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam. Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that. A. I did pass each of the exams. Q. On the first attempt? A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know,</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. <b>Q. Can you just, talking about the</b>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you hold as a physician at present? In other</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological minimally invasive surgery. Can you tell
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you hold as a physician at present? In other words, where you work, if you have any</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological minimally invasive surgery. Can you tell me what a typical week or month looks like?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you hold as a physician at present? In other words, where you work, if you have any academic assignments, any other things that</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological minimally invasive surgery. Can you tell me what a typical week or month looks like? Whatever way makes sense for you to explain.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you hold as a physician at present? In other words, where you work, if you have any academic assignments, any other things that take up a substantial portion of your time</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological minimally invasive surgery. Can you tell me what a typical week or month looks like? Whatever way makes sense for you to explain. A. Generally speaking, my week starts
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you hold as a physician at present? In other words, where you work, if you have any academic assignments, any other things that take up a substantial portion of your time in your work as a physician?</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological minimally invasive surgery. Can you tell me what a typical week or month looks like? Whatever way makes sense for you to explain. A. Generally speaking, my week starts with a two-hour meeting at the hospital from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Maybe in 2014 you had to sit for another written exam.</li> <li>Q. As long as you can represent to me that you've passed all of them, that's probably as far as I need to go with that.</li> <li>A. I did pass each of the exams.</li> <li>Q. On the first attempt?</li> <li>A. Right. I haven't failed any of the exams. I haven't done any multiple attempts on any aspect of any board certification or recertification.</li> <li>Q. That's the only board certification that you hold, correct?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Are you board eligible, if you know, in any other specialty?</li> <li>A. I am not.</li> <li>Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, what positions, what position or positions you hold as a physician at present? In other words, where you work, if you have any academic assignments, any other things that take up a substantial portion of your time</li> </ul>	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	front of you. I think it's 2000, because I wasn't utilizing Thomas Jefferson. I gave up those privileges at that time. I was the psych coordinator for the gynecology surgery rotation for the residents from the University of Medicine and Dentistry of New Jersey at Cooper. That's the Camden-based OB/GYN residency program in New Jersey. Those residents came to my institution and I was the one that ran their rotation for three years. That was 2006 to 2009. That was my last academic affiliation or function. Q. Can you just, talking about the present now, Doctor, can you break down for me how you spend your professional time, whether it be clinical, any research? You mentioned being a director of gynecological minimally invasive surgery. Can you tell me what a typical week or month looks like? Whatever way makes sense for you to explain. A. Generally speaking, my week starts

Pages 22..25

	DANIEL SMALL, M.		10/20/2015 Fages 2225
	Page 22	1	Page 24
1	where I meet with the midwives and	1	A. That's right.
2	physicians from my practice to discuss	2	Q. Has that always been the case? Or,
3	patient care matters, high-risk patients,		if not, for how long has it been the case?
4	difficult-to-manage issues, that kind of	4	A. I stopped doing night call about two
5	thing.	5	years ago. That's the privilege of aging.
6	I see patients in the office	6	Q. Within, within the field of OB/GYN,
7	the rest of the day all day Monday and	7	is there anything that officially or
8	Tuesday. On Wednesday, I work either half	8	unofficially you specialize in? I know you
9	or a full day seeing patients as well. On	9	mentioned minimally invasive surgery.
10	Thursdays, I operate. Sometimes depending	10	A. I'm a general OB/GYN. I'm not a
11		11	subspecialist. I tend to do much more
12	on surgical volume, Wednesday afternoons I	12	
	will do surgery as well.		minimally invasive gynecologic surgery in
13	There are occasional hospital	13	rotobics than most people in my field and
14	committee meetings and that sort of thing	14	have some expertise in that area and do some
15	mixed into that schedule that I have given	15	teaching in that area.
16	you.	16	Q. This case, obviously, involves a
17	On Thursdays, I will generally	17	patient going to a provider that provides
18	spend most of the day in the operating room	18	elective people that do not want to carry
19	and sometimes that's also doing proctoring	19	a baby to term, not necessarily for a
20	or teaching other physicians in the field	20	medical reason, but for another reason. Is
21	of gynecology.	21	that something that you do in your practice?
22	On Fridays, I usually see	22	A. I tend to perform elective abortions.
23	patients from eight in the morning to 1:00,	23	Q. Okay. What percentage of your total
24	1:30 in the afternoon. I usually take the	24	practice, and when you say, when you say
25	rest of Friday afternoons off. Sometimes	25	"elective abortions," are you referring to,
	Page 23		Page 25
1	that's when I read medical malpractice	1	what do you mean by elective abortions?
$\frac{1}{2}$	matters. And, I'm sorry, I realize there's	2	A. I think the term is self-explanatory,
$\frac{2}{3}$		$\frac{2}{3}$	but an elective abortion is when someone
	a couple of other things that I haven't		
4	mentioned. I also have shifts that I do,	4	chooses to end a pregnancy as opposed to
5	twelve-hour shifts at the hospital, that	5	that there is spontaneously miscarrying or
6	take the place of some of the days that I	6	something along those lines. That can be
7	mentioned, as a laborist, where I take care	7	done for medical reasons, like an abnormal
8	of emergency obstetric care and emergency	8	pregnancy, or it can be done because of
9	room matters having to do with obstetrics	9	patient volition. Both of those are elective
10	and gynecology. I also have those hospital	10	abortions.
11	shifts that I do.	11	Q. Within that category of elective
12	Q. Do you take, other than what you	12	abortions, do you do, do you perform
13	just told me, do you take call for your	13	abortions in both situations, medical ones
14	practice or elsewhere?	14	that may be medically necessary and ones
15	A. The only call I'm currently doing	15	which are not?
16	are those 12-hour laborist shifts.	16	A. I do abortions. I'm a pro-choice
17	Q. Are there things, are there things	17	physician. When somebody comes to me and,
18	in the field of obstetrics you don't do?	18	let's say, they are two months pregnant and
19	How often are you doing deliveries? Is it	19	that individual does not want to be pregnant
20	just those twelve-hour shifts?	20	anymore, I will do a pregnancy termination.
21	A. That's correct.	20	Q. Got it. What percentage of your
$\frac{21}{22}$	<b>Q. So, when it comes to when one of</b>	$\frac{21}{22}$	practice involves providing elective
$\frac{22}{23}$		$\frac{22}{23}$	abortion services?
23 24	your patients is pregnant and goes into	23 24	A. A small amount. I don't know the
24 25	labor, those shifts aside, someone else		
43	handles it?	25	percentage. I probably do one or two

Pages 26..29

	Page 26		Page 28
1		1	
	abortions in a month.	1	familiar with medical literature in my field
2	Q. All right. And when we're talking	2	and for my opinions on the review of the
3	about abortions, you do both medical and	3	materials that I was sent. I did, knowing
4	surgical?	4	that it existed, pull out an ACOG bulletin
5	A. No. I only do surgical abortions.		that I knew existed, as I mentioned, on the
6	If one of my patients wants a medical	6	medical management of first-trimester
		7	abortions.
7	abortion well, we don't have a contract		
8	for RU-486. I refer the patient to someone	8	Q. Do you have that ACOG bulletin with
9	else to provide medication.	9	you?
10	Q. For medical abortions, have you ever	10	A. I did bring it.
11	done one?	11	Q. Okay.
12	A. So, here, probably, the wording gets	12	(Exhibit D-1 Small, a Practice
13	a little bit difficult because there are	13	Bulletin titled Medical Management of
14	times, in particular for second trimester	14	First-Trimester Abortion, marked for
		15	identification.)
15	pregnancy termination, that labor is induced		,
16	as a way of terminating the pregnancy. That	16	BY MR. FOGELSON:
17	would be a medical abortion. I do perform	17	Q. What is now marked for identification
18	that kind of medical abortion. But a first	18	as Exhibit 1 first of all, Doctor, if you
19	trimester medical abortion using RU-486 or,	19	want to, assuming they have copying
20	for that matter, doing what the doctors at	20	facilities where you are, if you want to
21	American Associates did, using misoprostol	21	make a copy and retain the original, that's
$ \overline{22} $	and methotrexate, that I don't perform	22	fine. We can sort that out after the
$\begin{vmatrix} 22\\23 \end{vmatrix}$	myself. I use methotrexate for termination	23	deposition is over.
$\frac{23}{24}$		23 24	
	of ectopic pregnancy which, I suppose, is		Can you just identify, since
25	a different kind of medical abortion.	25	I can't see it, what that bulletin is and
	Page 27		Page 29
1	Page 27 Q. When you say you don't do it, when	1	Page 29 where it's from?
	Q. When you say you don't do it, when	1 2	where it's from?
2	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer	2	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize
2 3	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or	2 3	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number
2 3 4	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them?	2 3 4	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is,
2 3 4 5	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical	2 3 4 5	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is, "Practice Bulletin." The first title is
2 3 4 5 6	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and	2 3 4 5 6	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is, "Practice Bulletin." The first title is "Medical Management of First-Trimester
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion	2 3 4 5 6 7	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is, "Practice Bulletin." The first title is "Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b>	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is, "Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b>	where it's from? A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is, "Practice Bulletin." The first title is "Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion."
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number 143 from March 2014. The exact title is, "Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN,	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority?	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular source and try to judge whether the section	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b>	Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them? A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion. Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority? A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular source and try to judge whether the section on the subject that I was dealing with was reliable.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. What records have you received in</li> <li>connection with this case?</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b>	<ul> <li>Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them?</li> <li>A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular source and try to judge whether the section on the subject that I was dealing with was reliable.</li> <li>Q. With respect to this case, have you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. What records have you received in</li> <li>connection with this case?</li> <li>A. Let me try to figure that out. I've</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them?</li> <li>A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular source and try to judge whether the section on the subject that I was dealing with was reliable.</li> <li>Q. With respect to this case, have you done any research of any kind in formulating</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. What records have you received in</li> <li>connection with this case?</li> <li>A. Let me try to figure that out. I've</li> <li>got records from American Medical Associates,</li> </ul>
<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b>	<ul> <li>Q. When you say you don't do it, when you say you don't do those and you refer it, is that have you ever done that or have you always referred them?</li> <li>A. For a first trimester medical abortion, for an intrauterine pregnancy, and here I'm talking about an elective abortion with a healthy intrauterine pregnancy, I have never, ever done that kind of abortion.</li> <li>Q. Doctor, within the field of OB/GYN, the field you practice in, is there any literature or texts or journals that you consider to be a reliable authority?</li> <li>A. Well, certainly, it would depend on what subject we're talking about. I use all sorts of literature to add to my knowledge base. With any particular source of literature, I would look at that particular source and try to judge whether the section on the subject that I was dealing with was reliable.</li> <li>Q. With respect to this case, have you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>where it's from?</li> <li>A. I'm not trying to be cute. I realize</li> <li>that maybe I was. It's Bulletin Number</li> <li>143 from March 2014. The exact title is,</li> <li>"Practice Bulletin." The first title is</li> <li>"Medical Management of First-Trimester</li> <li>Abortion."</li> <li>Q. Okay. And what role, if any, does</li> <li>that document, Exhibit 1, did that play, in</li> <li>your opinion, in this case.</li> <li>A. It just supported the point of view</li> <li>I had based on my knowledge and experience</li> <li>in our field.</li> <li>Q. So, is it my understanding, you say</li> <li>your opinions are not based on that document,</li> <li>but that document is, rather, confirmatory</li> <li>of opinions that you held at the time you</li> <li>went and retrieved that document?</li> <li>A. That's right.</li> <li>Q. What records have you received in</li> <li>connection with this case?</li> <li>A. Let me try to figure that out. I've</li> </ul>

Pages 30..33

1			
	be eighty pages.	1	or other articles in the context of this
2	Q. Do you know if her chart	2	case?
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	A. Let me open this up and figure this	3	A. You know, I don't recall. I actually
4	out. I don't see a cover letter identifying	4	don't have any specific newspaper articles
5	them, so I have to kind of reconstruct what		in mind that I was provided. And if that's
6	the documents are.	6	not true if I was provided one, I'm just
7	The chart has forty-five	7	failing to remember this at the moment. I
8	encounters, and I see the name of Lindsay	8	know I've seen articles that I've just saw
9	Hill, a physician's assistant. They are	9	reading the New York Times, for example,
10	office records.	10	and local papers in the Trenton area.
11	Q. What is the address on the progress	11	Q. Have you received the Complaint or
12	notes?	12	any Complaint filed in connection with this
13		13	case?
14	MS. MALARKEY: That's the	14	A. It seems likely that I would have
15	medical record from Frederick Primary	15	seen that, but I don't actually recall
16	Care.	16	seeing that.
17	THE WITNESS: It's eighty	17	Q. What about a Certificate of
		17 18	
18	pages, Emily. Then, that's the right		Qualified Expert and Report of Dr. Gareau?
19	one. I also have records let's see.	19	A. I have not seen that. I don't know
20	The front page is "Frederick, Plan	20	who Dr. Gareau is.
21	Delivery. Location: Frederick Memorial	21	MS. MALARKEY: I have not
22	Hospital Inpatient." And this appears	22	sent that to him. She is the expert for Dr.
23	to be office records, I think, from the	23	Dominy.
24	OB/GYN center in Frederick, Maryland.	24	BY MR. FOGELSON:
25	BY MR. FOGELSON:	25	Q. While you were reviewing those
	Page 31		Page 33
1	Q. Okay.	1	records, Doctor, other documents you have
		-	
2	A. I have in front of me, as well, the	2	identified, did you take any notes, either
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I	2 3	on a computer, on a note pad, or the
3	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I	3	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?
3 4	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I	3 4 5	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I
3 4 5	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me,	3 4 5 6	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them
3 4 5 6 7	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> 5 6 7	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.
3 4 5 6 7 8	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> 5 6 7 <b>8</b>	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me.	<b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails,</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b>	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. Q. Anything else besides those items you	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> 11	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> 5 6 7 <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. Q. Anything else besides those items you have used? A. I haven't intentionally omitted	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12 13	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed.	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b>	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at,</b>	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that.</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting</b>	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles</b>	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves?</li> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case?</li> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail</li> </ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b>	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report. Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles,	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report. Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 20	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles, though I don't recall that it was	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 199 200	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report. Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having to do with what my thoughts were in my
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 20 21	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles, though I don't recall that it was specifically in reference to this litigation.	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 1920 201	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report. Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having to do with what my thoughts were in my review.
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 20 21 22	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles, though I don't recall that it was specifically in reference to this litigation. I believe I saw articles just in the course	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 192 202 212	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report. Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having to do with what my thoughts were in my review. Q. And turning just for a minute to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 20 21 22 23	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles, though I don't recall that it was specifically in reference to this litigation. I believe I saw articles just in the course of reading the newspaper.	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I</li> <li>often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? <ul> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having to do with what my thoughts were in my review.</li> <li>Q. And turning just for a minute to your reports, it looks like you authored,</li> </ul></li></ul>
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 <b>10</b> <b>11</b> 12 13 14 <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 20 21 22	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles, though I don't recall that it was specifically in reference to this litigation. I believe I saw articles just in the course	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 177 188 192 202 212	on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? A. I don't recall taking notes. I often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report. Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having to do with what my thoughts were in my review. Q. And turning just for a minute to
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Certificate of Qualified Expert that I authored. I have the deposition of Christy O'Connell. I just dropped something, so I will go get that. And I don't have with me, but I've read electronically, the deposition of Dr. Dominy. I also received billing records that I don't have with me. <b>Q. Anything else besides those items you have used?</b> A. I haven't intentionally omitted anything. I believe those are all the materials I have reviewed. <b>Q. Okay. What about, I'm looking at, and I'm not suggesting, I'm not suggesting anything, what about newspaper articles relating to Dr. Brigham and Kaji?</b> A. I certainly have seen articles, though I don't recall that it was specifically in reference to this litigation. I believe I saw articles just in the course of reading the newspaper.	<b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>on a computer, on a note pad, or the documents themselves? <ul> <li>A. I don't recall taking notes. I</li> <li>often do but, generally, don't keep them after I've authored a report.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Have you received correspondence from counsel for plaintiff, either e-mails, letters, faxes, with regard to this case? <ul> <li>A. I assume that there was a cover letter with the original file, but I don't see it. The only cover letter I have is what accompanied the deposition of Christy O'Connell. There's no content to that. Certainly, Miss Malarkey and I have had some telephone and e-mail correspondence since I originally looked at those records, you know, generally, having to do with what my thoughts were in my review.</li> <li>Q. And turning just for a minute to your reports, it looks like you authored,</li> </ul></li></ul>

Pages 34..37

	DANIEL SMALL, M.		
1		1	Page 36
	you have authored three separate reports in	1	quit out for a minute. Defendants are
	this case, is that correct?	2	Associates in OB/GYN Care, American Medical
3	A. I'm assuming you must be right, but	3	Associates, Rose Health Services Company,
4	let me just look.	4	Iris Dominy.
5	MS. MALARKEY: I thought it	5	Q. Got you. Okay. Let me fax this
6	was two.	6	over. I have a report dated August 3rd,
7	BY MR. FOGELSON:	7	2015. I want you to take a look at that
8	Q. Let me give you the date I have. I	8	since you don't have it.
9	have a report on your letterhead dated	9	MS. MALARKEY: The one that
10	June 16, 2015. It's about two and a quarter	10	he's referring to is the Certificate of
11	pages long. I have your Certificate of	11	Qualified Expert and Report that you
12	Qualified Expert. I have your Certificate	12	signed in the separate lawsuit that was
13	of Qualified Expert and your report dated	13	filed against Dr. Brigham and Dr. Kaji.
14	March 23rd. There's handwriting on it,	14	THE WITNESS: I have that.
15	"March 23, 2014, two payments." I have	15	BY MR. FOGELSON:
16	another report on a separate Certificate of	16	Q. Whichever reports you have, Doctor,
17	Qualified Expert that's dated August 3, 2015.	17	can I mark them? I don't want to drag this
18	A. It sounds like there would be four	18	part out too long. This is just the dis-
19	of them, then.	19	advantage of being in separate locations.
20	MS. MALARKEY: The August 3rd	20	A. The one report that it looks like I
21	is the one.	21	don't have is the March, I think you said,
22	MR. FOGELSON: I have this	22	March of 2015.
23	one. That's the Certificate.	23	Q. 2014.
24	MS. MALARKEY: Certificate of	24	A. 2014. I think I neglected to bring
25	Qualified Expert.	25	that.
	Page 35		Page 37
1	MR. FOGELSON: Right.	1	Q. Okay.
2	MS. MALARKEY: Got it.	2	A. I might need a copy of that just to
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	MR. FOGELSON: I'm not	3	help things go more smoothly.
4	counting the certificates themselves.	4	Q. With regard to those reports, the two
	C	5	that you have and that March 3rd, 2014, one,
	MS. MALARKEY: Got it. Okay.	5	that you have and that March Sru, 2014, one,
5	MS. MALARKEY: Got it. Okay. Sorry.		•
	Sorry.		my question, if you need me to break it
5 6 7	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON:	6 7	•
5 6	Sorry.	6 7 8	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly
5 6 7 <b>8</b>	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b>	6 7 8	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a
5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored.	6 7 8 9	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those
5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials	6 7 8 9 10	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those who wrote those reports? And by that, I
5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the	6 7 8 9 10 11	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports
5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them;
5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians;
5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by
5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: <b>Q. Those are the three that I have.</b> A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians;
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>17</b>	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 <b>17</b> 18	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as the healthcare providers?	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them. A. So, whatever you have from 2014, I
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as the healthcare providers? A. I didn't understand what you asked.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them. A. So, whatever you have from 2014, I don't know what you have because I don't
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as the healthcare providers? A. I didn't understand what you asked. Q. Who is listed, if you have the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them. A. So, whatever you have from 2014, I don't know what you have because I don't have it in front of me. I can't answer you
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as the healthcare providers? A. I didn't understand what you asked. Q. Who is listed, if you have the Statement of Claim at the top, either on	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them. A. So, whatever you have from 2014, I don't know what you have because I don't have it in front of me. I can't answer you until I see what document we're talking
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as the healthcare providers? A. I didn't understand what you asked. Q. Who is listed, if you have the Statement of Claim at the top, either on the left-hand side somewhere, either the	6789100111112133141516177181920021122223	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them. A. So, whatever you have from 2014, I don't know what you have because I don't have it in front of me. I can't answer you until I see what document we're talking about.
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Sorry. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Those are the three that I have. A. I'm not aware of any other materials that I have authored. In going through those papers in front of me, I also noticed I have the Statement of Claim which, I suppose, is legally the same as the Complaint. So, you asked me earlier whether I had that document and I said I wasn't sure. Q. Okay. With the Statement of Claim that you have, who is, who are listed as the healthcare providers? A. I didn't understand what you asked. Q. Who is listed, if you have the Statement of Claim at the top, either on	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	my question, if you need me to break it down by individual report, I can certainly do that, I will ask it intentionally as a compound question for now. How were those- who wrote those reports? And by that, I mean, did you write them? Sometimes reports are written by the physicians who sign them; sometimes the reports are written jointly with the attorneys who retain the physicians; sometimes the reports are written after by the attorneys or by the law offices after a conversation and then the doctor then reviews them. A. So, whatever you have from 2014, I don't know what you have because I don't have it in front of me. I can't answer you until I see what document we're talking

Pages 38..41

12			
2	Page 38		Page 40
	signature of August 3rd, 2015, those are	1	Q. Do you have standard of care opinions
	1 1	2	about strike that. I believe you have
3		3	standard of care opinions about persons, at
4	version of those was something written by	4	least, that were identified in the Complaint
5	the attorney because there's some stylistic	5	and as the "office manager" who interpreted
6	things that would not have been mine, but	6	the sonogram, supposedly interpreted, the
7		7	sonogram in this case?
8		8	A. If it is an office manager who's
9		9	interpreting the sonogram, there are
10		10	significant issues about standard of care
11		11	and causation with the ultrasound outcome.
12	1, 0	12	So, I'm critical of the "office manager" to
13		13	the extent that that's what happened.
14	1 2 1 /	14	Q. Do you have any opinions on the
15		15	I take it, you don't have any opinions on
16		16	the cost of raising Miss O'Connell's son?
17		17	A. True.
18		18	Q. And you mentioned before that you
19		19	had been sent some bills. Do you know what
$ _{20}^{10}$		20	bills you were sent?
$ \frac{20}{21} $		21	A. I think I was sent the bills or, at
$ ^{21}_{22}$		$\frac{21}{22}$	least, the amounts of medical care received
$ ^{22}_{23}$		23	between twelve weeks' gestation and the end
$\begin{vmatrix} 23\\ 24 \end{vmatrix}$	1	23 24	of the year when the delivery occurred on
24		24	the cost of medical care in the fall and the
23	5 Q. If I understand what you're saying,	23	the cost of medical care in the fail and the
	Page 39		Page 41
	• • •	1	cost of the hospitalization in December.
2	0 1 /	2	Nothing after that.
3		3	Q. Do you know what the bills totaled
4	81	4	as far as the dollar amount?
5	did actually cause problems to Miss	5	A. I don't. I apologize that I don't
6	,	6	have that with me. It was in the tens of
7		7	thousands; not in the hundreds or five or
8		8	six-figure range.
9	1	9	Q. Do you have any opinions about those
10	1 0	10	bills, having reviewed them?
11	1 1 2 7	11	A. Only that they seemed in the general
		12	range of medical bills that I have seen for
12		13	this kind of medical care in the past; the
13			
13 14	Q. You're going you have standard of	14	bills seemed reasonable for what they were
13 14 15	Q. You're going you have standard of care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,	14 15	supposed to be.
13 14	Q. You're going you have standard of care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,	14 15 <b>16</b>	
13 14 15	Q. You're going you have standard of care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy, who is my client, correct?	14 15	supposed to be.
13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> </ul>	14 15 <b>16</b>	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other
13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> </ul>	14 15 <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they
13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you have standard of care</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they are, if there's some area that I'm over- looking here?
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you have standard of care</li> <li>opinions about Dr. Kaji, correct?</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they are, if there's some area that I'm over- looking here? A. It's kind of a broad question. I
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you have standard of care</li> <li>opinions about Dr. Kaji, correct?</li> <li>A. I have standard of care opinions</li> </ul>	14 15 <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> 20	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they are, if there's some area that I'm over- looking here? A. It's kind of a broad question. I think you've covered it but, perhaps, as we
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you have standard of care</li> <li>opinions about Dr. Kaji, correct?</li> <li>A. I have standard of care opinions</li> <li>about Dr. Kaji and Dr. Brigham pending</li> </ul>	14 15 <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> 20 21	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they are, if there's some area that I'm over- looking here? A. It's kind of a broad question. I think you've covered it but, perhaps, as we go through the deposition, I will realize
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you have standard of care</li> <li>opinions about Dr. Kaji, correct?</li> <li>A. I have standard of care opinions</li> <li>about Dr. Kaji and Dr. Brigham pending</li> <li>further discovery and understanding exactly</li> </ul>	14 15 <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> 20 21 22	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they are, if there's some area that I'm over- looking here? A. It's kind of a broad question. I think you've covered it but, perhaps, as we go through the deposition, I will realize that you haven't.
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q. You're going you have standard of</li> <li>care opinions about, obviously, Dr. Dominy,</li> <li>who is my client, correct?</li> <li>A. That's certainly the bulk of my most</li> <li>significant opinions in this litigation.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you have standard of care</li> <li>opinions about Dr. Kaji, correct?</li> <li>A. I have standard of care opinions</li> <li>about Dr. Kaji and Dr. Brigham pending</li> <li>further discovery and understanding exactly</li> <li>what he did of their relationships with the</li> </ul>	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	supposed to be. Q. Do you have any opinions in any other areas of this case? I'm asking what they are, if there's some area that I'm over- looking here? A. It's kind of a broad question. I think you've covered it but, perhaps, as we go through the deposition, I will realize

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Washington, DC

www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

Pages 42..45

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 4245
	Page 42		Page 44
	you said you have opinions pending further	1	of that regimen, they violated the standard
	discovery in the case.	2	of care given the information that was being
3	At this point in time,	3	provided to patients in their clinics.
4	looking at that log, at that report that's	4	Q. What leads you to your do you
5	dated August 3rd, 2015, the first thing in	5	remember what you just stated, that it would
6	that report says, "It's my opinion that a	6	appear to be, I'm paraphrasing, if I mis-
7	combination of methotrexate and misoprostol	7	state, let me know, that it would appear
8	is not sufficiently effective to inducing a	8	that Dr. Brigham and Kaji, perhaps, were the
9	complete abortion limited to approximately	9	most likely to put that in place? What leads
10	eight weeks' pregnant to the extent or knew	10	you to that conclusion or tentative
11	that this regimen was regularly administered	11	conclusion sitting here today?
12	in their clinic and that they violated the	12	A. That I understand Dr. Brigham to be
13	standard of care."	13	the person who owned and managed these
14	My question is: Do you know	14	clinics and then at some point passed re-
15	at this point in time to what extent Drs.	15	sponsibilities to Dr. Kaji, so I don't have
16	Brigham and Kaji established, approved and/or	16	all the information to know exactly who put
17	knew that this regimen was regularly	17	these regimens into place. I know that
17	administered in their clinics?	17	Dr. Dominy was involved to the extent that
19	MS. MALARKEY: Let me put a	19	•
$\begin{vmatrix} 19 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	general objection on the record for a	20	Dr. Dominy has said that that's who hired her and that's who came to introduce her to
$20 \\ 21$			
	second. Certainly, you want to ask him	21	the way the office was being run when she
22	questions about the report, and that's	22	first gained employment. So, those would
23	fine. Dr. Brigham hasn't filed an Answer	23	seem the likely candidates if it was not
24	yet, neither has Dr. Kaji. Neither of	24	Dr. Dominy who, herself, decided how to
25	them have counsel to represent them at	25	proceed.
1			
$\vdash$	Page 43		Page 45
1	the deposition.	1	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's
2	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to	2	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out,
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to		Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for
2 3 4	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this	2	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the
$\begin{vmatrix} 2\\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON:	2 3	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for
2 3 4	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this	2 3 4	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the
2 3 4 5	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON:	2 3 4 5	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge
2 3 4 5 6	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that
2 3 4 5 6 7	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated,	2 3 4 5 6 7	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b>	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b>	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven Brigham did business. He has been identified
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b>	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b>	Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around. Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present? A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner. Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in place by another individual. Based on the	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b>	<ul> <li>Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? <ul> <li>A. The main source of knowledge is that</li> <li>Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.</li> <li>Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present?</li> <li>A. I mean the American Medical</li> <li>Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven</li> <li>Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.</li> <li>Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in place by another individual. Based on the relatively small amount of information	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b>	<ul> <li>Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding?</li> <li>A. The main source of knowledge is that Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.</li> <li>Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present?</li> <li>A. I mean the American Medical Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.</li> <li>Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know Steven Brigham personally or professionally?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware that I have ever met</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in place by another individual. Based on the relatively small amount of information available, it would appear that that would	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b>	<ul> <li>Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? <ul> <li>A. The main source of knowledge is that</li> <li>Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.</li> <li>Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present?</li> <li>A. I mean the American Medical</li> <li>Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven</li> <li>Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.</li> <li>Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know</li> </ul> </li> <li>Steven Brigham personally or professionally?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware that I have ever met him. I don't think I have.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in place by another individual. Based on the relatively small amount of information available, it would appear that that would have been put into place by Dr. Brigham	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? <ul> <li>A. The main source of knowledge is that</li> <li>Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.</li> <li>Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present?</li> <li>A. I mean the American Medical</li> </ul> </li> <li>Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven</li> <li>Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.</li> <li>Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know</li> <li>Steven Brigham personally or professionally?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware that I have ever met him. I don't think I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Outside of anything you have</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in place by another individual. Based on the relatively small amount of information available, it would appear that that would have been put into place by Dr. Brigham and/or Kaji and, to the extent that those	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b> <b>24</b>	<ul> <li>Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? <ul> <li>A. The main source of knowledge is that</li> <li>Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.</li> <li>Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present?</li> <li>A. I mean the American Medical</li> <li>Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven</li> <li>Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.</li> <li>Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know</li> </ul> </li> <li>Steven Brigham personally or professionally?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware that I have ever met him. I don't think I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Outside of anything you have gleaned from this case, is the source of</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	the deposition. The doctor said we've got to do a lot more discovery. If you want to ask him what's the basis of this BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. That's what I'm asking. I'm only asking for what you know at this point in time. I understand, as counsel indicated, things may change in the future. I'm asking what the basis of your knowledge is at this point in time about those things? Do you want me to repeat the question? A. I think I know where you're headed. Based on my review of these materials, it appears to me that there was a regimen in place, put in place by someone other than Dr. Dominy and that Dr. Dominy eventually agreed to utilize, but that the system that was put in place was put in place by another individual. Based on the relatively small amount of information available, it would appear that that would have been put into place by Dr. Brigham	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>Q. I hear you mention Dr. Dominy's deposition. What I'm trying to figure out, what is your source of knowledge, for example, Dr. Dominy was involved in the clinic, what are the sources of knowledge that have given you that understanding? <ul> <li>A. The main source of knowledge is that</li> <li>Dr. Dominy says that's who hired her and that's who showed up when she started her employment to show her her way around.</li> <li>Q. Any other sources of knowledge on that front at present?</li> <li>A. I mean the American Medical</li> </ul> </li> <li>Associates or American Medical Service are names that have been published in newspaper articles as entities under which Steven</li> <li>Brigham did business. He has been identified as an owner.</li> <li>Q. Is Steven Brigham do you know</li> <li>Steven Brigham personally or professionally?</li> <li>A. I'm not aware that I have ever met him. I don't think I have.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Outside of anything you have</li> </ul>

Pages 46..49

	DANIEL SMALL, M.		- 10/26/2015 Pages 4649
1	Page 46 which is reading about Dr. Bingham and Kaji	1	Page 48
	which is reading about Dr. Bingham and Kaji	1	Dr. Dominy says, more or less, I wasn't the
2	in newspapers for reasons not related to	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	one who decided that that's who was doing
3	your review of this case?	3	the ultrasound; that's the way it worked
4	A. I didn't understand that question.	4	there, and it was another physician,
5	MS. MALARKEY: I object	5	presumably, or some other managing entity,
6	belatedly.	6	that decided what the office procedures were.
7	BY MR. FOGELSON:	7	It's my understanding, erroneously or not,
8	Q. You testified before that you have	8	that it is Dr. Brigham and/or Kaji that ran
9	read some newspaper articles about Drs.	9	the office. It is that individual who would
10	Bingham and Kaji in the past, correct.	10	be responsible for putting into place an
11	A. I think I know that I have read	11	unacceptable regimen of an untrained
12	newspaper articles, in the plural, about	12	individual doing ultrasounds. If I'm wrong
13	Dr. Bingham and I recall one newspaper	13	that that's who owned or ran the office, then
14	article, I'm not sure that I recall others,	14	I would have to change the name of the
15	where Dr. Kaji's name was mentioned.	15	individuals that I have identified.
16	Q. Okay. At this point in time, are	16	
17	those newspaper articles, whatever they were,	17	page of your report, first full paragraph,
18	is that the other, is that the only other	18	where it's talking about informed consent,
19	source of knowledge you have as far as what	19	is it, essentially, the same situation for
20	Dr. Brigham, about Dr. Brigham or about	20	that, that you have an understanding that
21	this particular practice of his medical	21	they were the ones, as the owners, that were
22	practice or is there something else that you	22	responsible for setting up the documents
23	gleaned information from?	$\frac{22}{23}$	related to informed consent and, therefore,
24	A. I'm sorry, when you say "this	<sup>23</sup> 24	if that is in fact true, then they would be
25	particular medical practice," talking about	25	responsible for any, they would have been
25	particular medical practice, taiking about	43	responsible for any, they would have been
1	Page 47	1	Page 49
1	a particular clinic site or the use of	1	responsible for any improper informed
2	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't	2	responsible for any improper informed consent?
2 3	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.	<b>2</b> 3	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion
2 3 4	<ul><li>a particular clinic site or the use of</li><li>methotrexate a medical practice? I don't</li><li>understand the phrase.</li><li>Q. I mean the business entity, the</li></ul>	2 3 4	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of</li> <li>methotrexate a medical practice? I don't</li> <li>understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the</li> <li>medical practice, not the practice of using</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> 3 4 5	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</li> <li>A. I think my only knowledge, other than</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. <b>Q. I mean the business entity, the</b> <b>medical practice, not the practice of using</b> <b>methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</b> A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</li> <li>A. I think my only knowledge, other than</li> <li>Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if</li> <li>Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</li> <li>A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. <b>Q. I mean the business entity, the</b> <b>medical practice, not the practice of using</b> <b>methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</b> A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. <b>Q. I mean the business entity, the</b> <b>medical practice, not the practice of using</b> <b>methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</b> A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</li> <li>A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if</li> <li>Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic.</li> <li>Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about ''establishing policies and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about "establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase.</li> <li>Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates.</li> <li>A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic.</li> <li>Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about ''establishing policies and</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about "establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about ''establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about ''establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret sonograms'' do you see where I'm looking? A. I do.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about the efficacy of their treatment regimen. Those things they would have responsibility
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 122 133 14 15 16 177 18 19 20	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about "establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret sonograms" do you see where I'm looking?	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about the efficacy of their treatment regimen. Those things they would have responsibility for; not sole responsibility, but, yes, they
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about ''establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret sonograms'' do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q ''to perform and read them.'' What is the basis for those	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about the efficacy of their treatment regimen. Those things they would have responsibility for; not sole responsibility. So, let me
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about "establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret sonograms" do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q "to perform and read them." What is the basis for those opinions at present? Is that the same as	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about the efficacy of their treatment regimen. Those things they would have responsibility for; not sole responsibility. So, let me never mind. I can end my answer there.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about "establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret sonograms" do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q "to perform and read them." What is the basis for those opinions at present? Is that the same as for what we just discussed? The source of	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 <b>23</b>	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about the efficacy of their treatment regimen. Those things they would have responsibility for; not sole responsibility. So, let me never mind. I can end my answer there. Q. Okay. What's your understanding,
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	a particular clinic site or the use of methotrexate a medical practice? I don't understand the phrase. Q. I mean the business entity, the medical practice, not the practice of using methotrexate; American Medical Associates. A. I think my only knowledge, other than Dr. Dominy's testimony and, perhaps, if Dr. Brigham's name appeared somewhere in the records, though I don't recall that, was that Miss Malarkey informed me that he was the owner of this clinic. Q. The second thing, going back to your report, it's the fourth paragraph down, it speaks about "establishing policies and procedures that allow untrained individuals including 'office managers' to interpret sonograms" do you see where I'm looking? A. I do. Q "to perform and read them." What is the basis for those opinions at present? Is that the same as	<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	responsible for any improper informed consent? A. You've just jumped to a conclusion that I'm not suggesting in my report. I agree that they are responsible. I don't agree that they are solely responsible or fully responsible because, of course, a physician who's enacting someone else's treatment machine still has a responsibility to analyze and think through what she's being asked to do to be sure that it's consistent with the standard of care. But, they would have partial responsibility for putting into place a system, for example, that involved the false information about RU-486 not being available in the United States, potentially false information about the efficacy of their treatment regimen. Those things they would have responsibility for; not sole responsibility. So, let me never mind. I can end my answer there.

Pages 50..53

	DANIEL SMALL, M.		- 10/26/2015 Pages 5053
1	Page 50	1	Page 52
	of this took place?		I certainly do think if
2	A. She is an employed physician is my	2	information becomes available to me in
3	understanding.	3	the course of my doing, my doing my job,
4	Q. There's one document I didn't ask	4	that would lead me to believe that some-
5	you about. Have you seen the independent	5	one was not appropriately qualified,
6	contractor agreement between Dr. Dominy and	6	that I needed then to consider that
7	American Medical Associates?	7	information and act on it.
8	A. I do not believe so.	8	BY MR. FOGELSON:
9	Q. Okay. Speaking generally just for a	9	Q. Okay. So, if I'm understanding you
10	minute, Doctor, if you have a situation	10	correctly and, again, I'm not trying to put
11	where a physician assistant, an employee	11	words in your mouth, I'm trying to make sure
12	of the practice which, I think, you just	12	I understand. A physician in that situation
13	testified was your understanding of Dr.	13	doesn't have a duty to affirmatively inquire
14	Dominy's status, in other words, not a	14	into the qualifications, but if information
15	partner or owner of that practice, does the	15	comes to light, the physician may have a
16	standard of care, in general, require that	16	responsibility to act based upon that
17	physician to know the qualifications of the	17	information about the qualifications of
18	other, what I will call, code employee	18	another person in the office, for example
19	healthcare workers who may also examine or	19	a medical assistant, is that what you're
20	in some way treat those patients?	20	saying, essentially?
20	A. Require her? No. It's not like I	20	A. I think that's fair. It's a little
22	expect her to look at the employment records	22	vague because the question is so big. We
23	and training of the other individuals but,	23	both know you're asking about the ultra-
24	certainly, I would assume that she has	24	sonographer and Dr Dominy. Maybe we should
25	knowledge of that based on her day-to-day	25	talk about Dr. Dominy and the ultrasono-
-			
	Page 51		Page 53
1	employment there and would then be	1	grapher.
1 2	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with	2	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm
1 2 3	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it.	2 3	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your
1 2	<ul><li>employment there and would then be</li><li>responsible for acting appropriately with</li><li>that information as she gathered it.</li><li>Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr.</li></ul>	2 3 4	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion.
1 2 3	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it.	2 3	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you
1 2 3 4	<ul><li>employment there and would then be</li><li>responsible for acting appropriately with</li><li>that information as she gathered it.</li><li>Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr.</li></ul>	2 3 4	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had
1 2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>employment there and would then be</li> <li>responsible for acting appropriately with</li> <li>that information as she gathered it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr.</li> <li>Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>employment there and would then be</li> <li>responsible for acting appropriately with</li> <li>that information as she gathered it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr.</li> <li>Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the</li> <li>standard of care requires in that situation</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>employment there and would then be</li> <li>responsible for acting appropriately with</li> <li>that information as she gathered it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr.</li> <li>Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the</li> <li>standard of care requires in that situation</li> <li>where you've got an employee physician and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>employment there and would then be</li> <li>responsible for acting appropriately with</li> <li>that information as she gathered it.</li> <li>Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr.</li> <li>Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the</li> <li>standard of care requires in that situation</li> <li>where you've got an employee physician and</li> <li>then a co-employee, another provider, be it</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates?
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 16	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think,	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 <b>177</b> 18	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think, for example, if I'm working with a	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 <b>17</b> 18 19	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody on a daily basis, it would quickly become
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think, for example, if I'm working with a medical assistant, that, and I'm an	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody on a daily basis, it would quickly become apparent that that person had no formal
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think, for example, if I'm working with a medical assistant, that, and I'm an employed physician, that I have to go to	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody on a daily basis, it would quickly become apparent that that person had no formal ultrasound training.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think, for example, if I'm working with a medical assistant, that, and I'm an employed physician, that I have to go to the owner of the practice or to that	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 <b>177</b> 188 199 200 211 <b>22</b>	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody on a daily basis, it would quickly become apparent that that person had no formal ultrasound training. Q. All right. The title of office
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think, for example, if I'm working with a medical assistant, that, and I'm an employed physician, that I have to go to the owner of the practice or to that medical assistant and say, "I need you	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 <b>17</b> 188 199 200 211 <b>222</b> <b>23</b>	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody on a daily basis, it would quickly become apparent that that person had no formal ultrasound training. Q. All right. The title of office manager, notwithstanding, do you have any
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	employment there and would then be responsible for acting appropriately with that information as she gathered it. Q. Okay. You went specifically to Dr. Dominy. I was asking, in general, what the standard of care requires in that situation where you've got an employee physician and then a co-employee, another provider, be it a nurse, a PA, whatever, anybody working in a medical position, what does the standard of care require as far as the employee's position needs to know or steps they must take to ascertain the qualifications of those other employees? A. I don't think MS. MALARKEY: Objection to the form. You can answer. THE WITNESS: I don't think, for example, if I'm working with a medical assistant, that, and I'm an employed physician, that I have to go to the owner of the practice or to that	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> 111 122 133 144 155 166 <b>177</b> 188 199 200 211 <b>22</b>	grapher. Q. I will ask it specifically. I'm trying to figure out the parameters of your standard of care opinion. Turning to Dr. Dominy, do you have an opinion as to whether Dr. Dominy had some responsibility or some requirement to know the qualifications of the people performing the sonograms at American Medical Associates? A. I think it would have been fair for her to assume that the individual performing the sonogram was appropriately qualified until she began to work there and understood that the office managers were the ones doing the sonograms. Q. Okay. A. And, I think, working with somebody on a daily basis, it would quickly become apparent that that person had no formal ultrasound training. Q. All right. The title of office

Pages 54..57

	DANIEL SMALL, M.	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 5457
	Page 54		Page 56
1	had with regard to ultrasound?	1	were not trained as sonographers and
2	A. I understand that the office manager	2	Dr. Dominy was aware of that fact. My
3	in each of the facilities was doing the	3	question is: What was she required to do
4	ultrasounds. Unless they were in the habit	4	under those circumstances with that
5	of making their ultrasound technicians the	5	knowledge?
6	-		8
	office managers, that tells you that the	6	A. Well, I think she should have
7	ultrasound service is being provided by	7	questioned whether she wanted to continue to
8	someone without that kind of medical	8	provide services in an office or clinic
9	training.	9	setting where people weren't appropriately
10	Q. Is it fair to say you're, and, again,	10	trained to provide the service that they
11	correct me if I'm wrong if I'm not	11	were providing. At the very least, she
12	understanding you, you're assuming that	12	should have done the ultrasound herself so
13	because the person that has been identified	13	that she could have seen that it was
14	as "doing" the sonograms has been identified	14	accurate and, certainly, she then would have
15	as an office manager that, therefore, they	15	known that she was treading on, what I will
16	were not trained as a sonographer?	16	charitably call, very thin ice to simply
17	A. I am making that assumption. I'm	17	sign the reports of incompetent ultrasono-
18	• •		
	also, in part, basing my opinion on the	18	graphers and then take the point of view at
19	retrospective knowledge of the incompetence	19	deposition that she doesn't have to read the
20	with which the ultrasound was done, which is	20	ultrasound, she just has to sign the report
21	a level of incompetence that I can't imagine	21	because she's not a radiologist and that's
22	can be done of somebody with ultrasound	22	only for radiologists to do. Instead of
23	training.	23	having an OB/GYN physician perform the
24	You realize this is a really	24	ultrasound or read the ultrasound, remember,
25	egregious basic failure in the ultrasound.	25	she didn't even look at the pictures. We
	Page 55		Page 57
1	There's no way that you can come up with how	1	have a non-physician untrained in ultrasound
$\frac{1}{2}$	someone who's trained to do ultrasound can	2	and Dr. Dominy felt that was preferable
$\frac{2}{3}$		$\frac{2}{3}$	
	miss a pregnancy at twelve weeks. I know		because she wasn't the radiologist, that's
4	you and I both know that.	4	a bit of a problem.
5	Q. So, as far as your actual knowledge	5	Q. I will get to that part of the case,
6	about the training of these, "office	6	I assure you. But, as far as the office
7	managers," you don't have any knowledge at	7	managers, did you read, do you recall Dr.
	this point, you don't have any information		Dominy's deposition that she testified she
9	about what their training was, is that	9	did not know what their qualifications were?
10	correct?	10	A. I actually got that electronically
11	A. I don't have specific information	11	and don't have a copy of it with me. I'm
12	about their training. I have just the	12	sure you're paraphrasing relatively correct,
13	deposition testimony that they seemed to	13	but I don't have an encyclopedic memory of
14	have been office managers who were trained	14	the deposition line-by-line.
15	by the folks on location and that they	15	Q. Assume for my question she testified
16	didn't have formal ultrasound training. If	16	she didn't know what the qualifications of
17	•		-
	information comes out to the contrary, I	17	the people performing the sonographs were,
18	would withdraw the training criticism of my	18	how, if at all, does that factor into your
19	opinions.	19	opinions?
20	Q. Okay. Given your understanding, the	20	A. If she had reason to believe that
21	assumption you made, what was Dr. Dominy	21	they were qualified, I don't think she had
22	required to do in that circumstance?	22	to question whether or not they were
23	A. In which circumstance now?	23	performing the ultrasounds. There's a big
24	Q. Okay. I think you've testified that	24	
25	your assumption is that these individuals	25	She still has to take responsibility for
			r

<ul> <li>reading the ultrasounds.</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your opinion that here</li> <li>Q. Okay. Is it your opinion that here</li> <li>g. Okay. It is an inmedical individual who had been given a nonnedical individual who had been given an intervient the state it was no longer legal.</li> <li>g. Okay. It is an indiverse of a difference</li> <li>g. Okay. It is an indiverse of a difference</li> <li>g. Sour exit hard was or was in 2012 regarding in what study</li> <li>g. A. Irm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>g. Your sedi, there werent anomalies</li> <li>g. Your sedi, there werent anomalies</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>3 she had reason to know that they were not qualified and that's where your opinions flow from?</li> <li>A. It is my impression that she knew that the my impression that the she the optimal who had been given it a non-taid ultrasound training; that this was is a mainter, bactors in impression clinic to do crown rump.</li> <li>14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.</li> <li>15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor, 16 do you have an understanding of what state law is a non-metric al individual who had been given it a more singen any to wing the my induring a pregnancy, it's no sure what the state law is 2 exactly. Of course, there's a difference at a singen on in which there weren a nonalises.</li> <li>17 and the my impression and non-24 viable premancy, but for a viable and a non-25 meaning on in which there weren a nomalises.</li> <li>18 and conspatible with life, my understanding on the difference is a difference is a difference is a difference is a difference were in methore and misoprostol and you had, 8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>19 were set in system the standard of care in terms of medication in a lifest-trimester abortion?</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care in terms of medication in a stop opring in modication in a lifest-trimester abortion?</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care in terms of medication in a lifest-t</li></ul>	1		1	-
<ul> <li>3 she had reason to know that they were not qualified and that's where your opinions flow from?</li> <li>A. It is my impression that she knew that the my impression that the she the opinion. I think a she trading that they had to be approximately that a nonn-dical individual who had been given its abornor.</li> <li>14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.</li> <li>15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor, 16 do you have an understanding of what state law is a nonn-difference?</li> <li>16 Taw im Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding.</li> <li>17 taw more what the state law is 2 exactly. Of course, there's a difference?</li> <li>21 and compatible with life, my understanding or under the inter and misoprostol and you had, so meating one in which there werent anomalies.</li> <li>17 and compatible with life, my understanding of under the diplerence administered were method that the store of a transmet abortion?</li> <li>18 dipergiancy, but for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable main signal matching that's my unders's a difference?</li> <li>18 and and of care in terms of medication in a time the was not alto the there were administered were administer adortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 Yes.</li> <li>10 Prest trimester.</li> <li>11 requi</li></ul>	2		2	
44. Well, I would refer to literature5flow from?6A. It is my impression that she knew7hat they were not trained and the exact8wording of it, I don't remember. I would9have to go back to the deposition. I think10that it was her understanding that they had11not had itrasound training: that this was12anonmedical individual who had been given13some training, perhaps, by the doctors in14the abortion clinic to do crown rump.15Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,16do you have an understanding of what state17law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding18what point during a pregnancy, it's no20longre legal to conduct an abortion?21A. Im ot sure what the state law is22exactly. Of course, there's a difference23between doing it for a viable pregnancy,24viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,25meaning one in which there weren' anomalies1and compatible with life, my understanding1and compatible with life, my understanding2yourseft, mentioned Buffore that the3G. You mentioned before that the4medications that were administered were7methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,7methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,7methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,7methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,7m				
<ul> <li>5 having to do with specific gestational ages.</li> <li>6 A. It is my impression that she knew that they were not trained and the exact wording of it, I don't remember. I would a wording of it, I don't remember. I would be and training, that they hard to be coven runp.</li> <li>10 that it was her understanding that they hard a nonmedical individual who had been given a moderstanding of what state a nonmedical individual who had been given the abortion clinic to do crown runp.</li> <li>10 do you have an understanding of what state a nonmedical individual was or was in 2012 regarding.</li> <li>11 mothad ultrasound training, perhaps, by the doctors in the it was no longer legal, to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>12 exactly. Of course, there's a difference setween doing it for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy. But for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy. But for a viable pregnancy. But for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy. But for a viable pregnancy. But for a viable pregnancy. But for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy. But for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy. But for a viable pregnancy. But for a viable pregnancy. But for a viable and a non-24 viable pregnancy. But for a viable and a</li></ul>		-		
<ul> <li>A. It is my impression that she knew</li> <li>A. It is my impression that she knew</li> <li>that they were not trained and the exact</li> <li>wording of it, I don't remember. I would</li> <li>have to go back to the deposition. I think</li> <li>in that was her understanding that this was</li> <li>a nonmedical individual who had been given</li> <li>some training, perhaps, by the doctors in</li> <li>that was her understanding of what state</li> <li>g. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>g. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>g. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>g. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>g. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>mot sure what the state law is</li> <li>g. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>mot sure what the state an on-</li> <li>witab point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>lo ugner legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>A. It mot sure what the state law is</li> <li>g. Switching exel, but for a viable and a non-</li> <li>wide pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>medications that were administered were</li> <li>methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>yoursed, hendined RU-486 in the deposition,</li> <li>methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>stincwide a far as medications used to per-</li> <li>G. A. Sr, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>first-trimester.</li> <li>My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>g. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>Was for the alternative regimens, sing volve, sing the difference vas doministered to in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>My question is, what is the</li> <li>first-trimester.</li> <li>A. I think that the mos</li></ul>				,
<ul> <li>7 that they were not trained and the exact</li> <li>8 wording of it, I don't remember. I would</li> <li>9 have to go back to the deposition. I think</li> <li>10 that it was her understanding that they had</li> <li>11 not had ultrasound training; that this was</li> <li>12 a nonmedical individual who had been given</li> <li>13 some training, perhaps, by the doctors in</li> <li>14 the abortion clinic to do crown runp.</li> <li>15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>16 do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding.</li> <li>18 what point in time it was no longer legal,</li> <li>19 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>10 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. Tr not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable and a non-</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there werent anomalies</li> <li>11 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>25 usaulty. Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered werent</li> <li>1 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>29 K. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered werent</li> <li>1 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>29 K. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered werent</li> <li>1 required as far as medications used to perimester abortion?</li> <li>30 My question is, what is your opinoin, in</li> <li>31 Mark and was administered to in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>32 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>41 from second-trimester abortion?</li> <li>42 O. First trimester.</li> <li>43 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>50 Q. You mentioned before that the form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>51 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>41 fran the sta dottoin?</li> <li>42 A. I think that the mo</li></ul>			-	
8wording of it, I don't remember. I would 9 have to go back to the deposition. I think 10 that it was her understanding that they had 11 a nonmedical individual who had been given 13 some training, perhaps, by the doctors in 14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump. 15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor, 16 do you have an understanding of what state 17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding 18 what point during a pregnancy, it's no 20 longer legal to conduct an abortion? 21 a. I'm not sure what the state law is 22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference 24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable and a non- 24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable and a non- 24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable and a non- 25 is twould be at the point where life was 25 gossible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. 5 Q. You mentionced before that the 6 medications that were administered were 7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourseff, mentioned Bto's that standard of care 11 regeristion. I minking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.19 word for a less10 yourseff, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. 10 general, about what the standard of care 11 regeristion s, what is your opinion, in 10 general, about what the standard of care 11 row scond-trimester abortions?8 yourseff, mentioned RU-486, in the deposition to the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent two different negimens administered to 17 the medication was RU-486. No, that's snow administered to 17 the medication was RU-486. No that if an 23 that the had to be appropriate, informed 24 that there had to be appropriate, informed 258 the method that was administered to 17 that there had to be appropriate, informed 2				
9have to go back to the deposition. I think that it was her understanding that they had 1 not had ultrasound training; that this was 12 a nonmedical individual who had been given 13 some training, perhaps, by the doctors in 14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.9gestational age up to 49 days, mifepristone 10 is listed as being 92 percent successful 11 when followed by misoprostol. That was with 12 a lower dose of misoprostol. That's the 13 regimen approved by the USFDA among 14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.15Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor, 16 do you have an understanding of what state 17 what point during a pregnancy, it's no 20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?10is it sommonly felt that there 17 are more efficacious regimens involving 18 what point during a pregnancy, it's no 20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?10A. I'm not sure what the state law is 21 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was 3 possible outside the womb. I thinking is it would be at the point where life was 3 possible outside the womb. I thinking medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, 8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition, 91versus, somewhere in the range of, versus; 29 5 to 99 percent for RU-486 is 92 percent 20 Where am I if I got something 5 wrong, let me know.11required as far as medications used to per- 19Now that the standard of care 17 standard of care in terms of medication in a 18 first-trimester abortion?1Versus, some where in the range of, versus; 29 5 to 99 percent for RU-486 is 92 percent 2012A. I'wold the standard of care 1714 that sthe most efficacious;		•		
1010is listed as being 92 percent successful11not had ultrasound training; that this was12a nonmedical individual who had been given13some training; perhaps, by the doctors in14the abortion clinic to do crown rump.14the abortion clinic to do crown rump.15Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor16do you have an understanding of what state17Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor18what point in time it was no longer legal,19what point during a pregnancy, it's no10longer legal to conduct an abortion?21A. Irm not sure what the state law is22exactly. Of course, there's a difference24viable pregnancy, but for a viable and a non-24viable pregnancy, but for a viable and a non-24viable pregnancy, but for a viable and a non-25nearing one in which there weren't anomalies11and compatible with life, my understanding25and compatible with life, my understanding26that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.27Q. You mentioned before that the28medications that were administered were29You weedion is, what is your opnion, in20general, about what the standard of care21from second-trimester.26Q. You mentioned RU-486 in the deposition?27Q. Yets.28Yets trimester.29Yets trimester.20A. Ir think that the most efficacious <td></td> <td></td> <th></th> <td>1 0</td>				1 0
<ul> <li>11 nothad ultrasound training; that this was a nonmedical individual who had been given as nonmedia what point in time it was no longer legal to oduct an abortion?</li> <li>10 what point in time it was no longer legal, 19 what point during a pregnancy, but for a viable and a non-20 viable outside the womb. It thinking that were administered were that the source of a compatible with life, my understanding 20 up the difference between, and a don-21 viable pregnancy. but for a viable pregnancy with for a viable pregnancy. but for a viable pregnancy with for a viable pregnancy. but for a viable and a non-22 visit would be at the point where life was a possible outside the womb. I thinking that were administered were the mediations used to performed was for the atternative regimens as medications used to performed was given by a viable pregnancy. but for a viable du</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>12 a nonmedical individual who had been given</li> <li>13 some training, perhaps, by the doctors in</li> <li>14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.</li> <li>15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>16 do you have an understanding of what stated</li> <li>17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding</li> <li>18 what point in time it was no longer legal,</li> <li>19 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable and a non-</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>2 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>2 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned Bc/re that the</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition</li> <li>10 general, about what its your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to perist</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortion?</li> <li>15 Q. Yes.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 action was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>21 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 what the that to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>25 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>34 A. No, that's not what 19 southat if a standard of care in</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>13 some training, perhaps, by the doctors in</li> <li>14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.</li> <li>15 O. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>16 do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding</li> <li>18 what point in time it was no longer legal,</li> <li>19 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. Ir mot sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>24 wiable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>20 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>21 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>23 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>24 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>25 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>37 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>38 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition in 2012?</li> <li>31 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>32 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>33 first-trimester.</li> <li>34 forst scoord-trimester abortion?</li> <li>34 forst scoord-trimester abortion?</li> <li>35 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>36 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>37 Q. Yes.</li> <li>30 A. It hink that the most efficacious</li> <li>31 reguinen approved by the USFDA argimens.</li> <li>34 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>35 Wata's your understanding of</li> <li>36 directure abortion in abortion in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>37 Q. Yes.</li> <li>38 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>39 C. Yes.</li> <li>30 A. It hink that the most efficacious</li> <li>31 reguinen appropriate, informed</li> <li>30 wasel, abortion in a less</li> </ul>		-		
<ul> <li>14 the abortion clinic to do crown rump.</li> <li>15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>16 do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding</li> <li>18 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>26 on the words. I thinking</li> <li>27 that first rumester.</li> <li>28 on the state and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>29 yourself, mentioned Bc/ore that the</li> <li>30 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>31 do standard of care in terms of medications in a first-trimester.</li> <li>31 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester.</li> <li>32 O. First trimester.</li> <li>33 days and care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>34 that s, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>35 O. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>35 O. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>34 char's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>35 O. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>34 char's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>35 O. You mentioned Btorin in 2012?</li> <li>35 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>36 from second-trimester abortion?</li> <li>37 O. First trimester.</li> <li>31 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>31 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was 10 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was 11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was 11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was 11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was 11 for the utilization in a 13 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>31 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>31 for the utilization of a less</li> <li>32 Matthe efficacy are is for the method of 14 the medication that was administered to 18</li></ul>		-		
<ul> <li>15 Q. Switching gears for a minute, Doctor,</li> <li>16 do you have an understanding of what state</li> <li>17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding</li> <li>18 what point in time it was no longer legal,</li> <li>19 what point in time it was no longer legal,</li> <li>10 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>24 wiable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>21 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>22 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>23 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>24 that's, roughly. 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>35 Q. You mentioned Before that the</li> <li>36 metications that were administered were</li> <li>37 metotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>38 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>39 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>30 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>31 required as far as medications used to perimester abortion?</li> <li>31 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester.</li> <li>34 Are we distinguishing first-trimester.</li> <li>35 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>36 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>37 standard of care in terms of medication in a lots?</li> <li>31 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was 1 for the useffication that was more about 20 what's your understanding of 16 what the efficacy rate is for the method of 17 the medication that was administered to 18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>31 Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>32 eight percent.</li> <li>33 medication was RU-486. And that if an alternative regimen was going to be utilized, 21 that there had to be appropriate, informed 24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>				• • • •
16do you have an understanding of what state 1716It's commonly felt that there 1717law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding 18what point in time it was no longer legal, 19what point in time it was no longer legal, 19iffering doess of mifepristone, misoporstol.19what point during a pregnancy, it's no 20longer legal to conduct an abortion? 20iffering doess of mifepristone, misoporstol.20longer legal to conduct an abortion? 21A. I'm not sure what the state law is 22exactly. Of course, there's a difference 24vor read, those 95 up to approximately 2021A. I'm not sure what the state law is 22exactly. Of course, there's a difference 24. viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy, 25medications due to moint time weren't anomalies24viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy, 25medications that were weren't anomalies25and compatible with life, my understanding 2 is it would be at the point where life was 3 possible outside the womb. I thinking 4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.15Q. You mentioned before that the 6 medications that were administered were 7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, 8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.48My question is, what is your opinion, in 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 1110 121. Linki kut was more about 10 10 1216A. So, now, the question is, what is the 13A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester 14 16 16 16 16 16 16 161. I would think that was more about 10 10 10 <br< td=""><td></td><td></td><th></th><td></td></br<>				
<ul> <li>17 law in Maryland was or was in 2012 regarding the what point in time it was no longer legal, what point in time it was no longer legal, to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>19 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. I'm not sure what the state law is exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing if for a viable and a non-</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy, withing the regenancy, but for a viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy, viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>23 maching one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>24 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>25 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>3 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methorexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition 9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care in terguined as far as medications used to perform a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 form second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I hink that the most efficacious</li> <li>11 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>12 Q. Yhat's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>14 dufficant regimens of medication in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>15 Q. Yes.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the strimester abortion?</li> <li>17 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>18 dit. I gave you would searce to meth</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>18 what point in time it was no longer legal,</li> <li>19 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable and a non-</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnanc</li></ul>		•		-
<ul> <li>19 what point during a pregnancy, it's no</li> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>21 A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable and a non-</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>26 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>27 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>28 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>29 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>20 that's, roughly. 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>20 You mentioned before that the</li> <li>21 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>29 you read, hose 95 up to approximately</li> <li>20 So the range, the difference between,</li> <li>20 G. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>20 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>21 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>21 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>21 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>21 required as far as medications used to perform a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>21 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>21 G. First trimester.</li> <li>21 G. A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>21 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>22 first trimester abortion?</li> <li>23 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>24 for standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>25 for 92 percent deficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>26 what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>27 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>28 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>29 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>20 What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>21 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>22 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>23 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>24 that two ray andot the utilization of a le</li></ul>				
<ul> <li>20 longer legal to conduct an abortion?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>24 wiable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>20 you read, those 95 up to approximately</li> <li>21 63 days' gestational age.</li> <li>22 Q. So the range, the difference between,</li> <li>23 if I'm understanding you, the difference</li> <li>24 between the method that Miss O'Connell was</li> <li>25 administered here and RU-486 is 92 percent</li> <li>20 you read, those 95 up to approximately</li> <li>21 63 days' gestational age.</li> <li>22 Q. So the range, the difference</li> <li>24 between the method that Miss O'Connell was</li> <li>25 administered here and RU-486 is 92 percent</li> <li>29 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>3 A. No, that's your opinion, in</li> <li>20 you read, those 95 up to approximately</li> <li>21 6 days' gestational age.</li> <li>22 Q. So the range, the difference</li> <li>24 between the method that Miss O'Connell was</li> <li>25 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>3 A. No, that's not what 1 said.</li> <li>4 dua's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned Before that the</li> <li>4 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition,</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>1 for the users of micpristone,</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>1 for the users of micpristone,</li> <li>10 different regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was</li> <li>11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was</li> <li>13 for the users of micpristone,</li> <li>14 for the users of micpristone,</li> <li>15 What's your understanding of</li> <li>14 Miss O'Connell</li></ul>		-		
<ul> <li>A. I'm not sure what the state law is</li> <li>exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>between doing it for a viable and a non-</li> <li>viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>gossible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li><b>Q. You mentioned Before that the</b></li> <li>medications that were administered were</li> <li>medications that were administered were</li> <li>medication is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>form second-trimester abortion?</li> <li><b>Q. First trimester</b>.</li> <li><b>G. First trimester</b>.</li> <li><b>G. A.</b> So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>first-trimester abortion?</li> <li><b>Q. Yes.</b></li> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>22 exactly. Of course, there's a difference</li> <li>23 between doing it for a viable and a non-</li> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalise</li> <li>26 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>27 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>28 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>29 to 19 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>30 A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>40 Uwhere am I if I got something</li> <li>50 V. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>51 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>51 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>71 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to perform a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester.</li> <li>14 form second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 a that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>23 between doing it for a viable and a non-viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy, meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>23 if I'm understanding you, the difference between the method that Miss O'Connell was administered here and RU-486 is 92 percent</li> <li>24 and compatible with life, my understanding you is a diministered here and RU-486 is 92 percent</li> <li>25 more state and misoprostol and you had, so yourself, mentioned before that the methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, so yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>26 My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care in terms of medications in a first-trimester.</li> <li>27 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>28 A. I think that the most efficacious medication was RU-486. And that if an alternative regime was going to be utilized, and that there had to be appropriate, informed ad consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>29 D. Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I think that the most efficacious and consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>21 and compatible with life, my understanding of that Miss O'Connell was administered to that Miss of your testimony at the tirk about eighty percent?</li> <li>21 A. Well, one: Substantial literature 24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>				
<ul> <li>24 viable pregnancy, but for a viable pregnancy,</li> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>24 between the method that Miss O'Connell was</li> <li>25 administered here and RU-486 is 92 percent</li> <li>26 page 59</li> <li>27 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>29 5t 099 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>3 A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to perform a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortion?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>		•		
<ul> <li>25 meaning one in which there weren't anomalies</li> <li>25 administered here and RU-486 is 92 percent</li> <li>27 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>28 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>29 so pop percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>3 A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>1 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>1 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>1 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester.</li> <li>1 for standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>9 Q. Yes.</li> <li>1 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>1 think that the most efficacious</li> <li>1 think that the most efficacious</li> <li>2 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>2 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>3 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>2 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	23	-	23	
<ul> <li>Page 59</li> <li>and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>medications that were administered were</li> <li>methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>form second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>G. First trimester.</li> <li>A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	24			
<ul> <li>1 and compatible with life, my understanding</li> <li>2 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>3 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>17 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>18 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 G. First trimester.</li> <li>14 form second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>11 Wersus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>2 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>3 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 Matter regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	<u> </u>	-1
<ul> <li>2 is it would be at the point where life was</li> <li>3 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>11 atternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>21 atternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>21 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>22 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>3 A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>4 D. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>3 A. I think that the other appropriate, informed</li> <li>4 (I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>9 two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>10 otherwise known as RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>11 atternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>21 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>22 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>		meaning one in which there weren't anomalies	25	administered here and KU-486 is 92 percent
<ul> <li>3 possible outside the womb. I thinking</li> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>11 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>12 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>13 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>3 A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>4 Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>3 A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>4 Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>4 I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>6 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>14 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>15 Q. Yes.</li> <li>16 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>17 the medication that was more about</li> <li>18 eighty percent.</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>21 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>22 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>			25	
<ul> <li>4 that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.</li> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>11 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>12 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>4 Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>5 Wrong, let me know.</li> <li>6 A. I think vou just totally misheard</li> <li>7 me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>8 did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>9 two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>10 otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>12 What's your understanding of</li> <li>13 M. Kould mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>14 He medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 eighty percent.</li> <li>21 Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>21 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25	Page 59		- Page 61
<ul> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding	1	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus
<ul> <li>5 Q. You mentioned before that the</li> <li>6 medications that were administered were</li> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was	1 2	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?
6medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, 86A. I think you just totally misheard me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I8yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. 99My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? form second-trimester abortions?6A. I think you just totally misheard me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I 810general, about what the standard of care form a medical abortion in 2012? form second-trimester abortions?10otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was to otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was for the alternative regimens that are preferred by many abortion providers.14from second-trimester standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?14Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.15What's your understanding of thist the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?16what the efficacy rate is for the method of the medication that was administered to to what the efficacy rate is for the method of to what the efficacy rate is for the method of to what the the most efficacious20A. I think that the most efficacious medication was RU-486. And that if an alternative regimen was going to be utilized, attact there had to be appropriate, informed attact consent around the utilization of a less1123that there had to be appropriate, informed attact consent around the utilization of a less2334that there had to b	25 1 2 3	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking	1 2 3	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? A. No, that's not what I said.
<ul> <li>7 methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</li> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>7 me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>8 did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>9 two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>10 otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>12 was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>13 preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>14 Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>15 What's your understanding of</li> <li>16 what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>17 the medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 eighty percent.</li> <li>21 Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>22 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks.	1 2 3 4	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Where am I if I got something
<ul> <li>8 yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.</li> <li>9 My question is, what is your opinion, in</li> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>11 fink that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul> <ul> <li>8 did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>9 two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>10 otherwise known as RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the</b>	1 2 3 4 5	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Where am I if I got something wrong, let me know.
<ul> <li>10 general, about what the standard of care</li> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>10 otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>12 was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>13 preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>14 Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>15 What's your understanding of</li> <li>16 what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>17 the medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were	1 2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>11 required as far as medications used to per-</li> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>12 was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>13 preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>14 Jord mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>11 for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>12 was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>13 preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>14 Jord mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>15 What's your understanding of</li> <li>16 what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>17 the medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 eighty percent.</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had,</b>	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Where am I if I got something wrong, let me know. A. I think you just totally misheard me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I
<ul> <li>12 form a medical abortion in 2012?</li> <li>13 A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>10 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>11 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>12 was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>13 preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>14 Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>15 What's your understanding of</li> <li>16 what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>17 the medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 eighty percent.</li> <li>21 Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>22 that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>23 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Where am I if I got something wrong, let me know. A. I think you just totally misheard me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I did. I gave you two different numbers for
<ul> <li>A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li>from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li><b>Q. First trimester.</b></li> <li><b>A.</b> So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>first-trimester abortion?</li> <li><b>Q. Yes.</b></li> <li><b>A.</b> I think that the most efficacious</li> <li><b>A.</b> I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li><b>A.</b> Are we distinguishing first-trimester</li> <li><b>B.</b> Preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li><b>G.</b> I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li><b>What's your understanding of</b></li> <li><b>What's your understanding of</b></li> <li><b>What the efficacy rate is for the method of</b></li> <li><b>What the efficacy rate is for the method of</b></li> <li><b>What's O'Connell?</b></li> <li><b>A.</b> I would think that was more about</li> <li>eighty percent.</li> <li><b>Q. What's the basis of your testimony</b></li> <li><b>A.</b> Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>that says that methotrexate is less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>14 from second-trimester abortions?</li> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>19 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>20 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>14 Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>15 What's your understanding of</li> <li>16 what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>17 the medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 eighty percent.</li> <li>21 Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>22 that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>23 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>24 that says that methotrexate is less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per-	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>15 Q. First trimester.</li> <li>16 A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012?	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. So, now, the question is, what is the</li> <li>standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>17 standard of care in terms of medication in a</li> <li>18 first-trimester abortion?</li> <li>19 Q. Yes.</li> <li>20 A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>17 the medication that was administered to</li> <li>18 Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>19 A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>20 eighty percent.</li> <li>21 Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>22 that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>23 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>24 that says that methotrexate is less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions?	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> </ul>
<ol> <li>Q. Yes.</li> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>M. I would think that was more about</li> <li>a. I would think that was more about</li> <li>eighty percent.</li> <li>What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>B. I would think that was more about</li> <li>eighty percent.</li> <li>What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>that says that methotrexate is less</li> </ol>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? Q. First trimester.	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? Q. First trimester. A. So, now, the question is, what is the	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>A. I think that the most efficacious</li> <li>medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012?</b> A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? <b>Q. First trimester.</b> A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? <ul> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>the medication that was administered to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
<ul> <li>21 medication was RU-486. And that if an</li> <li>22 alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>23 that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>24 consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>21 Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>22 that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>23 A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>24 that says that methotrexate is less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012?</b> A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? <b>Q. First trimester.</b> A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion?	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? <ul> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of the medication that was administered to Miss O'Connell?</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>alternative regimen was going to be utilized,</li> <li>that there had to be appropriate, informed</li> <li>consent around the utilization of a less</li> <li>that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> <li>that says that methotrexate is less</li> </ul>	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester. A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion? Q. Yes.	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>the medication that was administered to</li> <li>Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>A. I would think that was more about</li> </ul>
23that there had to be appropriate, informed23A. Well, one: Substantial literature24consent around the utilization of a less24that says that methotrexate is less	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012? A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? Q. First trimester. A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion? Q. Yes. A. I think that the most efficacious	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>the medication that was administered to</li> <li>Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>eighty percent.</li> </ul>
24 consent around the utilization of a less 24 that says that methotrexate is less	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012?</b> A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? <b>Q. First trimester.</b> A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion? <b>Q. Yes.</b> A. I think that the most efficacious medication was RU-486. And that if an	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? <ul> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>the medication that was administered to</li> <li>Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>eighty percent.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> </ul> </li> </ul>
	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012?</b> A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? <b>Q. First trimester.</b> A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion? <b>Q. Yes.</b> A. I think that the most efficacious medication was RU-486. And that if an alternative regimen was going to be utilized,	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b>	Page 61 versus, somewhere in the range of, versus 95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct? A. No, that's not what I said. Q. Where am I if I got something wrong, let me know. A. I think you just totally misheard me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I did. I gave you two different numbers for two different regimens of mifepristone, otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent was for the alternative regimens that are preferred by many abortion providers. Q. I did mishear you. I apologize. What's your understanding of what the efficacy rate is for the method of the medication that was administered to Miss O'Connell? A. I would think that was more about eighty percent. Q. What's the basis of your testimony that it's about eighty percent?
	25 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 59 and compatible with life, my understanding is it would be at the point where life was possible outside the womb. I thinking that's, roughly, 23, 24 weeks. <b>Q. You mentioned before that the medications that were administered were methotrexate and misoprostol and you had, yourself, mentioned RU-486 in the deposition. My question is, what is your opinion, in general, about what the standard of care required as far as medications used to per- form a medical abortion in 2012?</b> A. Are we distinguishing first-trimester from second-trimester abortions? <b>Q. First trimester.</b> A. So, now, the question is, what is the standard of care in terms of medication in a first-trimester abortion? <b>Q. Yes.</b> A. I think that the most efficacious medication was RU-486. And that if an alternative regimen was going to be utilized, that there had to be appropriate, informed	<b>1</b> <b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>Page 61</li> <li>versus, somewhere in the range of, versus</li> <li>95 to 99 percent for RU-486, is that correct?</li> <li>A. No, that's not what I said.</li> <li>Q. Where am I if I got something</li> <li>wrong, let me know.</li> <li>A. I think you just totally misheard</li> <li>me unless I misspoke, and I don't think I</li> <li>did. I gave you two different numbers for</li> <li>two different regimens of mifepristone,</li> <li>otherwise known as RU-486. 92 percent was</li> <li>for the USFDA regimens. 95 to 99 percent</li> <li>was for the alternative regimens that are</li> <li>preferred by many abortion providers.</li> <li>Q. I did mishear you. I apologize.</li> <li>What's your understanding of</li> <li>what the efficacy rate is for the method of</li> <li>the medication that was administered to</li> <li>Miss O'Connell?</li> <li>A. I would think that was more about</li> <li>eighty percent.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your testimony</li> <li>that it's about eighty percent?</li> <li>A. Well, one: Substantial literature</li> </ul>

Pages 62..65

1	Page 62	1	
1	age was potentially quite a bit different	1	What did the standard of care
2	than what they told her it was.		require if a patient comes in the first
3	She had an ultrasound that	3	trimester, wants a medical abortion, what
4	put her at eight weeks and some by mid-July	4	does the standard of care require as far as
5	in which case, you know, I don't have the	5	not informed consent about what medication
6	paper in front of me, but I know I have	6	is to be used for that medical abortion?
7	figured out or referenced in my report, that	7	A. Well, I think, you should use the
8	by that ultrasound she was over nine weeks	8	most efficacious regimen or the FDA-approved
9	at the time that they administered these	9	regimen and not use a less efficacious,
10	medications.	10	non-approved FDA regimen.
11	Q. I guess right now I will get to	11	Certainly, there are all
12	that issue as well. My question is: With	12	sorts of examples in American medicine where
13	regard to the eighty percent figure that you	13	things are used off label. If you're using
14	have mentioned thus far, you mentioned the	14	off-label medication that is less
15	literature. Do you have specific literature	15	efficacious at the very least, you have to
16	you are relying on for that figure?	16	have a discussion with the patient about why
17	MS. MALARKEY: Other than the	17	you're suggesting a less efficacious
18	ACOG bulletin?	18	medication and have them agree to that.
19	BY MR. FOGELSON:	19	Q. Does the fact that a medication is
20	Q. Sure. I don't know whether it's in	20	off label, does that methotrexate, you
21	the ACOG bulletin or not.	21	are testifying, it's an off-label use to use
22	A. It's so unusual to use methotrexate	22	it for a medical abortion?
23	in this manner that I don't have literature	23	A. Right.
24	available at my fingertips about its lack of	24	Q. Does that fact alone make it a breach
25	efficacy at this gestational age.	25	of the standard of care to use it for a
	Page 63		Page 65
1	Even with ectopic pregnancy,	1	Page 65 medical abortion?
1 2	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho-	<b>1</b> 2	medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that
1 2 3	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't	1 2 3	Page 65 <b>medical abortion?</b> A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.
1 2 3 4	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta	1 2 3 4	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and
1 2 3 4 5	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in	1 2 3 4 5	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient
1 2 3 4 5 6	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy.	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6	Page 65 <b>medical abortion?</b> A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find	1 2 3 4 5 6 7	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<sup>Page 63</sup> Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients.	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 65 <b>medical abortion?</b> A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b>	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b>	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point?	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> 14 15	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b>	Page 65 medical abortion? A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label. But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available. Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure rate and that was part of the informed	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI. A. Sure.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure rate and that was part of the informed consent issue that I took issue with in	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 <b>20</b>	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. An OB/GYN can pick up that report</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure rate and that was part of the informed consent issue that I took issue with in reviewing their records.	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b>	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI. A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. An OB/GYN can pick up that report and rely on the findings?</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure rate and that was part of the informed consent issue that I took issue with in reviewing their records. Q. So, as far as in 2012, what was the	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b> 22	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. An OB/GYN can pick up that report and rely on the findings? A. Yes.</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Even with ectopic pregnancy,</li> <li>when you have a viable embryo and methotrexate is utilized, it generally doesn't</li> <li>work and that's often with much lower beta</li> <li>ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. <ul> <li>I can certainly find</li> </ul> </li> <li>literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients.</li> <li>Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point?</li> <li>A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure rate and that was part of the informed consent issue that I took issue with in reviewing their records.</li> <li>Q. So, as far as in 2012, what was the standard of care required as to medication?</li> </ul>	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b> 22 <b>23</b>	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. An OB/GYN can pick up that report and rely on the findings?</li> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Is that also true for an OB/GYN,</li> </ul>
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 63 Even with ectopic pregnancy, when you have a viable embryo and metho- trexate is utilized, it generally doesn't work and that's often with much lower beta ACG levels than would have been the case in this particular pregnancy. I can certainly find literature that would support that, but I don't have a specific reference as I sit here. You will also notice that there is reference to efficacy in the AMA paperwork that they gave to the patients. Q. And do you have an opinion about the correctness or incorrectness of that information just on that one point? A. Based on my general understanding of the literature, I thought the quote that they gave was about half the actual failure rate and that was part of the informed consent issue that I took issue with in reviewing their records. Q. So, as far as in 2012, what was the	<b>1</b> 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> 19 <b>20</b> <b>21</b> 22	<ul> <li>Page 65</li> <li>medical abortion?</li> <li>A. No. What I just testified was that it was not a breach to use that off label.</li> <li>But, if you're going to use it off label and it's less efficacious, a reasonable patient would want to know that. So, the standard of care requires that the patient be given that information for proper informed consent. You would want to know if you were being told to use a less efficacious medication and there were an alternative available.</li> <li>Q. With regard to sonograms, in general, in general, not with respect to this case, do you agree that an OB/GYN can rely on a, let's start with a radiologist's report, of their interpretation of an imaging study? For example, an MRI.</li> <li>A. Sure.</li> <li>Q. An OB/GYN can pick up that report and rely on the findings? A. Yes.</li> </ul>

1	they have performed? A. No.	1 2	what ways on that date did Dr. Dominy breach the standard of care, in summary form, and
2			
3	Q. Why not, speaking generally?	3	then I will go through those in detail.
4	A. It's the OB/GYN's responsibility to	4	A. I have to try to distinguish what
5	read that ultrasound. A sonographer isn't	5	happened that day as opposed to fourteen
6	licensed to read the ultrasound. A sono-	6	days later. I don't have the report. And
7	grapher is licensed to perform the actual	7	some of that information is summarized.
8	study, to use the transducer, and take the	8	If you would fax that report
9	pictures. It's the OB/GYN's responsibility	9	to the office here so I can have the report
10	to look at those pictures and make a	10	in front of me, I would appreciate it
11	determination.	11	Q. Sure. Okay.
12	I suppose for a very, very	12	A. Can we take a break to do that?
13	simple study, if based on a history of	13	Q. Definitely.
14	experience with a particular sonographer,	14	(Recess taken 5:06 p.m.)
15	you decide not to actually look at the	15	
16	pictures then, in a given circumstance, you	16	(Back on the record, 5:13 p.m.)
17	could just sign off on the report, under-	17	MS. MALARKEY: Is that the
18	standing that you are then taking	18	first office visit?
19	responsibility for the accuracy of the	19	THE WITNESS: So, it's my
20	photos.	20	understanding that this is the informed
21	Q. Does the standard of care require	21	consent visit. In large part, this is a
22	that the OB/GYN be present while the sono-	22	visit at which the informed consent for
23	gram is being performed? Or something else?	23	the medical abortion occurred.
24	A. No.	24	So, one breach is that Dr.
25	Q. What does it require that one does	25	Dominy did not provide, what I consider
	-		
1	to be in compliance with the standard of	1	Page 69
2	care?	$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	to be, proper informed consent regarding the nature of the medical abortion and
3	A. The question is, unfortunately, too	$\frac{2}{3}$	its material risks and alternatives.
4	vague as asked. You don't have to be in the	4	Miss O'Connell testified that
5	room. You moved onto what is the standard	5	
6	of care requiring a I don't know what		she was told that mifepristone was not
7		6	available in the United States and the
8	you mean.	/	paperwork from American Medical
	Q. You told me you don't need to be in the room What does the physician peed	8	Associates this is the second page of
9	the room. What does the physician need	9	the document I have from that office
10 11	how does the physician you testified	10	
	before, a physician needs to look at the	11	in the United States.
12	pictures. What does a physician my	12	
13	question is, essentially, follow-up what	13	5
14	does a physician need to do in order to	14	1 1 0
15	review the sonogram themselves? Does that	15	
16	make sense?	16	
17	A. You have to look at the pictures.	17	
18	Q. Okay. So, the picture is taken by	18	
19	the sonographer and then provided to the	19	
20	OB/GYN?	20	
21	A. Right. You are reading the ultra-	21	will experience a complete emptying of
22	sound. You are reading the ultrasound. You	22	
23	have to read the ultrasound.	23	
24	Q. Miss O'Connell was seen at American	24	0
25	Medical Associates on July 26, 2012. In	25	O'Connell was at the time of the admin-

Pages 70..73

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 7073
	Page 70		Page 72
1	istration would not have been associated	1	even if the gestational age had been
2	with the 92 percent success rate.	2	calculated correct, you wouldn't have agreed
3	The major thing that day is	3	with the 92 percent efficacy rate for a
4	the informed consent issue. In addition,	4	pregnancy at that point in time?
5	seeing the competence with which the	5	A. For Miss O'Connell, that is correct.
6	ultrasound in August was done and the	6	Q. Do you have anything else to add as
7	fact that we have an ultrasound and a	7	far as the efficacy rate and why, in your
8	radiologist office placing the patient	8	opinion, that is incorrect?
9	at significantly greater gestational age	9	A. The other important concepts were,
10		10	this is relative efficacy. If a patient is
1	than the crown rump length documented on	11	
11	July 26th, I think it's likely that that		not given the information that the
12	is not an accurate crown rump length.	12	alternative, the regimen would be more
13	Dr. Dominy is responsible for	13	efficacious, that's also a problem. If I
14	the reading of the ultrasound and takes	14	tell you something that's 90 percent
15	responsibility for it with her signature	15	effective and isn't that wonderful, you
16	and, I think, it's likely that that crown	16	might think it's wonderful until you knew
17	rump length, had she actually looked at	17	something else was 96 percent effective, in
18	the film and judged its accuracy, would	18	which case you would be less enthusiastic.
19	have been considered to be a poorly	19	That's an informed consent issue as well.
20	taken crown rump length that under-	20	Q. Okay. Are there things, in addition
21	estimated the gestational age because	21	to those three things, that you have
22	the gestational age would then have been	22	identified that, in your opinion, made the
23	underestimated. It means that the	23	informed consent here improper or in-
24	patient was given further false	24	sufficient?
25	information about the efficacy of the	25	A. That's, that's all that occurs to
	Page 71		Page 73
1	Page 71 methotrexate regimen.	1	_
1 2	methotrexate regimen.	1 2	me right now.
2	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON:	2	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the
2 3	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to	2 3	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in
2 3 4	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You	2 3 4	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be
2 3 4 5	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document	2 3 4 5	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would
2 3 4 5 6	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's	2 3 4 5 6	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent
2 3 4 5 6 7	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion,	2 3 4 5 6 7	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in? A. That would be what a reasonable
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in? A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in? A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> 10 11 <b>12</b> 13	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> 10 11 <b>12</b> 13 14	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct?	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b>	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b>	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think,	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b>	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b>	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b>	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated incorrectly.	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b>	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent upon them not to underestimate the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated incorrectly. Q. What do you mean by that?	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> 10 11 <b>12</b> 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent upon them not to underestimate the gestational age.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	methotrexate regimen. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? A. Yes. Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct? A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated incorrectly. Q. What do you mean by that? A. Well, we talked about that a little	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> 10 11 <b>12</b> 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent upon them not to underestimate the gestational age. I'm sort of wandering from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>methotrexate regimen.</li> <li>BY MR. FOGELSON:</li> <li>Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated incorrectly.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about that a little bit earlier. They overestimated the efficacy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in? A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances. Q. What are those things here? A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent upon them not to underestimate the gestational age. I'm sort of wandering from the original question, perhaps, but Miss
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>methotrexate regimen.</li> <li>BY MR. FOGELSON:</li> <li>Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated incorrectly.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about that a little bit earlier. They overestimated the efficacy of their regimen.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> 10 11 <b>12</b> 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>me right now.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in?</li> <li>A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances.</li> <li>Q. What are those things here?</li> <li>A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent upon them not to underestimate the gestational age.</li> <li>I'm sort of wandering from the original question, perhaps, but Miss O'Connell needed to be told, "RU-486 is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>methotrexate regimen.</li> <li>BY MR. FOGELSON:</li> <li>Q. Let's start with just going back to your opinion about informed consent. You had identified number 1, the document says that RU-486 was not available, so that's one error, so to speak, in your opinion, with regards to informed consent, correct? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. And, then, you also identified the efficacy rate as not being correct given your further opinion that the gestational age was not calculated correctly at that time, so that's a second criticism with regard to informed consent, correct?</li> <li>A. That's correct. And, also, the efficacy rate, in general, was, I think, overestimated regardless of the fact that the gestational age was calculated incorrectly.</li> <li>Q. What do you mean by that?</li> <li>A. Well, we talked about that a little bit earlier. They overestimated the efficacy</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> <b>4</b> <b>5</b> <b>6</b> <b>7</b> <b>8</b> <b>9</b> <b>10</b> <b>11</b> <b>12</b> <b>13</b> <b>14</b> <b>15</b> <b>16</b> <b>17</b> <b>18</b> <b>19</b> <b>20</b> <b>21</b> <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	me right now. Q. Okay. What is, to put it in the positive, what is required to be, or in 2012, rather, what was required to be discussed with the patient? What would constitute an appropriate informed consent for Miss O'Connell on the date she walked in? A. That would be what a reasonable patient would want to know under the same set of circumstances. Q. What are those things here? A. I would say what the true efficacy is, what the alternatives are, which includes alternatives in terms of medical abortions as well as surgical and, certainly, if they're only giving the particular medical regimen that they're utilizing up to a specific gestational age, it's incumbent upon them not to underestimate the gestational age. I'm sort of wandering from the original question, perhaps, but Miss

Pages 74..77

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 747
	Page 74		Page 76
1	don't do it here, but I can let you know who	1	generally recommended that the patient then
2	does; that it is more efficacious than what	2	return seven or fourteen days later when a
$\overline{3}$	we're going to give you," and based on what	3	crown rump can be measured. That's more
4		4	accurate than a sac size.
	her true gestational age was, they should	-	
5	have been able to tell her what the risks	5	On July 16th, in this case,
6	and benefits were of that treatment,	6	we have an ultrasound done at Community
7	including its efficacy.	7	Radiology Associates which measures a crown
8	Q. As far as the gestational age, what	8	rump of eight weeks, two days. Ten days
9	is the basis of your opinion that it was	9	later, on July 26th, that fetus would be
10	what's your understanding of how it was	10	nine weeks, five days. Instead, using the
11	calculated on that day, on July 26, 2012?	11	less accurate version of a sac size, they
12		12	
	A. Well, there's a page, let me find		estimate the gestational age to be two
13	it. So, there's the page labeled,	13	weeks' less. So that is not supposed to be
14	"Obstetrical Sonogram Report, 7/26/12," and	14	done that way; you are supposed to use a
15	they list the gestational age as seven	15	crown rump length or two when combined with
16	weeks, four days. The gestational age at	16	the obvious incompetence of the ultrasound
17	that particular point in a pregnancy is	17	three weeks later. It just confirms that
18	more accurately dated by a crown rump length,	18	this is somebody who doesn't know how to do
19	but they seem to have done it by a	19	ultrasound well enough. The crown rump
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	gestational sac. So that, in itself, is	$\frac{1}{20}$	was there. Why not measure the crown rump?
$\frac{20}{21}$	<b>C</b>	20	
	inaccurate.		It's right in front of you. The fetus is
22	I realize I had noticed that	22	inside that sac.
23	when I originally read the records, but	23	Q. So your opinion is that, more likely,
24	didn't notice it again until just now.	24	the gestational age on July 26, 2012, was
25	If we look at the ultrasound	25	nine weeks, five days based on the other
	Page 75		Page 77
1	that was done in the radiology office, it's	1	
$\begin{vmatrix} 1\\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	that was done in the radiology office, it's	1	earlier ultrasound?
2	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at	2	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that
2 3	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.	2 3	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.
2 3 4	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. <b>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound,</b>	2 3 4	<ul><li>earlier ultrasound?</li><li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li><li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that</li> <li>would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to</li> <li>ask you to do a little math here, which is</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods	2 3 4 5 6 7	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to
2 3 4 5 6 7	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods	2 3 4 5 6	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th? A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? A. Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th? A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th? A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right? A. I'm not sure I understood that last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	earlier ultrasound? A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age. Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th? A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right? A. I'm not sure I understood that last	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates. Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? A. Yes. Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right? A. I'm not sure I understood that last question. Q. What's the basis of your opinion	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 111 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly determined here?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing appropriately, and my math is correct and,</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly determined here? <ul> <li>A. Well, it's well known in obstetrics</li> </ul> </li> </ul></li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing appropriately, and my math is correct and, of course, I wouldn't expect it to be</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly determined here?</li> <li>A. Well, it's well known in obstetrics that a crown rump length is well, perhaps,</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing appropriately, and my math is correct and, of course, I wouldn't expect it to be growing appropriately because it had been</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly determined here?</li> <li>A. Well, it's well known in obstetrics that a crown rump length is well, perhaps, very early in pregnancy before you can</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing appropriately, and my math is correct and, of course, I wouldn't expect it to be growing appropriately because it had been exposed to methotrexate, I would expect the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly determined here?</li> <li>A. Well, it's well known in obstetrics that a crown rump length is well, perhaps, very early in pregnancy before you can measure a crown rump, you might date a</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing appropriately, and my math is correct and, of course, I wouldn't expect it to be growing appropriately because it had been exposed to methotrexate, I would expect the gestational age would be 19 weeks, 6 days</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>that was done in the radiology office, it's fairly inconsistent with that ultrasound at American Medical Associates.</li> <li>Q. Before we get to that ultrasound, is it a are you saying it's a breach of the standard of care to utilize a crown rump, sorry, the gestational sac if methods to evaluate the gestational age, regardless of whether you get it right or wrong, is that an inappropriate method to use to make the calculation? <ul> <li>A. Yes.</li> <li>Q. Okay. I understand what you already said. In addition to that, it was, somehow it was miscalculated as well, is that right?</li> <li>A. I'm not sure I understood that last question.</li> <li>Q. What's the basis of your opinion that the gestational age was incorrectly determined here?</li> <li>A. Well, it's well known in obstetrics that a crown rump length is well, perhaps, very early in pregnancy before you can</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>earlier ultrasound?</li> <li>A. Based on obstetric principles, that would be the correct gestational age.</li> <li>Q. Okay. What about I'm going to ask you to do a little math here, which is terrifying if I'm ever asked to do that. Now, if you go forward to</li> <li>October 5, 2012, what would you expect the gestational age to be at that date if it was nine weeks, five days on July 26th?</li> <li>A. Let me get a pen. I don't have a wheel in front of me. Nine weeks, five days, we said, on 7/26. Now, you're asking me what would the gestational age be at what date?</li> <li>Q. October 5th.</li> <li>A. It will take a moment, obviously.</li> <li>Q. Sure. Take whatever time you need.</li> <li>A. If the fetus had been growing appropriately, and my math is correct and, of course, I wouldn't expect it to be growing appropriately because it had been exposed to methotrexate, I would expect the</li> </ul>

Pages 78..81

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 7881
	Page 78		Page 80
1	And what I've done, just if	1	A. It is my opinion, to a reasonable
2	we want to reconstruct the math, on July 26,	2	degree of medical probability, that this
3	I have nine weeks, five days. There's five	3	baby would not have grown normally having
	more days in July, 31 days in August, 30 days	4	been exposed to methotrexate.
	in September and five in October until we	5	Q. Do you have any further opinion, I
6	get to October 5th. Adding up those numbers,	6	know I asked you about this before, along
7	that's 71 days ago, ten weeks and a day.	7	those lines that the baby would not have
	Add ten weeks and a day to nine weeks and	8	grown normally, do you have any more
	five days.	9	detailed opinion how the baby would have
10	Q. What about at jumping forward	10	grown other than, say, not normally?
11	another month, approximately November 9th	11	A. I don't understand you.
12	of 2012, same question.	12	<b>Q. Okay. Do you have a more specific</b>
13	A. So, in a normal growth curve, a baby	12	opinion, given your opinion that the baby,
14	that was not ultimately growth retarded, the	14	you would not expect the baby to have grown
15	gestational age would be 24 weeks, 6 days	15	normally, do you have a more specific
16	on November 9th.	16	opinion about how you would have expected
17	Q. All right. I only have two more of	17	the baby to have grown, in other words,
18	these.	18	one week behind developmentally, two weeks
19	A. If I had known, I would have brought	19	behind, three weeks, what have you?
20	a wheel. That's why we use those things.	20	A. I understand. I can't tell you
21	Q. Doctor, I don't want your answer to	21	specifically. Certainly, there would be a
22	be I'm not saying your math was wrong. I	22	much greater likelihood of intrauterine
23	don't want your answer to be a miscalculation	23	growth restriction having had the placenta
24	by a wheel. If you later wheel this out and	24	poisoned by the methotrexate in the first
25	you find a different date	25	trimester. Intrauterine growth restriction
	Page 79		Page 81
1	A. I will let you know.	1	would be a baby in less than the tenth
2	MS. MALARKEY: You will have	2	percentile for growth. So, there would be
3	a chance to look at the transcript and	3	a much greater incidence of that and,
4	make any corrections.	4	certainly, of babies that are between the
5	MR. FOGELSON: Right.	5	10th and 50th percentile than would
6	BY MR. FOGELSON:	6	otherwise be predicted by a bell curve.
7	Q. I will give you both of these,	7	Q. Can you tell me, Doctor, to the
	December 7, 2012, and December 19, 2012.	8	extent that you have a baby that is allowed,
9	A. So, gestational age based on being	9	that is allowed to continue after the,
10	nine weeks, five days on 7/26, it would be	10	where the abortion is not successful and the
11	28 weeks, 6 days on December 7th; 30 weeks,	11	baby continues to develop, is there a way to
11	four days 12 days later on the 19th.	11	determine whether or not that baby is
12	<b>Q. All right. Do you have an opinion</b>	12	affected by the methotrexate?
13	in this case, Doctor, to a reasonable degree	13 14	•
14		14	A. Often, you can't. Sadly, some of the
15	of medical probability, that this particular baby was not growing at a normal rate?		babies affected by methotrexate have
	A. I don't know that I ever heard of a	16	significant defects, things like absent
17		17	limbs and small brains and other specific
18	baby growing normally after administration	18	anomalies. It's not unusual to have things
19	of methotrexate. Anyone specifically given	19	like missing digits and one bone in the
20	to poison and shrink placental tissue, I	20	lower leg instead of two, abnormal growth of
21	would expect in a pregnancy of that kind	21	the long bones. So, there are specific
22	that there would be growth retardation.	22	ultrasound abnormalities that you may see.
23	Q. Is that a yes, that is your opinion	23	In the absence of the abnormalities that are
24	to a reasonable degree of medical	24	less specified and still possible and
25	probability?	25	prenatally it would be impossible to know,
		1	

Pages 82..85

	DANIEL SMALL, M.	·D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages 8285
	Page 82		Page 84
1	for example, if a kid's brain function is	1	If she doesn't see the baby
2	going to be affected. I don't think there's	2	in the uterus, I don't understand how you
3	a way to determine that if the brain is of	3	can miss that if you're looking at the
4	normal size or somewhat normal size.	4	uterus. If she had looked at the picture,
5			▲ ·
	Q. You also mentioned the signing of	5	Dr. Dominy would have realized that she
6	the ultrasound report here. Your report	6	didn't take a picture of the right part of
7	mentions that. Your report mentions some-	7	the uterus or the uterus itself. How do you
8	thing along the lines of by signing the	8	miss a 10 to 12-week fetus?
9	report, Dr. Dominy, let me find it. That	9	Q. I'm just asking right now about your
10	would be in your June 16th report. "By	10	opinion about the signature.
11	signing the office manager's report, Dr.	11	Hypothetically, Dr. Dominy
12	Dominy accepted responsibility for the	12	testified at her deposition that she did not
13	interpretation, even though she did not	13	sign the purpose of her signing the
14	personally perform the ultrasound and	14	ultrasound reports in this case was not to
15	review the images."	15	endorse their interpretation but, rather,
16	My question is, how did you	16	for some other reason. Does that have any
17	reach that opinion?	17	bearing on your opinion?
18	A. That's based on my thirty years of	18	A. Well, that would be
19	experience in obstetrics and gynecology.	19	MS. MALARKEY: Objection.
			THE WITNESS: That would be
20	Sonographers don't bill for ultrasounds.	20	
21	They don't interpret ultrasounds. They are	21	a novel answer to the question of what
22	technicians who physically take the pictures.	22	a signature on a report means. A
23	It's my responsibility as an obstetrician/	23	signature on an ultrasound report means
24	gynecologist to read the ultrasound. It was	24	that you're taking responsibility for
25	Dr. Dominy's responsibility to read the	25	reading that report. That's the way it's
	Page 83		Page 85
1	ultrasound. If she's going to take the	1	done in OB/GYN. So, if she has some
2	shortcut of not looking at the pictures, not	2	other interpretation, I will listen to
3	to mention, for crying out loud, in a	3	it, but it would be the first time in
4	situation where, I think, she knows that	4	thirty years I have heard such a thing.
5	this is an office manager who doesn't have	5	BY MR. FOGELSON:
6	any specific training in ultrasounds, then	6	Q. Okay. Well, that's why I'm asking
7	when she signs that report, she's saying,	7	you to assume hypothetically that's what
8	"I own this. I'm taking responsibility that	8	she said. Does that have any bearing on
9	this is accurate." If she doesn't think	9	your opinion?
10	it's accurate, she's got to put the trans-	10	MS. MALARKEY: I object. I
11	ducer on herself or, at the very least, and	11	don't think she said that. If that's
12	see if they represent what they are supposed	12	what you're asking the doctor to assume,
13	to be representing.	13	go ahead.
14	Did the ultrasound sono-	14	U
15	grapher even take a picture of the uterus in	15	
16	mid-August?	16	vague, unspecified thing, but isn't an
17	Q. In August or July?	17	endorsement of what's on the report at
18	A. I meant in mid-August.	18	all. Well, then, she's agreeing to take
19	Q. Okay.	19	care of a patient based on an ultrasound
20	A. In July, I know she took a picture	20	that she's taking no responsibility for.
21	of the uterus because she's measuring a sac,	21	I wonder why she doesn't do
22	unless she measured an ovarian cyst and	22	a urine or a blood pregnancy test? And
23	called it a sac. I'm not suggesting that	23	I wonder why she doesn't go back in and
$\frac{1}{24}$	happened. I think she's measuring the	$\frac{1}{24}$	
25	gestational sac in July.	25	
1-0	0		, not for hours of all alle

Pages 86..89

1	Page 86	1	Page 88
1	sound being done without it being	1	preting the ultrasound that day?
2	interpreted by a physician? When, in	2	A. On August 17th, right.
3	anywhere in American medicine, is there	3	Q. Any other breaches of the standard
4	an imaging study that's done that's not	4	of care on August 17th?
5	interpreted by the physician who's	5	A. I feel that a pregnancy test should
6	responsible in that specialty?	6	have been done.
7	Do cardio echo's get done by	7	Q. What test?
8	the sonographer? Do mammograms get read	8	A. I don't care. I don't care whether
9	by the mammogram tech? Dr. Dominy is	9	they did a urine test or a blood test, but
10	coming up, then, with a novel event in	10	on some level we know that this is a patient
11	American medicine.		
		11	who didn't pass tissue. She certainly didn't
12	BY MR. FOGELSON:	12	pass all of the tissue in the pregnancy.
13	Q. So, fair to say, regardless of the	13	In fact, it's overwhelmingly likely that
14	signature or not or the purpose of signing	14	she passed no pregnancy tissue. So, how is
15	the document or not, that ultimately I	15	it that appropriate communication with a
16	mean your opinion is that she's responsible	16	patient on August 17th didn't reveal that
17	for the interpretation of that study?	17	what happened to her was not consistent with
18	A. I believe that's fair. For example,	18	her having past an almost 10-week size
19	if she had not signed the page at all, I	19	fetus?
20	would hold her similarly responsible unless	20	Q. When you say go ahead, sorry.
21	it was represented to her that another	$\frac{1}{21}$	A. When she comes back in mid-August,
22	physician had read that ultrasound.	$\frac{1}{22}$	if you're really talking to the patient, you
$\frac{1}{23}$	Q. Any other opinions about the July 26,	$\frac{22}{23}$	would have realized this doesn't sound like
24	2012, appointment that we have not discussed	$\frac{23}{24}$	a complete miscarriage of an almost 10-week
25	at this point? Just that first visit	25	gestation on July 26th; it doesn't even, by
43	at this point. Just that hist visit	25	gestation on July 20th, it doesn't even, by
	Page 87		Page 89
1	A. The first visit, you know, no, sir.	1	history, sound like a complete miscarriage
2	Essentially, that's the informed consent	2	of a seven and one-half week pregnancy if
3	stuff and the problem with the gestational	3	you were operating under the erroneous
4	sac instead of the crown rump length because	4	assumption that the pregnancy was only seven
5	I hold Dr. Dominy responsible for the	5	and one-half weeks on July 26th. So, if she
6	ultrasound. I hold her responsible as well	6	hasn't actively passed a significant amount
7	as the technician on that day.	7	of tissue, you have to be sure that there's
8	Q. Got it.	8	no pregnancy tissue left behind. An ultra-
9	August 17, 2012. That's the	9	sound isn't sufficient for that. An
10	second time that Miss O'Connell comes to	10	ultrasound tells you that the sac is either
11	American Medical Associates, correct?	11	collapsed or has been passed, but it doesn't
12	A. Right.	12	tell you that you have passed all of the
13	Q. In what ways did Dr. Dominy breach	13	placental tissue.
14	the standard of care on that day?	14	So, the combination of the
15	MS. MALARKEY: Aside from	15	ultrasound problem and what must have been
16		15	utrasound problem and what must have been
		16	the history they don't record the history
	what he just said or	16	the history, they don't record the history,
17	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just	17	so we don't have this for posterity, should
17 18	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said.	17 18	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure
17 18 19	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said. MS. MALARKEY: He said about	17 18 19	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure that she's completed this miscarriage. A
17 18 19 20	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said. MS. MALARKEY: He said about the ultrasound.	17 18 19 20	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure that she's completed this miscarriage. A urine pregnancy test is incredibly simple to
17 18 19 20 21	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said. MS. MALARKEY: He said about the ultrasound. BY MR. FOGELSON:	17 18 19 20 21	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure that she's completed this miscarriage. A urine pregnancy test is incredibly simple to do. To do a urine pregnancy test two weeks
17 18 19 20 21 <b>22</b>	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said. MS. MALARKEY: He said about the ultrasound. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. I'm asking for one sentence and	17 18 19 20 21 22	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure that she's completed this miscarriage. A urine pregnancy test is incredibly simple to do. To do a urine pregnancy test two weeks after an abortion is a commonplace thing.
17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said.</li> <li>MS. MALARKEY: He said about the ultrasound.</li> <li>BY MR. FOGELSON:</li> <li>Q. I'm asking for one sentence and then I will come back to it.</li> </ul>	17 18 19 20 21 22 23	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure that she's completed this miscarriage. A urine pregnancy test is incredibly simple to do. To do a urine pregnancy test two weeks after an abortion is a commonplace thing. The last time I did that was three hours ago,
17 18 19 20 21 22	what he just said or MR. FOGELSON: What he just said. MS. MALARKEY: He said about the ultrasound. BY MR. FOGELSON: Q. I'm asking for one sentence and	17 18 19 20 21 22	so we don't have this for posterity, should have lead them to this. We have to be sure that she's completed this miscarriage. A urine pregnancy test is incredibly simple to do. To do a urine pregnancy test two weeks after an abortion is a commonplace thing.

Pages 90..93

	DANIEL SMALL, M		- 10/26/2015 Pages 9093
	Page 90		Page 92
1	womb with a negative pregnancy test. You	1	placenta, I suppose there are circumstances
2	can get the results in hours or a day in a	2	where I would think that that, together
3	urine pregnancy test.	3	with the clinical picture, you would accept
4	Either of those things would	4	that repeating a pregnancy test wasn't
5	have been acceptable, but they had to do	5	negative, but you have no evidence that she
6	something given what the history must have	6	ever passed tissue in that ultrasound and
7	been on that day.	7	pregnancy test. That's kind of a bad
8	Q. Just backing up. In general, when a	8	combination.
9	person comes in for a follow-up appointment	9	Q. When you say ''there's no evidence
10	after a first trimester medical abortion, is	10	she ever passed tissue," what are you basing
11	it always required that an ultrasound and	11	that on?
12	some other test be done, or is that other	12	A. Well, she didn't pass tissue. She
13	test a urine or blood test, is that only	13	continued with her pregnancy.
14	required in certain circumstances?	14	Q. I'm saying what is there something
15	A. I think a pregnancy test should be	15	in the record that you're referring to?
16	done in all of those circumstances. An	16	A. There is no documentation that she
17	ultrasound is not necessary in all	17	testified that she passed tissue.
18	circumstances. If the urine pregnancy test	18	Q. Is that a no to my question as far
19	was negative, an ultrasound would be	19	as what you're relying on?
20	superfluous	20	A. I have gotten mixed up with
21	Q. Okay.	21	pertinent positives and negatives now. I
22	A or a blood pregnancy test.	22	have to hear the question again.
23	Q. So, just to put it another way, is	23	Q. Sure. Fair enough.
24	what you're saying, that just an ultrasound	24	I asked you, is there some-
25	being done at the follow-up visit, such as	25	thing, is there something in the medical
	8		8
1 2 3	Page 91 the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?	2	records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing
<b>2</b> 3	the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of	2 3	records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on?
<b>2</b> 3 4	the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical	2 3 4	records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me
<b>2</b> 3 4 5	<sup>Page 91</sup> the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation	2 3 4 5	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she
<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6	<sup>Page 91</sup> <b>the one here on August 17th, that's never</b> <b>sufficient?</b> A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no
<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7	Page 91 the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing
<b>2</b> 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b>	<sup>Page 91</sup> the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different. Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant.
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't
2 3 4 5 6 7 <b>8</b> 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically,</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? <ul> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done?</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 91 the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different. Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done? A. Right, because an ultrasound isn't	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting to the provider in any way that the provider
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done?</li> <li>A. Right, because an ultrasound isn't a pregnancy test. There are exceptions to</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done?</li> <li>A. Right, because an ultrasound isn't a pregnancy test. There are exceptions to what I'm laying out as a standard.</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting to the provider in any way that the provider chose to document that there had been passage of tissue.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done?</li> <li>A. Right, because an ultrasound isn't a pregnancy test. There are exceptions to</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting to the provider in any way that the provider chose to document that there had been
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Page 91</li> <li>the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient?</li> <li>A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different.</li> <li>Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done?</li> <li>A. Right, because an ultrasound isn't a pregnancy test. There are exceptions to what I'm laying out as a standard. I suppose, for example, if</li> </ul>	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 <b>22</b>	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting to the provider in any way that the provider chose to document that there had been passage of tissue. Q. Any other opinions about what other
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 91 the one here on August 17th, that's never sufficient? A. Well, with a medical termination of pregnancy, correct. With a surgical termination of pregnancy and documentation of removal of product, that would be different. Q. So, if, hypothetically, again, a medical termination of pregnancy, if, hypothetically, an ultrasound is done when a patient comes back for follow-up and that ultrasound is read and is saying there is no intrauterine pregnancy, assuming the correctness of that reading, hypothetically, not this case, you would, under those circumstances, still say that the standard of care requires that another test, urine test, blood test, be done? A. Right, because an ultrasound isn't a pregnancy test. There are exceptions to what I'm laying out as a standard. I suppose, for example, if somebody brought in the product of conception	<b>2</b> <b>3</b> 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 <b>22</b> <b>23</b>	Page 93 records of this case, or in Miss O'Connell's or in the depositions, that you're basing that conclusion on? A. It's retrospectively obvious to me she didn't pass tissue because I know she continued the pregnancy. I see no documentation of the reporting of passing of tissue, so I'm taking the lack of documentation of that to be significant. I'm not saying one couldn't pass tissue and have somebody neglect to document it but, certainly, there is no active documentation to support the passing of tissue in these records. There is reference to the fact that her bleeding wasn't heavier than a normal period, that she didn't have severe cramps. She's certainly not reporting to the provider in any way that the provider chose to document that there had been passage of tissue. Q. Any other opinions about what other testing should have been done to confirm

Pages 94..97

	DANIEL SMALL, M		- 10/26/2015 Pages 9497
	Page 94		Page 96
1	A. I don't see that she did a bimanual	1	deposition would hold at the time of this
2	manual exam. She should have had a uterus	2	visit.
3	the size of a grapefruit by that visit, but	3	Q. All right. Just so I can make sure
4	I don't think that that was done either.	4	I've got it all here, your opinion on that,
5	I'm not saying that each time you have to	5	for August 17th, what was Dr. Dominy's
6	do a bimanual exam. It was my training that	6	responsibility? How did she breach the
7	that was the standard.	7	standard of care with regard to the ultra-
8	I always do a bimanual exam	8	sound on August 17th?
9	two weeks after a miscarriage, after an	9	A. She has a patient who, I presume,
10	abortion procedure. I don't know if there	10	has not passed tissue who reports pregnancy
11	is somebody out there that doesn't feel that		
	•	11	symptoms. She doesn't do a bimanual exam,
12	that needs to be done if other things are	12	doesn't look at the ultrasound herself and
13	being done.	13	she doesn't do a pregnancy test. And, then,
14	The number of ways in which	14	when asked at deposition, she says she is
15	the continuation of the pregnancy hasn't	15	not a radiologist and she doesn't have to
16	been figured out is disturbing. It appears,	16	read ultrasounds and it's so difficult to
17	also, that the patient is saying she is	17	get somebody to leave a urine specimen, that
18	continuing to have symptoms of pregnancy.	18	peeing in a cup is just an extraordinarily
19	Even with that, they don't do a bimanual	19	thing to do, essentially, it doesn't hold
20	exam, a physician-performed ultrasound, a	20	together. It's just not right. I think we
21	pregnancy test, any other kind of follow-up	21	all know it's not right.
22	testing. Why is she still having symptoms	22	Q. As far as your standard of care
23	of pregnancy?	23	opinions with regard to the ultrasound, it's
24	Q. Can a patient still have symptoms of	24	your opinion on August 17th, I take it,
25	pregnancy following a medical abortion and,	25	that Dr. Dominy was required to read that
	Page 95		Page 97
1	page 95 in fact, not be pregnant?	1	Page 97 ultrasound for herself?
1 2	in fact, not be pregnant?		ultrasound for herself?
2	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at	2	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician
2 3	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have	2 3	<b>ultrasound for herself?</b> A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read
2 3 4	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness,	2 3 4	<b>ultrasound for herself?</b> A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors,
2 3 4 5	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when	2 3 4 5	<b>ultrasound for herself?</b> A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the
2 3 4 5 6	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to	2 3 4 5 6	<b>ultrasound for herself?</b> A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound
2 3 4 5 6 7	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least,	2 3 4 5 6 7	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	in fact, not be pregnant? A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant?</li> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant?</li> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant?</li> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians'</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant?</li> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant?</li> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound holds true on August 17th? Same discussion,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound holds true on August 17th? Same discussion, same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound holds true on August 17th? Same discussion, same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at</li> <li>all and has never been pregnant can have</li> <li>symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness,</li> <li>nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when</li> <li>someone was just pregnant and continues to</li> <li>have those symptoms it should, at least,</li> <li>raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What</li> <li>do I have to think about that's causing</li> <li>those symptoms?"</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was</li> <li>done on August 17, I take it our discussion</li> <li>about Dr. Dominy or a physicians'</li> <li>responsibility for interpreting ultrasound</li> <li>holds true on August 17th? Same discussion,</li> <li>same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes</li> <li>a different point of view and I understand</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case? A. It's really bad to think someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at</li> <li>all and has never been pregnant can have</li> <li>symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness,</li> <li>nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when</li> <li>someone was just pregnant and continues to</li> <li>have those symptoms it should, at least,</li> <li>raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What</li> <li>do I have to think about that's causing</li> <li>those symptoms?"</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was</li> <li>done on August 17, I take it our discussion</li> <li>about Dr. Dominy or a physicians'</li> <li>responsibility for interpreting ultrasound</li> <li>holds true on August 17th? Same discussion,</li> <li>same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes</li> <li>a different point of view and I understand</li> <li>this is who you're defending, but the doctor</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?</li> <li>A. It's really bad to think someone with a 10- to 12-week fetus isn't pregnant</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at</li> <li>all and has never been pregnant can have</li> <li>symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness,</li> <li>nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when</li> <li>someone was just pregnant and continues to</li> <li>have those symptoms it should, at least,</li> <li>raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What</li> <li>do I have to think about that's causing</li> <li>those symptoms?"</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was</li> <li>done on August 17, I take it our discussion</li> <li>about Dr. Dominy or a physicians'</li> <li>responsibility for interpreting ultrasound</li> <li>holds true on August 17th? Same discussion,</li> <li>same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes</li> <li>a different point of view and I understand</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	ultrasound for herself? A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech. Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case? A. It's really bad to think someone
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at</li> <li>all and has never been pregnant can have</li> <li>symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness,</li> <li>nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when</li> <li>someone was just pregnant and continues to</li> <li>have those symptoms it should, at least,</li> <li>raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What</li> <li>do I have to think about that's causing</li> <li>those symptoms?"</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was</li> <li>done on August 17, I take it our discussion</li> <li>about Dr. Dominy or a physicians'</li> <li>responsibility for interpreting ultrasound</li> <li>holds true on August 17th? Same discussion,</li> <li>same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes</li> <li>a different point of view and I understand</li> <li>this is who you're defending, but the doctor</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?</li> <li>A. It's really bad to think someone with a 10- to 12-week fetus isn't pregnant</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound holds true on August 17th? Same discussion, same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes a different point of view and I understand this is who you're defending, but the doctor is the one who is reading the ultrasound and the doctor on August 17th, had to read</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?</li> <li>A. It's really bad to think someone with a 10- to 12-week fetus isn't pregnant anymore. The hypothetical is tough because</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound holds true on August 17th? Same discussion, same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes a different point of view and I understand this is who you're defending, but the doctor is the one who is reading the ultrasound</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?</li> <li>A. It's really bad to think someone with a 10- to 12-week fetus isn't pregnant anymore. The hypothetical is tough because I can't see how somebody properly trained</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant? <ul> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at</li> <li>all and has never been pregnant can have</li> <li>symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness,</li> <li>nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when</li> <li>someone was just pregnant and continues to</li> <li>have those symptoms it should, at least,</li> <li>raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What</li> <li>do I have to think about that's causing</li> <li>those symptoms?"</li> </ul> </li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was</li> <li>done on August 17, I take it our discussion</li> <li>about Dr. Dominy or a physicians'</li> <li>responsibility for interpreting ultrasound</li> <li>holds true on August 17th? Same discussion,</li> <li>same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes</li> <li>a different point of view and I understand</li> <li>this is who you're defending, but the doctor</li> <li>is the one who is reading the ultrasound</li> <li>and the doctor on August 17th, had to read</li> <li>the ultrasound and take responsibility for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?</li> <li>A. It's really bad to think someone with a 10- to 12-week fetus isn't pregnant anymore. The hypothetical is tough because I can't see how somebody properly trained could ever make that mistake, but it's Dr. Dominy's responsibility to read the ultra-</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>in fact, not be pregnant?</li> <li>A. I mean someone who isn't pregnant at all and has never been pregnant can have symptoms of pregnancy. Breast tenderness, nausea are nonspecific symptoms, but when someone was just pregnant and continues to have those symptoms it should, at least, raise a question in the doctor's mind, "What do I have to think about that's causing those symptoms?"</li> <li>Q. As far as the ultrasound that was done on August 17, I take it our discussion about Dr. Dominy or a physicians' responsibility for interpreting ultrasound holds true on August 17th? Same discussion, same opinions, as with July 26th.</li> <li>A. It does. I know your client takes a different point of view and I understand this is who you're defending, but the doctor is the one who is reading the ultrasound and the doctor on August 17th, had to read the ultrasound and take responsibility for it.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>ultrasound for herself?</li> <li>A. Yes. There is no other physician there. Another physician could have read the ultrasound. If one of the other doctors, if another doctor was in the office, the other doctor could have read the ultrasound and she could have relied on the reading of another physician. She can't rely on the reading of an ultrasound tech, much less an ill-trained ultrasound tech.</li> <li>Q. If, hypothetically, the ultrasound tech that performed the, was involved on August 17, 2012, was properly trained, would that change your opinions in any way, your standard of care opinion, as to Dr. Dominy and her responsibility for the ultrasound in this case?</li> <li>A. It's really bad to think someone with a 10- to 12-week fetus isn't pregnant anymore. The hypothetical is tough because I can't see how somebody properly trained could ever make that mistake, but it's Dr.</li> </ul>

Pages 98..101

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D.	- 10/26/2015 Pages	98101
	Page 98			Page 100
1	Q. Okay.	1	doesn't show a fetus, in which case it	
2	A. I think it's her responsibility to	2	doesn't take a picture of where the fetus	
3	look at the picture because, in addition to	3	was.	
4	documenting that there is no fetus left in	4	Q. Have we, Doctor, at this point with	
5	the ultrasound, she ought to be looking to	5	the caveat that, I think, counsel for	
6	see that it doesn't look like there are	6	plaintiff put in earlier, with the caveat	
7	products of conception, meaning placental	7	that there may be additional opinions as to	
8	tissue or other fragments of the embryo	8	Dr. Brigham and Kaji depending on future	
9	still inside the uterus. She certainly can't	9	discovery, other than that, have we covered	
10	rely on an ultrasound technician for an	10	the opinions you intend to express at trial	
11	interpretation like that. So, she has to	11	in this case?	
12	look at the picture. If she decides not to,	12	A. I am comfortable that I have	
13	then she's taking responsibility for	13	expressed my opinions about Dr. Dominy and	
14	interpreting the ultrasound without looking	14	the ultrasound technician.	
15	at the picture. I disagree with that.	15	Q. Okay. And if you do have more	
	1 0	16	opinions, I ask that you let Miss Malarkey	
16	But, that's on her. She made that bed;	17	know that so I can find out what the	
17	she's got to lie in it. She had to read the	18	opinions are just before the time of trial.	
18	ultrasound. She had to look at the pictures	19	A. I understand that instruction.	
19	and if she didn't look at the pictures,	20	O. Give me one second. I have no other	
20	that's a problem, but she still has to take	21	questions. Thank you.	
21	responsibility for having read the	22	MS. MALARKEY: Doctor, under	
22	ultrasound.	23	the Maryland rules, you have the right	
23	Q. In the records that you have, the	24	to read a copy of the transcript, make	
24	record from American Medical Associates, do	25	any changes and sign it. The only	
25	you have any pictures from the ultrasound?	23	any changes and sign it. The only	
	Page 99	_		Page 101
1	A. I have a xerox of one or two pictures	1	caveat is that if it's a change of a	
2				
	that are unreadable. I would imagine in the	2	substantive nature, it has to be done	
3	original chart, there might be something	3	within thirty days and counsel has the	
	e	3 4	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the	
3	original chart, there might be something	3 4 5	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's	
3 4	original chart, there might be something readable.	3 4 5 6	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can	
3 4 5 6 7	original chart, there might be something readable. <b>Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next</b>	3 4 5 6 7	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.	
3 4 5 6 7	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question.	3 4 5 6 7 8	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice. THE WITNESS: I would like to	
3 4 5 6 7	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice. THE WITNESS: I would like to read my deposition and not waive	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice. THE WITNESS: I would like to read my deposition and not waive signature.	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well that's unreadable. It's just a white	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well that's unreadable. It's just a white smudge, so it might be that the original of	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well that's unreadable. It's just a white smudge, so it might be that the original of the August 17th would give a little bit more	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well that's unreadable. It's just a white smudge, so it might be that the original of the August 17th would give a little bit more information, but this is not interpretable.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well that's unreadable. It's just a white smudge, so it might be that the original of the August 17th would give a little bit more information, but this is not interpretable. Of course, the interpretation that day was	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	
3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	original chart, there might be something readable. Q. Doctor, that was going to be my next question. With regard to the picture or pictures that you have seen, are you able to draw any conclusions from those pictures? A. Nothing. No, they are totally unreadable. They don't show anything. When I say "they," I think it may only be one. Hold on a second. I will double-check that. Q. Sure. A. It looks, to me, like the July ultrasound is just the one-page report, but no picture. And on August 17th, I have the single image that I'm sure you have as well that's unreadable. It's just a white smudge, so it might be that the original of the August 17th would give a little bit more information, but this is not interpretable.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<pre>within thirty days and counsel has the right to ask you questions about the substantive changes you make. If it's a typo, that doesn't apply. You can waive signature. It's your choice.</pre>	

## Case 1:14-cv-01339-JFM Document 76-8 Filed 08/03/16 Page 28 of 52

DANIEL SMALL, M.D. - 10/26/2015

Pages 102..104

		Page 102			Page 104
1	SIGNATURE PAGE		1	CERTIFICATION	
2			2		
3			3	I hereby certify that the	
4	I hereby acknowledge that I		4	testimony and the proceedings in the	
5	have read the foregoing transcript,		5	aforegoing matter are contained fully and	
6	and the same is a true and correct		6	accurately in the stenographic notes taken	
7	transcription of the answers given by		7	by me, and that the copy is a true and	
8	me to the questions propounded, except		8	correct transcript of the same.	
9	for the changes, if any, noted on the		9	correct transcript of the banc.	
	errata sheet.				
10	errata sheet.		10		
11			11		
12			12	MICKEY DINTER	
13				Registered Professional Reporter	
14			13	Certified Court Reporter 30XIO00119	
15	SIGNATURE:		14		
16			15	The foregoing certification does not apply	
	DATE:		16	to any reproduction of the same by any	
17			17	means unless under the direct control	
18			18	and/or supervision of the certifying court	
19			19	reporter.	
20			20	-	
21			21		
22			22		
23			23		
24			24		
25			25		
		Page 103			
1	ERRATA SHEET	1490 100			
2	PAGE LINE CORRECTION				
3 4					
5					
6	-				
7	-				
	_				
8					
9					
10					
11	-				
	_				
12					
13	-				
14					
15	_				
16					
17	-				
18	-				
	_				
19					
20					
21					
22	-				
23					
24 25					

	77:24 79:8	2015	5:13
0	1982	14:19 34:10,17 36:7,22 38:1 42:5	68:16
00040	17:9		5th
<b>08648</b> 4:3	1986	<b>23</b> 34:15 59:4	77:16,25 78:6
4.5	17:9 20:19		
1	1987	<b>23rd</b> 34:14	6
	20:19		
1	1988	24	<b>6</b> 77:24 78:15 79:11
28:18 29:9 71:5	17:20	59:4 78:15	
10	1990s	<b>26</b> 67:25 74:11 76:24 78:2	<b>63</b> 60:21
18:1 84:8	10:5	86:23	
10-	19th	26th	<b>682</b> 60:8
97:19	79:12	70:11 76:9 77:10 88:25	00.0
0-week	1:00	89:5 95:16	7
88:18,24	22:23	28	
l0th	1:30	79:11	7
81:5	22:24		79:8
11	1st	3	7/26
18:1	5:17		77:13 79:10
12		3	7/26/12
18:2 79:12	2	6:5 34:17	74:14
I2-hour		30	71
23:16	2000	78:4 79:11	78:7
2-week	20:24 21:1	31	7th
84:8 97:19	2002	78:4	79:11
123	16:16,18	3rd	
4:1	2006	34:20 36:6 37:5 38:1	8
13	21:13	42:5	
15:17	2008		88
143	18:1,24	4	18:7
29:4	2009	49	
16	21:13	<b>49</b> 60:9	9
34:10	2012		
l6th	18:3 58:17 59:12 63:22 67:25 73:4 74:11 76:24	5	9
76:5 82:10	77:8 78:12 79:8 86:24		18:1
17	87:9 97:13	5	90
87:9 95:12 97:13	2013	77:8	72:14
l7th	5:17,23 6:1	50th	<b>92</b>
88:2,4,16 91:1 95:15,21	2014	81:5	60:10,25 61:10 69:20 70:2 72:3
96:5,8,24 99:17,21	14:5,9 15:16 19:1 29:4	5:06	
19	34:15 36:23,24 37:5,19	68:14	<b>95</b> 60:20 61:2,11
			00.20 01.2,11

96	accuracy	61:17 62:9	ahead
72:17	66:19 70:18	administration	85:13 88:20
98	accurate	79:18	allegations
18:8,24	11:24 18:18 56:14	advantage	16:24
99	70:12 76:4,11 83:9,10	36:19	allow
61:2,11	accurately	adversely	47:16
9th	74:18	6:19	allowed
78:11,16	ACG	advertise	81:8,9
	63:5	13:18	alternative
Α	ACOG		59:22 61:12 65:11
	7:16,18 8:15 28:4,8	advertised	72:12
able	62:18,21		alternatives
60:2 74:5 89:24 99:8	act	affiliation	69:3 73:14,15
abnormal	52:7,16	8:10 21:14	AMA
25:7 81:20	acted	affirmatively	63:11
	6:20 7:11	52:13	
abnormalities 81:22,23		affirmed	American
	acting 51:2	4:4	7:17,25 8:6 26:21 29:2 36:2 45:13,14 47:6
abortion		afternoon	49:24 50:7 53:9 64:12
25:3,23 26:7,17,18,19, 25 27:6,7,9 28:14 29:7	action	22:24	67:24 69:7 86:3, 87:11
42:9 58:14,20 59:12,18	8:19	afternoons	98:24
61:13 64:3,6,22 65:1	active	22:11,25	amount
68:23 69:2,19 81:10	20:19 93:13		25:24 41:4 43:21 89:6
89:22 90:10 93:24	actively	age 60:9,21 62:1,25 69:24	amounts
94:10,25	20:21 89:6	70:9,21,22 71:13,19	40:22
abortions	activity	72:1 73:19,21 74:4,8,	-
24:22,25 25:1,10,12,13,	20:3	15,16 75:8,19 76:12,24	analyze 49:10
16 26:1,3,5,10 28:7	actual	77:3,9,14,24 78:15 79:9	
59:14 60:15 73:16	55:5 63:18 66:7	ages	and/or
absence	add	60:5	42:16 43:24 48:8
81:23	27:16 69:13 72:6 78:8	aging	annual
absent		24:5	17:25
81:16	Adding 78:6		anomalies
academic		ago 9:6,8 10:23 13:10 15:3,	58:25 81:18
19:22 20:11,15 21:13	addition	12,16 16:11,19 18:25	answer
accept	70:4 72:20 75:14 98:3	24:5 78:7 89:23	4:16,25 5:8 14:17 15:1
92:3	address	agree	20 18:13,15 37:21
	30:11	49:5,6 64:18 65:15	42:23 47:25 49:22
acceptable	adjust		51:17 78:21,23 84:21
90:5	63:24	agreed 43:18 72:2	answers
accepted	admin-		4:18 18:17
82:12	69:25	agreeing	anybody
accompanied	administered	85:18	51:9
33:14	42:11,18 59:6 60:25	agreement	
		50:6	

www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

anymore
97:20

apologize 41:5 61:14

apparent 53:20

appear 43:22 44:6,7

47:9

appears 30:22 43:15 94:16

appointment 86:24 90:9

appreciate 68:10

appropriate 59:23 73:6 88:15

**appropriately** 51:2 52:5 53:13 56:9 77:20,22

approved 42:16 43:25 60:13

approximately 9:8 10:14 11:22 13:5 16:1 42:9 60:20 78:11

area 24:14,15 32:10 41:18

**areas** 41:17

aren't 7:21

article 18:20,22 46:14

**articles** 18:15 31:17,19,22,25 32:1,4,8 45:16 46:9,12, 17

ascertain 51:13

aside 23:24 87:15 **asked** 9:3,22 15:3,7 18:21 35:15,20 49:11 67:4 80:6 92:24 96:14

**asking** 4:15 5:2 14:12 41:17 43:6,7,9 51:5 52:23 77:13 84:9 85:6,12 87:22

aspect 19:10

assignments 19:22

**assistant** 30:9 50:11 51:20,23 52:19

associated 70:1

Associates 20:1 26:21 29:23,24 36:2,3 45:14 47:6 49:25 50:7 53:10 67:25 69:8 75:3 76:7 87:11 98:24

Association 8:6

**assume** 5:1 33:11 50:24 53:12 57:15 85:7,12

**assuming** 28:19 34:3 38:11 54:12 85:14 91:13

assumption 54:17 55:21,25 89:4

**assure** 57:6

attempt 19:7

attempts 19:9

attorney 9:12,19 11:9 15:6 38:5 attorneys

11:8 12:10 37:14,16

**audio** 35:25

August 34:17,20 36:6 38:1 42:5 70:6 78:4 83:17 87:9 88:2,4,16 91:1 95:12, 15,21 96:5,8,24 97:13 99:17,21

authored 31:4 33:7,23 34:1 35:10

authority 27:13

**available** 43:22 49:16 52:2 62:24 65:12 69:6,10 71:6 73:25

aware 5:6 35:9 45:21 56:2

**awhile** 9:3

В

babies 81:4,15

**baby** 24:19 78:13 79:16,18 80:3,7,9,13,14,17 81:1, 8,11,12 84:1

**back** 10:5 17:4 47:13 58:9

68:16 71:3 87:23 88:21 91:11

backing 90:8

**bad** 92:7 97:18

**base** 27:17

**based** 12:5 15:20 29:12,15 43:14,20 50:25 63:16 66:13 74:3 76:25 77:2 79:9 82:18 85:19 54:25 **basically** 5:22 60:2

basic

basing 54:18 92:10 93:2

**basis** 43:4,10 47:21,24 53:19 61:21 74:9 75:18

bearing 84:17 85:8

**bed** 98:16

**began** 53:14

Bekman 13:4

46:6

**believe** 20:24 31:13,22 38:3,17 40:2 50:8 52:4 57:20 86:18

**bell** 81:6

belong 8:3

benefit 4:12

benefits 74:6

**beta** 63:4

beyond 11:5

**big** 52:22 57:23

**bill** 82:20

billed 15:23 16:4

billing 31:8 bills 40:19,20,21 41:3,10,12, 14 bimanual 94:1,6,8,19 96:11 Bingham 46:1,10,13 bit 18:12 26:13 57:4 62:1 69:13 71:23 99:21 bleeding 93:15 blood 85:22 88:9 90:13,22 91:18 board 5:23 17:18,19 18:6 19:10,12,15 bodies 7:22 bone 81:19 bones 81:21 bonk 18:22 brain 82:1,3 brains 81:17 breach 64:24 65:3 68:1,24 75:5 87:13,25 96:6 breaches 88:3 break 5:4 21:16 37:6 38:10 68:12 Breast 95:4	briefly 4:8 Brigham 31:18 36:13 39:22 41:25 42:16, 43:23 44:8,12 45:17,19, 46:20 48:8 Brigham's 47:9 bring 28:10 36:24 broad 41:20 brought 78:19 91:23 bulk 39:17 bulletin 28:4,8,13,25 29:3,5 60:7,8 62:18,21 business 45:17 47:4 C C Calculated 71:13,19 72:2 74:11 Call 75:11 Call 75:11 Call 75:12 Calculation 75:13 Called 83:23 Camden-based 21:8 Can't 14:16 15:19 28:25 54:21 80:20 81:14 97:8, 21 98:9 Candidates 44:23 Capital	6:24 20:9 <b>cardio</b> 86:7 <b>Care</b> 22:3 23:7,8 30:16 36:2 39:15,19,21 40:1,3,10, 22,25 42:13 44:2 49:12 50:16 51:6,11 53:4 59:10,17 63:23 64:1,4, 25 65:7 66:21 67:2,6 68:2 75:6 85:19 87:14, 25 88:4,8 91:17 96:7,22 97:15 <b>Carry</b> 24:18 <b>Case</b> 6:9 9:11, 10:19 11:3 12:5,6,9,11,14 13:1,6, 12 15:21 16:1,6,12,13, 14,18,25 24:2,3,16 27:22 29:10,21 32:2,13 33:10 34:2 38:16 39:3 40:7 41:17 42:2 45:24 46:3 63:5 65:14 72:18 76:5 84:14 91:15 93:1 97:17 <b>Cases</b> 8:25 9:1 10:9 11:14 16:20,21,22 <b>Category</b> 25:11 <b>Causeion</b> 40:11 <b>Cause</b> 38:22 39:2,5,10,13 <b>Causing</b> 95:9 <b>Center</b> 6:24 20:10 30:24 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Certain</b> 90:14 <b>Ce</b>	81:4 88:11 93:12,18 98:9 <b>Certificate</b> 31:3 32:17 34:11,12,16, 23,24 36:10 <b>certificates</b> 35:4 <b>certification</b> 5:23 18:6 19:10,12 <b>certified</b> 7:24 17:18,19 <b>chairman</b> 20:9 <b>chance</b> 79:3 <b>changed</b> 5:20 10:2 <b>changes</b> 5:22 <b>charitably</b> 56:16 <b>chart</b> 29:25 30:2,7 99:3 <b>chooses</b> 25:4 <b>chose</b> 93:20 <b>Christie</b> 29:25 <b>Christy</b> 31:4 33:14 <b>circumstance</b> 55:22,23 66:16 <b>circumstances</b> 56:4 73:11 90:14,16,18 91:16 92:1 <b>Claim</b> 35:13,17,22 <b>clarification</b> 15:14
95:4	44:23 Capital	8:9 27:14,25 31:19 33:16 37:7 39:8,17 42:21 50:24 52:1 56:14 63:7 64:11 73:16 80:21	clarification 15:14
DTI Co L-800-292-4789		utions - Washingto www.deposition.com	

38:11	5:25 6:2 20:5	<b>confirm</b> 89:24 93:23	<b>control</b> 14:16
Clements	commonly	confirmatory	conversation
13:3	60:16	29:16	37:17
client	commonplace	confirms	Cooper
39:16 95:17	89:22	76:17	21:7
<b>:linic</b> 39:25 42:12 45:5 47:1,	communication 88:15	29:21 32:12	coordinator 21:4
12 56:8 58:14	community	consent	сору
clinical	20:17 76:6	48:18,23 49:2 59:24	5:11 28:21 37:2 57:11
6:6 21:18 92:3	Company	63:20 64:5 65:8 68:21,	copying
	36:3	22 69:1,19 71:4,8,15 72:19,23 73:6 87:2	28:19
42:18 44:3,14	compatible	consider	Corner
2 <b>lose</b> 11:14	59:1	8:11 27:13 52:6 68:25	4:2
co-employee	competence 70:5	considered	<b>correct</b> 12:14 17:15,17,21
51:8	Complaint	70:19	19:13 23:21 34:2 38:14
ode	32:11,12 35:14 40:4	49:12 88:17	39:6,16,20 46:10 54:1 <sup>2</sup> 55:10 57:12 61:2 71:8,
50:18	complete	constitute	11,15,16 72:2,5 77:3,2
collapsed	17:11,15 42:9 69:21	73:6	87:11 91:4
89:11	88:24 89:1	content	corrections
College 7:17 17:8	<b>completed</b> 17:6,14 89:19	33:15	79:4
com-	compliance	context	39:8 52:10 71:13
20:5	67:1	32:1	correctness
combination	complications	continuation 94:15	91:14
42:7 89:14 92:8	39:11	continue	correspondence
combined	compound	56:7 81:9	33:8,18
76:15	37:9	continued	cost
55:1 87:23	33:3	92:13 93:6	40:16,25 41:1
comes	conception	continues	<b>couldn't</b> 93:10
23:22 25:17 52:15	91:23 98:7	81:11 95:6	counsel
55:17 64:2 87:10 88:21 90:9 91:11	concepts	continuing 94:18	12:23 33:9 42:25 43:8
comfortable	72:9	contract	counting
14:8,10	<b>conclusion</b> 44:10,11 93:3	26:7	35:4
coming	44:10,11 93:3	contractor	couple
86:10	99:9	50:6	9:15 10:12,18 23:3 95:25
committee	conduct	contrary	course
22:14	58:20	55:17	31:22 49:7 52:3 58:22 77:21 99:23

dearee

12:11

23:19

delivery

Dentistry

21:7

depend

depending

27:14

30:21 40:24

Delaware

deliveries

79:14,24 80:2

## court date 4:13,21 10:24 cover 30:4 33:11.13 dated covered 41:21 day cramps 93:17 critical 40:12 criticism 50:25 55:18 71:14 days crown 58:14 70:10,12,16,20 74:18 75:6,22,24 76:3, 7,15,19,20 87:4 11,12 crying davs' 83:3 60:21 cup 96:18 27:20 current 18:4 currently decide 16:13 23:15 66:15 curve 78:13 81:6 cute 29:2 98:12 CV 5:12,16 6:5, 17:7,19 81:16 20:25 cyst 83:22 D 95:19 D-1 28:12 daily deficit 53:19 38:20 DANIEL Definitely 4:1 68:13

34:8 68:1 73:7 75:24 77:9.15 78:25 5:17 34:9,13,17 36:6 42:5 74:18 22:7,9,18 68:5 70:3 74:11 78:7,8 87:7,14 88:1 90:2,7 99:23 day-to-day 23:6 60:9 68:6 74:16 76:2,8,10,25 77:10,12, 24 78:3,4,7,9,15 79:10, dealing December 41:1 79:8,11 decided 44:24 48:2.6 decides defects defendant 11:10 16:6 defendants 35:24 36:1 defending defense 11:16,25 12:10

2, 22:10 60:19 10, **deposed** 

> 10:13 **deposition** 4:9,11 12:4 15:22 28:23 31:4,7 33:14 41:22 43:1 45:2 55:13 56:19 57:8, 14 58:9 59:8 84:12 96:1,14

depositions 14:7 93:2

**detail** 68:3

**detailed** 80:9

determination 66:11

**determine** 81:12 82:3

determined 75:20

**develop** 81:11

developmentally 80:18

**didn't** 17:15 35:20 50:4 55:16 56:25 57:16 74:24 84:6 88:11,16 92:12 93:5,17 98:19 99:24 **didn't--**35:25

difference 57:24 60:22,23

different 9:21 12:20 26:25 61:8,9 62:1 78:25 91:7 95:18

differing 60:18

difficult 26:13 96:16

difficult-to-manage 22:4

**digits** 81:19

directly 6:8

director 20:7 21:19

**dis-** 36:18

disagree 98:15

discipline 8:16

discovery 39:23 42:2 43:3

discuss 22:2

discussed 47:23 73:5 86:24

discussion 64:16 95:12,15,24

distinguish 68:4

distinguishing 59:13

disturbing 94:16

**doctor** 11:3,11 13:15 14:2 15:13 17:4 19:18 21:16

27:10 28:18 33:1 36:16 37:17 43:2 49:24 50:10 58:15 78:21 79:14 81:7 85:12 95:19,21 97:5,6 99:5 <b>doctor's</b> 95:8 <b>doctors</b> 26:20 43:25 58:13 97:4 <b>document</b> 29:9,15,16,18 35:15 37:22 50:4 69:9,12 71:5 86:15 93:12,20 <b>documentation</b> 91:5 92:16 93:7,9,13 <b>documented</b> 70:10 <b>documenting</b> 98:4 <b>documents</b> 30:6 33:1,4 48:22 <b>doesn't</b> 9:18 14:19 52:13 56:19 63:3 76:18 83:5,9 84:1 85:21, 88:23,25 96:11, 12,13,15,19 98:6 <b>doing</b> 10:6 22:19 23:15,19 24:4 26:20 48:2,12 51:24 52:3 53:15 54:3, 14 58:23 <b>dollar</b> 14:3,9,11,15,22,25 15:2 41:4 <b>Dominy</b> 31:8 32:23 36:4 39:15 43:17 44:18,19,24 45:4, 8 48:1 50:6 51:5 52:24, 25 53:5,6 55:21 57:2 68:1,25 70:13 82:9,12 84:5,11 86:9 87:5, 95:13 96:25 97:15 <b>Dominy's</b> 45:1 47:8 49:24 50:14 57:8 82:25 96:5 97:23

enthusiastic 72:18	exam 18:6,24 94:2,6,8,20	extraordinarily 96:18	<b>FDA</b> 64:10
entities 8:3,17,20 45:16	96:11 examine	F	FDA-APPROVED
<b>entity</b> 47:4 48:5	50:19 examined	facilities	<b>feel</b> 88:5 94:11
erroneous 89:3 erroneously	4:4 <b>example</b> 7:15 32:9 38:19 45:4 49:14 51:19 52:18 60:6	28:20 54:3 <b>fact</b> 48:24 56:2 64:19,24 70:7 71:18 88:13 93:15	fellow 7:17 fellowships
48:7 error 71:7	65:18 82:1 86:18 91:22 examples 64:12	95:1 <b>factor</b> 57:18	17:16 <b>felt</b> 57:2 60:16 91:24
essentially 48:19 52:20 67:13 87:2 96:19	<b>exams</b> 18:23 19:6,9	<b>fail</b> 18:16,20	<b>fetus</b> 76:9,21 77:19 84:8 88:19 91:25 97:19 98:4
established 42:16 43:25	exceptions 91:20	failed 18:18 19:8	99:24,25 field
establishing 47:15	<b>exhibit</b> 28:12,18 29:9	failing 32:7	22:20 23:18 24:6,13 27:10,11 28:1 29:13
estimate 9:6 14:3 76:12	<b>existed</b> 28:4,5	<b>failure</b> 54:25 63:18	<b>fifteen</b> 15:9,15
<b>estimated</b> 70:21	<b>expect</b> 50:22 77:8,21,23 79:21 80:14	<b>fair</b> 5:2 14:14 52:21 53:11 54:10 86:13,18 92:23	<b>figure</b> 11:19,21 12:17,21 14:3 9,11,16,22,25 15:2,17
<b>etiology</b> 38:19	expected 80:16	fairly 75:2	29:22 30:3 45:2 53:3 62:13,16
evaluate 75:8 event 14:23 86:10	<b>experience</b> 5:16 6:6 8:23 29:12 66:14 69:21 82:19	fall 40:25 false 49:15,17 70:24	figured 62:7 94:16 file 33:12
events 49:25 ovontually	expert 8:23,25 9:9 11:4 13:16, 22,25 14:5 15:10,18 31:3 32:18,22 34:12,13,	<b>familiar</b> 5:14,15 28:1	filed 15:5 32:12 36:13 42:23 files
eventually 43:18 everybody	17,25 36:11 <b>expertise</b> 24:14	<b>far</b> 15:18 19:5 41:4 46:19 51:11 55:5 57:6 59:11	9:21 13:2 film
5:15 <b>evidence</b> 92:5,9	explain 21:22	62:14 63:22 64:4 72:7 74:8 92:18 95:11 96:22 fashion	70:18 <b>find</b> 63:7 74:12 78:25 82:9
<b>exact</b> 29:4 58:7	exposed 77:23 80:4 extent	6:20 7:12 fax 36:5 38:10,12 68:8	<b>findings</b> 65:21,25
<b>exactly</b> 9:5 39:23 44:16 58:22	40:13 42:10,15 43:24 44:18 81:8	<b>faxes</b> 33:10	fine 5:5,8 28:22 42:23
fingertips 62:24	<b>formal</b> 53:20 55:16	<b>further</b> 9:18 39:23 42:1 70:24	gleaned 45:24 46:23
--	------------------------------	---	----------------------------------
finish		71:12 80:5	
4:16	formulating 27:23	future	<b>go</b> 9:18 19:5 31:6 37:3
-	_	43:9	41:22 51:21 58:9 60:6
finished 4:15	forty-five 30:7		68:3 77:7 85:13,23
		G	88:20
firm	forward		goes
12:16,25 13:3,7	77:7 78:10	gained	11:5 23:23
first	four	44:22	going
4:3,12 8:15,24 9:9,11	10:25 34:18 74:16		15:13 17:4 24:17 35:1
10:6 13:5,8,12 18:9 19:7 26:18 27:5 28:18	79:12	<b>Gareau</b> 32:18,20	38:14,18 39:3,14 47:13
42:5 44:22 48:17 59:15	fourteen	,	48:16 59:22 65:4 74:3
64:2 68:18 80:24 85:3	68:5 76:2	gathered	77:4 82:2 83:1 99:5
86:25 87:1 90:10	fourth	51:3	gotten
first-trimester	47:14	gears	92:20
28:6,14 29:6 59:13,18	fragments	58:15	gradually
60:14	98:8	general	10:9 12:1
five	Franklin	20:16 24:10 41:11	gram
11:21,23 12:2 41:7	4:2	42:20 50:16 51:5 59:10	66:23
76:10,25 77:10,12 78:3,	Frederick	63:16 65:13,14 71:17 90:8	
5,9 79:10	30:15,20,21,24		grants 7:10
flow		generally	-
58:5	frequency	21:23 22:17 33:6,19	grapefruit
	9:4	38:17 50:9 66:3 76:1	94:3
Fogelson 4:6,7 14:20 28:16 30:25	Friday	generic	grapher
32:24 34:7,22 35:1,3,7	22:25	4:10	53:1 65:25 66:7 83:15
36:15 43:5 46:7 52:8	Fridays	gestation	graphers
62:19 71:2 79:5,6 85:5	22:22	40:23 88:25	56:18
86:12 87:17,21	front	gestational	greater
folks	18:21,23 21:1 30:20	60:5,9,21 62:25 69:24	70:9 80:22 81:3
55:15	31:2 35:12 37:21 45:12	70:9,21,22 71:12,19	groups
follow-up	62:6 68:10 76:21 77:12	72:1 73:19,21 74:4,8,	8:11,13
67:13 90:9,25 91:11	full	15,16,20 75:7,8,19 76:12,24 77:3,9,14,24	growing
94:21	22:9 48:17	78:15 79:9 83:25 87:3	77:19,22 79:16,18
followed	full-time		
60:11	20:1	<b>give</b>	grown
following	fully	11:19 14:11,25 34:8 60:2 74:3 79:7 99:21	80:3,8,10,14,17
94:25	49:7		growth
	function	<b>given</b> 22:15 44:2 45:6 55:20	39:10 78:13,14 79:22
follows 4:5	20:6 21:14 82:1	58:12 65:7 66:16 70:24	80:23,25 81:2,20
		72:11 79:19 80:13 90:6	guess
form	functioning		8:14 12:10 18:16 62:1
51:17 59:12 68:2	39:25	<b>giving</b> 11:20 38:14 73:17	gynecologic 20:8 24:12

1-800-292-4789

gynecological heavier 99:2.25 8:6 21:19 93:16 L imaging gynecologist held 65:17 86:4 l'd 82:24 20:14,23 29:17 important 9:22 12:19 72:9 gynecology help l'm 17:20 21:5 22:21 23:10 37:3 impose 4:9, 5:14 6:1 7:24,25 82:19 17:25 helpful 9:2 10:15 11:13 13:9 17:1 14:3,8 15:13,14 19:25 impossible н hesitating 20:4,7,15,16 23:2,15 81:25 24:10 25:16 27:7 29:2 69:14 impression habit 31:15,16 32:6 33:24 high-risk 58:6 54:4 35:3,9 38:18 39:8,11 22:3 40:12 41:17,18 43:6,9 improper half Hill 44:6 45:2,21 46:14,24 49:1 72:23 22:8 63:18 30:9 47:18,25 48:12 49:4 inhandles 51:19,20 52:9,10,11 hired 72:23 23:25 53:2 54:11,17 57:11 12:10 44:19 45:8 inaccurate 58:21 60:23 69:15 handwriting history 18:12 74:21 73:22 75:16 77:4,6 34:14 66:13 89:1,16 90:6 78:22 83:8,23 84:9 inappropriate happened 85:6,14 87:22 91:21 75:10 hold 40:13 68:5 83:24 88:17 92:14 93:8,10 94:5 19:13,20 20:11 86:20 incidence 99:18 hard 87:5,6 96:1,19 99:13 81:3 18:19 99:25 l've holds includes 14:7 29:22 31:7 32:8 hasn't 95:15 73:15 33:7 78:1 96:4 5:20 42:23 89:6 94:15 Hopewell including ice haven't 6:25 16:21 47:17 74:7 56:16 19:8,9 23:3 31:12 41:23 hospital identification income he's 6:22 7:6,8 11:11 20:5,7, 14:12 15:9 28:15,17 36:10 20 21:24 22:13 23:5,10 incompetence 30:22 identified head 33:2 40:4 45:17 48:15 54:19,21 76:16 4:20 hospitalization 54:13,14 71:5,10 72:22 incompetent 41:1 headed identify 56:17 43:13 hours 28:24 inconsistent 15:25 16:2 89:23 90:2 health identifying 75:2 6:24 20:10 36:3 38:15 hundreds 30:4 41:7 incorrect healthcare ill-trained 72:8 11:11 35:19,24 50:19 hypothetical 97:10 97:20 incorrectly healthy 71:20 75:19 image 27:8 hypothetically 99:18 84:11 85:7 91:8,10,14 incorrectness hear 63:14 97:11 images 4:23 45:1 92:22 82:15 increase heard 10:10 imagine 79:17 85:4,25 9:2 10:15 18:19 54:21

1-800-292-4789

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Washington, DC 92-4789 www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

increased 12:2	institution 7:9 21:11	<b>Iris</b>   36:4	journals 27:12
n <b>credibly</b> 89:20	institutions 7:4	<b>is</b> 75:22	judge 27:19
ncumbent 73:19 ndependent	instructor 20:23 intact	<b>isn't</b> 39:12 66:5 72:15 85:16 89:9 91:19 95:2 97:19	judged 70:18 July
50:5 <b>ndicated</b> 43:8	91:25 intentionally 31:12 37:8	issue 62:12 63:20 70:4 72:19 issues 6:9 22:4 39:13 40:10	67:25 74:11 76:5,9,24 77:10 78:2,4 83:17,20, 25 86:23 88:25 89:5 95:16 99:15
ndividual 9:22 25:19 37:7 43:20 48:9, 53:12,25 58:12	inter- 87:25 interpret	istration 70:1	jumped 49:3 jumping
ndividuals 47:16 48:15 50:23 55:25	47:17 82:21 <b>interpretable</b> 99:22	it's 5:20 10:3 11:1 12:19 14:24 17:1 18:12,19 29:1,3 30:17 34:10	78:10 June 82:10
nduced 26:15 nducing 42:8	interpretation 65:17 82:13 84:15 85:2 86:17 98:11 99:23	41:20 42:6 47:14 48:7, 18 49:11 52:21 58:19 60:16 61:22 62:20,22	К
42:8 <b>nformation</b> 12:22 14:13 15:4 43:21 44:2,16 46:23 49:15,17 51:3 52:2,7,14,17 53:24	interpreted 40:5,6 86:2,5 interpreting 40:9 98:14	64:21 65:5 66:4,9 68:19 69:14 70:11, 72:16 73:19 75:1,5,21,25 76:21 81:18 82:23 83:10 84:25 88:13 93:4	<b>Kaji</b> 31:18 36:13 39:20,22 41:25 42:16, 43:24 44:8,15 46:1,10 48:8
55:8,11,17 63:15 65:8 68:7 70:25 72:11 99:22 nformed	intrauterine 27:6,8 80:22,25 91:13 introduce	96:16,20,21,23 97:18, 22 98:2 99:19 items	Kaji's 46:15 keep
47:11 48:18,23 49:1 59:23 63:19 64:5 65:8 68:20,22 69:1 71:4,8,15 72:19,23 73:6 87:2	44:20 invasive 8:1 20:8 21:20 24:9,12	31:10 its 62:24 69:3 70:18 74:7	10:21 33:6 <b>kid's</b> 82:1
nitial 18:6	investigation 8:17 involve	J	kind 9:4 11:10 22:4 26:18,2 27:9,23 30:5 41:13,20
npatient 30:22 nquire 52:13	16:23 involved 9:9 11:4 17:2,3 20:4 44:18 45:4 49:15 97:12	Jefferson 20:20 21:2 Jersey 4:3 6:13,18,25 21:7,9	54:8 79:21 92:7 94:21 <b>knew</b> 14:21 16:2 28:5 42:10, 17 43:25 58:6 72:16
n <b>side</b> 76:22 98:9 n <b>spected</b>	<b>involvement</b> 9:18 11:5	job 51:25 52:3 joined	<b>know</b> 4:25 5:5 6:8 9:5 10:13, 17 11:6 12:3 13:5,11
91:24 nstitute 7:25	involves 24:16 25:22 involving 60:17	7:21 jointly 37:13	14:9,19 15:11,19,21,25 19:15 24:8 25:24 30:2 31:24 32:3,8, 33:19 38:20 39:9,12 40:19 41:3 42:14 43:7,13

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D 10/26/2015	i12
44:7,16,17 45:19 46:11 50:17 51:12 52:23 53:8	laying 91:21	life 59:1,2	longer 8:4 58:18,20
55:3,4 57:9,16 58:3 62:5,6,20 63:24 65:6,9 67:6 73:10 74:1 76:18 79:1,17 80:6 81:25 83:20 87:1 88:10 93:5 94:10 95:17 96:21	lead 52:4 89:18 leads 44:4,9	light 52:15 likelihood 80:22	<b>look</b> 5:7 9:19 20:25 27:18 34:4 36:7 50:22 56:25 66:10,15 67:11,17 74:25 79:3 96:12 98:3,
knowing 28:3	leave 96:17 left	limbs 81:17 limited	6,12,18,19 <b>looked</b> 13:2,6 15:4 33:18 70:17
knowledge 27:16 29:12 43:10 45:3, 5,7,11,25 46:19 47:7,24 50:25 54:19 55:5,7 56:5	89:8,25 98:4 <b>left-hand</b> 35:23	42:9 <b>Lindsay</b> 30:8	84:4 <b>looking</b> 14:3 17:18 31:15 41:19
<b>known</b> 56:15 61:10 75:21	<b>leg</b> 81:20	line-by-line 57:14	42:4 47:18 83:2 84:3 98:5,14 <b>looks</b>
78:19 <b>knows</b>	legal 58:18,20	lines 25:6 80:7 82:8	17:23 21:21 33:23,25 36:20 99:15
83:4 	legally 35:14 length	list 74:15 listed	<b>lose</b> 10:22
L label 64:13,20 65:3,4	70:10,12,17,20 74:18 75:22 76:15 87:4 let's	35:18,21 60:10 listen 85:2	lot 43:3 loud 83:3
labeled 74:13 labor	6:4 8:22 25:18 30:19 41:24 65:16 71:3 letter	literature 27:12,16,18 28:1 60:4 61:23 62:15,23 63:8,17	<b>lower</b> 60:12 63:4 81:20
23:24 26:15 Iaborist	30:4 33:12,13 letterhead 34:9	litigation 31:21 39:18	M
23:7,16 <b>lack</b> 62:24 93:8	letters 33:10	little 8:22 18:12 26:13 52:21 69:13 71:22 77:5 99:21	<b>M.D.</b> 4:1
Laparoscopy 8:7	level 10:11 11:12 54:21 88:10	<b>local</b> 32:10	machine 49:9 main
large 68:21	levels 63:5	location 30:21 55:15 locations	45:7 major
law 37:16 58:17,21 Lawrence	license 6:17	36:19	70:3 <b>majority</b> 20:3
19:25	licensed 6:11,14 66:6,7	42:4 long	<b>making</b> 54:5,17
4:2 <b>lawsuit</b> 36:12	lie 98:17	16:11,17 24:3 34:11 36:18 81:21	Malarkey 12:23,25 14:18 30:14 32:21 33:16 34:5,20,24

1-800-292-4789

35:2,5 36:9 42:19 46:5 47:11 51:16 68:17 79:2 84:19 85:10 87:15,19

malpractice 8:23 9:1,13 10:19 16:6 23:1

mammogram 86:9

mammograms 86:8

44:13

management 28:6,13 29:6

manager 40:5,8,12 53:23 54:2,15 83:5

manager's 82:11

managers 47:17 53:15 54:6 55:7, 14 57:7

managing 48:5

**manner** 62:23

manual 94:2

March 29:4 34:14,15 36:21,22 37:5

mark 36:17

marked 28:14,17

Maryland 12:5,6,7,12 30:24 58:17

material 69:3

materially 5:21

materials 28:3 31:14 35:9 43:15 77:5,20 78:2,22 Matt 4:7 matter 26:20 97:24

math

matters 9:22 10:1 22:3 23:2,9

mean 9:20 13:21,22 25:1 37:11 45:13 47:4 67:7 71:21 86:16 95:2

**meaning** 58:25 98:7

means 70:23 84:22,23 85:15

**meant** 83:18

measure 75:24 76:20

measured 76:3 83:22

measures 76:7

measuring 83:21,24

# medical

6:24 7:15 8:25 9:12 10:19 16:6 17:8 20:10 23:1 24:20 25:7,13 26:3,6,10,17,18,19,25 27:5 28:1,6,13 29:6,23 30:15 36:2 40:22,25 41:12, 45:13,14 46:21, 25 47:2,5,6 49:25 50:7 51:10,20,23 52:19 53:9 54:8 59:12 64:3,6,22 65:1 67:25 68:23 69:2, 7,19 73:15,18 75:3 79:15,24 80:2 87:11 90:10 91:3,9 94:25 98:24 medically

25:14

medication 26:9 59:17,21 61:17 63:23 64:5,14,18,19 65:11

medications 38:21 39:1,9 59:6,11 62:10

medicine 6:12,18 21:6 64:12 86:3,11

**meet** 22:1

21:24

22:14

**member** 7:14,19,25 8:5,8,15

Memorial 30:21

**memory** 57:13

**mention** 45:1 83:3

**mentioned** 8:14 21:19 23:4,7 24:9 28:5 40:18 45:25 46:15 59:5,8 62:14 82:5

mentions 82:7

**met** 9:12 45:21

**metho-**63:2

method 60:24 61:16 75:10

methods 75:7

**methotrexate** 17:2 26:22,23 42:7 47:2,6 59:7 61:24 62:22 64:20 71:1 77:23 79:19 80:4,24 81:13,15 **mid-**11:11

mid-august 83:16,18 88:21

mid-july 62:4

midwives 22:1

mifepristone 60:9,18 61:9 69:5

mind 32:5 49:22 95:8

**mine** 38:6

minimally 8:1 20:8 21:20 24:9,12

**minor** 5:22

minute 33:22 36:1 50:10 58:15

**mis-**44:6

miscalculated 75:15

miscalculation 78:23

miscarriage 88:24 89:1,19 94:9

miscarrying 25:5

mishear 61:14

misheard 61:6

**miso-**17:2

misoprostol 26:21 59:7 60:11,12,18

missing 81:19

misspoke 61:7

mistake
97:22

mixed 22:15 92:20

moment 32:7 77:17

Monday 21:25 22:7

month 21:21 26:1 78:11

**months** 25:18

morning 21:25 22:23

**mouth** 52:11

**move** 93:25

**moved** 67:5

**MRI** 65:18

multiple 19:9

**name** 4:7 12:8,13,16 13:12 30:8 46:15 47:9 48:14

Ν

**named** 16:5

**names** 45:15

nature 69:2

**nausea** 95:5

necessarily 24:19

necessary 25:14 90:17 need 4:19 5:4,9 19:5 37:2,6 51:23 67:8,9,14 77:18 needed

52:6 73:24 **needs** 51:12 67:11 94:12

negative 90:1,19 92:5

negatives 92:21

neglect 93:11

neglected 36:24

**neither** 42:24

**never** 18:18 27:9 49:22 91:1 95:3

New 4:2 6:13,18,25 21:7, 32:9

newspaper 31:17,23,25 32:4 45:15 46:9,12,13,17

newspapers 46:2

**night** 24:4

nine 62:8 76:10,25 77:10,12 78:3,8 79:10

ninety 11:25

nodding 4:20

**non-**58:23

57:1

non-approved 64:10 non-physician nonmedical 58:12 nonspecific

95:5 normal

78:13 79:16 82:4 93:16

normally 79:18 80:3,8,10,15

**note** 33:3

**notes** 30:12 33:2,5

notice 6:3 63:10 74:24

noticed 35:12 74:22

notwithstanding 53:23

novel 84:21 86:10

**November** 78:11,16

number 10:1,2 11:14,24 15:16 29:3 71:5 94:14

numbers 60:3 61:8 78:6

**nurse** 51:9

0

**O'connell** 31:5 33:15 39:2 60:24 61:18 67:24 69:4,25 72:5 73:7,24 87:10

**O'connell's** 29:25 38:16 39:6 40:16 93:1

**OB/GYN** 7:18 8:10 17:8,16 19:25 20:16,23 21:8 24:6, 27:10 30:24 36:2 56:23 65:15,20,23 66:22 67:20 85:1

**OB/GYN'S** 66:4,9

**object** 46:5 85:10

**objection** 42:20 51:16 84:19

**obstetric** 23:8 77:2

Obstetrical 74:14

obstetrician 82:23

obstetrician/ gynecologist 20:2

**obstetrics** 17:20 23:9,18 75:21 82:19

obtained 13:11

**obvious** 76:16 93:4

**obviously** 24:16 39:15 77:17

occasional 22:13

occurred 40:24 68:23

**occurs** 72:25

October 77:8,16,25 78:5,6

off-label 64:14,21

offering 38:18 39:4

office 22:6 30:10,23 40:5,8,12 44:21 47:17 48:6,9,13 52:18 53:15,22 54:2,6,

DANIEL SMALL, M.D. - 10/26/2015 15 55:6.14 56:8 57:6 20,21 40:1,3,14,15 passage Ρ 68:9,18 69:9 70:8 75:1 41:9,16 42:1 47:22 93:21 82:11 97:5 57:19 58:4 86:23 93:22 passed 95:16 96:23 97:14 offices p.m. 19:4 88:14 89:6,11,12 68:14.16 37:16 opposed 92:6,10,17 96:10 4:20 10:23 11:9 25:4 officially PA passing 68:5 51:9 24:7 93:7,13 order pad Okay patient 67:14 33:3 5:15 6:11 8:13 9:25 22:3 24:17 25:9 26:8 organization 12:13 14:15 15:8 18:5. 64:2,16 65:5,7 70:8,24 page 11 24:23 28:11 29:8 8:9 72:10 73:5,10 76:1 6:5 30:20 48:17 69:8,18 31:1,15,24 35:5,17 36:5 85:19 88:10,16,22 organizations 74:12,13 86:19 37:1,24 45:23 46:16 91:11 94:17,24 96:9 7:21 pages 49:23 50:9 51:4 52:9 patients original 30:1,18 34:11 53:17 55:20.24 58:2 22:3,6,9, 23:23 26:6 67:18 68:11 72:20 73:2 28:21 33:12 38:3 73:23 paper 44:3 50:20 63:12 69:20 75:13 77:4 80:12 83:19 99:3,20 62:6 85:6 90:21 98:1 pattern originally papers 69:14 33:18 74:23 omitted 32:10 35:11 payments 31:12 ought paperwork 34:15 one-half 98:5 63:11 69:7 peeing 89:2.5 outcome paragraph 96:18 40:11 one-page 47:14 48:17 99:16 peer outside parameters 9:13 45:23 59:3 ones 53:3 25:13,14 48:21 53:15 pen ovarian paraphrasing 77:11 online 83:22 39:7 44:6 57:12 pending 18:15 overpart 39:22 42:1 open 41:18 6:1 20:6 54:18 57:5 Penn-11:14 16:13 18:22 30:3 overestimated 63:19 68:21 84:6 6:18 71:18,23 operate partial Pennsylvania 22:10 49:13 overwhelmingly 6:13 17:9 operating 88:13 particular pensation 22:18 89:3 26:14 27:17,18 39:3 owned 20:6 46:21,25 47:1 63:6 opinion 48:13 66:14 69:23 74:17 29:10 38:15 42:6 53:4,6 people owner 79:15 24:13,18 53:8 56:9 54:18 58:2 59:9 63:13 45:18 47:12 50:15 71:4,7,12 72:8,22 74:9 57:17 partner 51:22 75:18 76:23 79:13,23 19:25 50:15 perowners 80:1,5,9,13,16 82:17 59:11 parts 84:10,17 85:9 86:16 48:21 95:25 percent 87:24 96:4,24 97:15 ownership 11:15,17,25 15:9,15 38:2 pass opinions 60:10,25 61:2,10,11,20, 18:9 19:6 88:11, 92:12 27:24 28:2 29:15,17 22 62:13 69:20 70:2

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Washington, DC 1-800-292-4789 www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

38:10,13,18 39:4,15,18,

93:5,11

72:3,14,17

	DANIEL SMALL, M
<b>percentage</b>	physician-
11:7 14:4,8,11,21,24	performed
15:1,6,8 24:23 25:21,25	94:20
<b>percentile</b>	<b>physicians</b>
81:2,5	22:2,20 37:12, 60:14
<b>perform</b> 24:22 25:12 26:17,22 47:20 56:23 66:7 82:14	<b>physicians'</b> 95:13
<b>performed</b>	<b>pick</b>
66:1,23 97:12	65:20
<b>performing</b> 53:9,12 57:17,23, 97:24	<b>picture</b> 67:18 83:15,20 84:4,6 98:3,12,15 99:7,17
Perinatal	<b>pictures</b>
29:24	56:25 66:9,10,16 67:12,
period	17 82:22 83:2 98:18,19,
11:20 20:22 93:16	25 99:1,8,9
<b>person</b> 44:13 52:18 53:20 54:13 90:9	<b>place</b> 23:6 43:16,19,20,23 44:9,17 48:10 49:14 50:1
personal	placenta
13:22	80:23 92:1
<b>personally</b>	<b>placental</b>
45:20 82:14	79:20 89:13 98:7
<b>persons</b>	placing
40:3	70:8
<b>pertinent</b>	<b>plaintiff</b>
92:21	11:9,17 33:9
Philadelphia	plaintiffs
20:21	12:1,23
<b>photos</b>	Plan
66:20	30:20
<b>phrase</b>	<b>play</b>
47:3	29:9
physically	<b>plural</b>
82:22	46:12
physician 19:20,24 25:17 48:4 49:8 50:2,11,17 51:7,21 52:12,15 56:23 67:9,10, 11,12,14 86:2,5,22 97:2,3,8 physician's	<b>point</b> 8:5 10:9 14:16 29:11 42:3,15 43:7,11 44:14 46:16 55:8 58:18,19 59:2 63:15 72:4 74:17 86:25 95:18
30:9	poison

79:20 poisoned 80:24 policies

47:15 **poorly** 70:19

portion 19:23

**position** 19:19 20:11,19,23 51:10,12

positions 19:19

73:3

92:21

**possible** 59:3 81:24

**posterity** 89:17

potential 38:22 39:9

49:17 62:1

practice 6:12,18 13:21 20:1 22:2 23:14 24:21,24 25:22 27:11 28:12 29:5 46:21, 22,25 47:2,5 50:12,15 51:22 60:6,8

20:16

81:6

57:2

preferred 61:13

pregnancy 25:4,8,20 26:15,16,24 27:6,8 38:22,24 55:3 58:19,24 63:1,6 72:4 74:17 75:23,25 79:21 85:22 88:5,12,14 89:2, 4,8,20,21,25 90:1,3,15, 18,22 91:4,5,9,13,20 92:4,7,13 93:6 94:15, 18,21,23,25 95:4 96:10, 13

pregnant 23:23 25:18,19 42:10 95:1,2,3,6 97:19

prejudice 16:18

prematurity 39:11

prenatally 81:25

present 5:24 6:12,23 10:11 18:8 19:20 20:12 21:16 45:12 66:22

8:14

presumably 48:5

96:9

88:1

previous 14:7

**Primary** 30:15

principles 77:2

**prior** 15:22

private 7:15,20 14:1

privilege 24:5

privileges 6:23 7:4,7,9,10 21:3

DANIEL SMALL, M.D. - 10/26/2015 i17 pro-choice provide 57:21 58:4 range 12:19,22 15:19 26:9 25:16 41:8,12 60:22 61:1 qualify 56:8.10 68:25 probability 7:23 rate 79:15,25 80:2 provided 60:3 61:16 63:19 70:2 **quarter** 31:25 32:5,6 44:3 54:7 71:11,17 72:3,7 79:16 probably 34:10 67:19 5:6 7:3 9:23 10:3 11:1, requestion 25 12:17,19 19:5 25:25 provider 44:14 4:15,17 5:1 9:4,16,20 26:12 11:11 24:17 51:8 93:19 14:15 18:13,17 31:24 reach problem providers 37:6,9 41:20 42:14 82:17 57:4 69:16 72:13 87:3 35:19.24 61:13 43:12 46:4 52:22 56:3 reached 89:15 98:20 57:15,22 59:9,16 62:12 provides 10:10 67:3,13 73:23 75:17 problems 24:17 78:12 82:16 84:21 read 38:23 39:2,5,10 providing 18:15 23:1 31:7 46:9,11 92:18,22 95:8 99:6 procedure 25:22 56:11 60:14 47:20 56:19,24 57:7 auestioned 94:10 60:20 66:5,6 74:23 psych 56:7 82:24,25 86:8,22 91:12 procedures 21:4 questions 95:21 96:16,25 97:3,6, 47:16 48:6 public 4:24 18:16, 42:22 23 98:17,21 proceed 14:13 quickly readable 44:25 publication 53:19 99:4 proctoring 8:8 quit reading 22:19 publications 31:23 32:9 46:1 57:24 36:1 product 6:5 58:1 67:21,22 70:14 quite 91:6,23 84:25 91:14 95:20 97:7, published 47:25 62:1 9 products 45:15 quote 98:7 real pull 63:17 18:23 professional 28:4 8:10,11 20:3 21:17 realize purpose R 29:2 41:22 54:24 74:22 professionally 4:21 84:13 86:14 45:20 realized radiologist put 84:5 88:23 56:21 57:3 70:8 96:15 program 42:19 43:16,19,23 44:9, 17:5 21:9 16 52:10 62:4 73:2 really radiologist's 83:10 85:24 90:23 9:5 54:24 88:22 97:18 programs 65:16 17:5,10 putting reask radiologists 48:10 49:14 4:25 56:22 progress 30:11 reason radiology Q 5:5 8:4 17:12 57:20 75:1 76:7 proper 58:3 84:16 65:8 69:1 raise qualifications reasonable properly 95:8 50:17 51:13,24 52:14, 41:14 65:5 73:9 79:14. 97:13,21 17 53:8 57:9,16 raising 24 80:1 40:16 prostol qualified reasons 17:3 31:3 32:18 34:12,13,17, ran 25:7 46:2 25 36:11 52:5 53:13 21:11 48:8,13

1-800-292-4789

# recall

13:14 31:20 32:3,15 33:5 46:13.14 47:10 57:7

received 29:20 31:8 32:11 33:8 38:21 40:22

recertification 18:4.14 19:11

recertifications 18:8

recertified 17:24 18:2

recess 68:14

recognize 41:25

recommended 76:1

reconstruct 30:5 78:2

record 30:15 42:20 89:16 92:15 98:24

records 9:16 11:6 29:20.23 30:10,19,23 31:9 33:1, 19 47:10 50:22 63:21 74:23 93:1,14 98:23

refer 26:8 27:2 60:4

reference 31:21 63:9,11 93:15

referenced 62:7

referred 27:4 60:7

referring 24:25 36:10 92:15

regard 33:10 37:4 54:1 65:13 71:15 96:7,23 99:7

regarding 38:15 58:17 69:1

regardless 71:18 75:8 86:13

regards 71:8

regimen 42:11,17 43:16 44:1 48:11 49:18 59:22,25 60:13 64:8,9,10 71:1,24 72:12 73:18

regimens 60:17,19 61:9,11,12

registering 8:7

regularly 42:11,17

related 13:22.24 46:2 48:23

relating 31:18

relationships 39:24

relative 72:10

relatively 43:21 57:12

reliable 27:13,21

relied 97:7

rely 65:15,21,24 97:8 98:10

relying 62:16 92:19

remember 10:6 12:8,13,16,18 32:7 44:5 56:24 58:8

removal 91:6 repeat

43:12

repeating 92:4

## report

32:18 33:7 34:9,13,16 36:6,11,20 37:7 42:4,6, 22 47:14 48:17 49:4 56:20 62:7 65:16,20,24 66:17 68:6,8,9 74:14 82:6,7,9,10,11 83:7 84:22,23,25 85:15,17 99:16

reporter 4:13.21

reporting 93:7.18

reports 33:23,25 34:1 36:16 37:4,10,11,13,15,25 38:2.7 56:17 84:14 96:10

represent 4:8 19:3 42:25 83:12

represented 86:21 91:25

representing 11:8,10 83:13

request 15:13

requesting 15:14

require 50:16,21 51:11 64:2,4 66:21,25

required 55:22 56:3 59:11 63:23 73:3,4 90:11,14 96:25

requirement 17:25 53:7

requires 51:6 65:7 91:17

requiring 67:6

research 6:6 21:18 27:23 residency 17:5,10,13,16 21:8

residents 20:22 21:6,10

resolve 16:17

respect 27:22 65:14

response 4:22

responsibility 49:9,13,19,20,21 52:16 53:7 57:25 66:4,9,19 70:15 82:12,23,25 83:8 84:24 85:20 95:14,22 96:6 97:16,23 98:2,13, 21

responsible

48:10,22,25 49:1,5,6,7 51:2 70:13 86:6,16,20 87:5.6

rest 22:7,25

restriction 80:23,25

result 9:11

resulted 38:23

results 90:2

retain 28:21 37:14

retained 11:8

retardation 39:10 79:22

retarded 78:14

retrieved 29:18

retrospective 54:19

DANIEL SMALL, M.D. - 10/26/2015 **RU-486** retrospectively second-trimester sharing 14:10 93:4 26:8,19 49:16 59:8,21 59:14 60:1,3,25 61:2, 69:10 return section she's 71:6 73:24 15:4 76:2 27:19 49:10 56:21 83:1,7,10, rules 21,24 85:18,20 86:16 reveal see 4:11 89:19 93:18 98:13,17 88:16 6:4 17:7 22:6,22 28:25 shifts rump 30:4,8,19 33:13 37:22 review 58:14 70:10,12,17,20 47:18 81:22 83:12 84:1 23:4,5,11,16,20,24 9:13,17,23 28:2 33:21 74:18 75:7,22,24 76:3, 93:6 94:1 97:21 98:6 43:14 46:3 82:15 shortcut 8,15,19,20 87:4 seeing 83:2 reviewed run 22:9 32:16 70:5 show 31:14 41:10 4:10 44:21 45:10 51:24 99:11,24 seen reviewing 31:19 32:8,15,19 41:12 8:25 11:6 32:25 63:21 showed S 50:5 56:13 67:24 99:8 45:9 reviews self-explanatory 37:18 shows sac 25:2 99:24 revoked 74:20 75:7,25 76:4,11, send 6:19 7:11 22 83:21,23,25 87:4 shrink 13:12 89:10 91:25 79:20 riaht sense Sadly side 6:3 7:6 15:20 16:11 18:14 21:22 67:16 81:14 11:16,18 35:23 19:8 24:1 26:2 29:19 30:18 34:3 35:1 41:24 sent Salsbury sian 53:22 62:11 64:23 28:3 32:22 40:19,20,21 37:12 38:3,8 56:17,20 13:3 67:21 73:1 75:9,15 sentence 66:17 84:13 satisfaction 76:21 78:17 79:5,13 87:22 38:8 signature 84:6,9 87:12 88:2 91:19 96:3,20,21 38:1 70:15 84:10,22,23 separate saw 34:1,16 36:12,19 86:14 31:22 32:8 risks September signed 69:374:5 saving 78:5 33:25 36:12 86:19 38:25 39:1,8,12 52:20 Road 71:25 75:5 78:22 83:7 service significant 4:2 8:12 39:18 40:10 81:16 90:24 91:12 92:14 45:14 54:7 56:10 role 93:10 94:5,17 89:6 93:9 services 29:8 savs significantly 13:17 25:23 36:3 56:8 room 42:6 45:8 48:1 61:24 70:9 set 22:18 23:9 67:5,9 69:10 71:6 96:14 signing 73:11 Rose schedule 82:5,8,11 84:13 85:15 setting 36:3 22:15 86:14 48:22 56:9 rotation scope signs seven 21:5,12 38:9,13 83:7 74:15 89:2,4 rotobics similar second severe 20:9 24:13 4:18 16:15 26:14 42:21 7:20 8:2 16:24 93:17 roughly 47:13 48:16 69:8 71:14 similarly 11:15 59:4 shared 87:10 99:13 86:20

DTI Court Reporting Solutions - Washington, DC 1-800-292-4789 www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

14:7 15:6

simple 66:13 89:20

**simply** 56:16

**single** 99:18

sir

87:1

**sit** 12:18 19:1 63:9

site

47:1

sitting 44:11

situation 9:13 48:19 50:10 51:6 52:12 83:4

situations 25:13

**six** 16:10,20

six-figure 41:8

**size** 75:25 76:4,11 82:4 88:18 94:3

**small** 4:1,7 25:24 28:12 43:21 81:17

smoothly 37:3

**smudge** 99:20

societies 7:15

**society** 7:20

**sole** 49:20

solely

49:6

some-52:4 82:7 92:24 somebody 18:20 25:17 53:18 54:22 76:18 91:23 94:11 96:17 97:21

somewhat 82:4

**son** 38:16 39:6 40:16

**sono-**65:24 66:6,22 83:14

**sonogram** 40:6,7,9 53:13 67:15 74:14

**sonograms** 47:18 53:9,16 54:14 65:13,25

sonographer 52:24 54:16 66:5,14 67:19 86:8

sonographers 56:1 82:20

sonographs 57:17

**SORTY** 23:2 35:6 47:24 75:7 88:20

sort 14:25 22:14 28:22 38:9, 23 73:22

**sorts** 27:16 64:12

**sound** 67:22 86:1 88:23 89:1,9 96:8 97:24

**sounds** 15:14 34:18

**source** 27:17,19 45:3,7,24 46:19 47:23

**SOURCES** 45:5,11

**speak** 71:7

**speaking** 11:15 21:23 50:9 66:3

**speaks** 47:15

24:8

**specialty** 19:16 86:6

**specific** 32:4 55:11 60:5 62:15 63:9 73:19 80:12,15 81:17,21 83:6

**specifically** 31:21 38:7 51:4 53:2 79:19 80:21

specified 81:24

specimen 96:17

**speech** 69:14

spend 21:17 22:18

**spent** 16:1.3

spoke 4:8

**sponsibilities** 44:15

spontaneously 25:5

10:3 staff

20:18,19

standard 39:14,19,21 40:1,3,10 42:13 44:1 49:12 50:16 51:6,10 53:4 59:10,17 63:23 64:1,4,25 65:6 66:21 67:1,5 68:2 75:6 87:14,25 88:3 91:16,21 96:7,22 97:15

standing 66:18

start 41:24 65:16 71:3

**started** 9:5 10:6, 13:10 17:11, 14,25 45:9

**starts** 21:23

state 44:7 58:16,21

state-licensure 7:22

**stated** 44:5

Statement 35:13,17,22

**states** 6:11,15 49:17 69:6,11 73:25

statistics 10:21

**status** 49:24 50:14

**steps** 51:12

**Steven** 45:16,19,20

24:4

**strike** 40:2 63:25

students 20:21

**study** 60:19 65:17 66:8,13 86:4,17

**stuff** 87:3

### stylistic 38:5

subject 8:16 27:15,20

subspecialist 24:11

substantial 61:23

substantially 10:2

SUCCESS 70:2

**successful** 81:10 93:24

sufficient 72:24 89:9 91:2

42:8

suggesting 31:16 49:4 64:17 83:23

summarized 68:7

summary 68:2

superfluous 90:20

**support** 63:8 93:13

supported 29:11

**suppose** 26:24 35:13 66:12 91:22 92:1

supposed 41:15 76:13,14 83:12

supposedly 40:6

#### sure

4:9 9:2 10:3,15 11:13 13:9 35:16 46:14 47:25 52:11 57:12 58:21 62:20 65:19 68:11 75:16 77:18 89:7,18 92:23 96:3 99:14,18 **surgery** 8:1 20:8 21:5,20 22:12 24:9,12

surgical 22:11 26:4,5 73:16 91:4

6:19 7:11

Switching 58:15

**sworn** 4:3

sylvania 6:19

symptoms 94:18,22,24 95:4,5,7,10 96:11

**system** 43:19 49:14

т

### take

4:22 5:4,9 7:3 9:15,20 16:17 18:2,25 19:23 22:24 23:6,7,12,13 33:2 36:7 38:2,10 40:15 51:13 56:18 57:25 66:8 68:12 77:17,18 82:22 83:1,15 84:6 85:18 95:12,22 96:24 98:20

taken 4:9 67:18 68:14 70:20

takes 70:14 95:17

talk 4:13 8:22 52:25

talked 71:22

talking 21:15 26:2 27:7,15 33:24 37:22 46:25 48:18 69:15 88:22 20:21 **tax** 15:4

taught

teaching 22:20 24:15

**tech** 97:9,10,12

technician 87:7 97:24 98:10

technicians 54:5 82:22

telephone 33:17

# tell

14:2 16:3 19:18 21:20 69:16 72:14 74:5 80:20 81:7 89:12

**tells** 54:6 89:10

ten 10:4,16 11:21 12:2 17:24 21:25 76:8 78:7,8

tend 13:25 24:11,22

tenderness 95:4

**tens** 41:6

tentative 44:10

**tenth** 81:1

term 24:19 25:2

terminating 26:16

termination 25:20 26:15,23 91:3,5,9

terms 13:9 37:25 59:17 73:15 terrifying 77:6

## test 85:22 88:5

85:22 88:5,7,9 89:20,21 90:1,3,12,13,15,18,22 91:17,18,20 92:4,7 94:21 96:13

testified

4:4 10:19 12:4 46:8 50:13 55:24 57:8,15 65:2 67:10 69:4 84:12 92:17

testifying 64:21

testimony 15:10 47:8 55:13 61:21

testing 93:23 94:22

**texts** 27:12

there's 5:23 6:6 16:13 18:1 23:2 33:15 34:14 38:5 41:18 50:4 55:1 57:23 58:22 74:12,13 78:3 82:2 89:7 92:9

they're 73:17,18

**thin** 56:16

thing 7:23 22:5,14 42:5 47:13 70:3 82:8 85:4,16 89:22 92:25 96:19

things

6:7 16:16 19:22 23:3,17 37:3 38:6 43:9,11 49:19 64:13 72:20,21 73:12 78:20 81:16,18 90:4 94:12

# think

5:13,18 7:24 8:5,7 9:11 10:24 12:9,11 14:18 21:1 25:2 30:23 36:21, 24 39:7 41:21 43:13 45:22 46:11 47:7 49:10

1-800-292-4789

50:12 51:15,18 52:1,21 53:11,18 55:24 56:6	title 29:4,5 53:22	<b>Trenton</b> 32:10	U
57:21 58:9 59:20 61:6,			
7,19 64:7 69:23 70:11,	titled	trexate	ultimately
16 71:17 72:16 82:2	28:13 69:19	63:3	78:14 86:15
83:4,9,24 85:11 90:15	today	trial	
92:2 94:4 95:9 96:20	12:18 44:11	10:20 12:4	ultra-
97:18 98:2 99:12	today's	trimester	52:23 67:21 85:25 89
hinking	15:22	26:14,19 27:5 59:15	96:7 97:23
59:3	-	64:3 80:25 90:10	ultrasono-
	told		52:25 56:17
hirty	23:13 62:2 65:10 67:8	true	ultrocound
9:23 10:1 82:18 85:4	69:5 73:24	32:6 40:17 48:16,24	
homas	top	69:23 73:13 74:4 95:15	40:11 48:3 53:21 54
20:20 21:2	35:22	try	5,7,20,22,25 55:2,16
	totol	4:15 15:17 27:19 29:22	56:12,20,24 57:1 58:
hose	total	68:4	62:3,8 66:5,6 67:22,7 70:6,7,14 74:25 75:2
37:9	24:23	trying	76:6,16,19 77:1 81:2
hought	totaled	trying	82:6,14,24 83:1, 84:
14:21 34:5 63:17	41:3	45:2 52:10,11 53:3	23 85:19,24 86:22 8
houghts	totally	Tuesday	20 88:1 89:10,15 90:
33:20	61:6 99:10	22:8	17,19,24 91:10,12,1
		turning	92:6 94:20 95:11,14
housands	tough	33:22 38:9 53:5	22 96:12,23 97:1,4,6
41:7	97:20		10,11,16,25 98:5,10
hree	track	twelve	18,22,25 99:16
10:22 11:23 21:12 34:1	10:22	40:23 55:3	
35:8 72:21 76:17 80:19		twelve-hour	ultrasounds
89:23	trained	23:5,20	48:12 54:4 57:23 58
	54:16 55:2,14 56:1,10		82:20,21 83:6 96:16
Thursdays	58:7 97:13,21	twenty	unacceptable
22:10,17	training	9:6,8 11:16	48:11
time	17:4,8 50:23 53:21,25	two	
4:14 5:9 10:2 12:20	54:9,23 55:6,9,12,16,18	5:21 8:13 10:23 13:10	under-
13:6,9 16:17 19:23	58:11,13 94:6	18:25 20:18 24:4 25:18,	66:17 70:20
20:22 21:3, 29:17 42:3,		25 34:6,10,15 37:4	underestimate
15 43:8,11 46:16 58:18	trans-	43:25 61:8,9 69:22	73:20
62:9 69:25 71:14 72:4	83:10	76:8,12,15 78:17 80:18	underestimated
77:18 85:3 87:10 89:23	transcript	81:20 89:21 94:9 99:1	70:23
94:5 96:1	79:3	two-hour	70.23
imes	transducer	21:24	understand
	66:8 85:24	21.24	4:24 5:3 11:13 35:20
10:13,16,18,25 16:9,10 26:14 32:9		types	38:25 43:8 44:12 46
20.14 32.9	treading	8:2	47:3 52:12 54:2 75:1
issue	56:15	typical	80:11,20 84:2 95:18
79:20 88:11,12,14 89:7,	treat	9:17 21:21	understanding
8,13,25 92:6,10,12,17	50:20		29:14 39:23 45:6 48:
93:5,8,11,14,21 96:10			20 49:23 50:3,13 52:
98:8	treatment		54:12 55:20 58:10,10
	49:9,18 74:6		59:1 60:23 61:15 63

1-800-292-4789

www.deposition.com/washington-dc.htm

	DANIEL SMALL, M	.D 10/26/2015	i23
68:20 74:10 <b>understood</b> 5:1 53:14 75:16 <b>unfortunately</b> 67:3 <b>United</b> 49:16 69:6,11 73:25	utilized   59:22 63:3   utilizing   21:2 73:18   V   vague	4:14,16 walked 73:7 wandering 73:22 want 5:7 24:18 28:19,20 26:7 17 43:21 43:2 12	went 10:20 29:18 51:4 weren't 56:9 58:25 what's 43:4 49:23 61:15,21 74:10 75:18 85:17 what
University 20:20 21:6 unofficially	52:22 67:4 85:16 various	36:7,17 42:21 43:3,12 65:6,9 73:10 78:2,21,23 wanted	92:14 whatsoever
24:8 unreadable 99:2,11,19	20:4 <b>vast</b> 20:2	56:7 <b>wants</b> 26:6 64:3	6:10 <b>wheel</b> 77:12 78:20,24
unspecified 85:16	venued 12:12 verbal	<b>wasn't</b> 21:2 35:16 48:1 57:3 92:4 93:16	Whichever 36:16 white
untrained 47:16 48:11 57:1 unusual	4:19 version 38:4 76:11	<b>way</b> 13:17,18 21:22 26:16 44:21 45:10 48:3 50:20	99:19 <b>who's</b>
62:22 81:18 <b>up-to-date</b> 5:18	<b>versus</b> 61:1	53:24 55:1 76:14 81:11 82:3 84:25 90:23 93:19 97:14	49:8 55:2 86:5 <b>wife</b> 69:15
update 5:24	viable 58:23,24 63:2	<b>ways</b> 68:1 87:13 94:14	withdraw 55:18
urine 85:22 88:9 89:20,21 90:3,13,18 96:17 use	video 35:25 view 29:11 95:18	we'll 5:9 we're 26:2 27:15 37:22 74:3	witness 8:23 9:10 11:4 13:16,25 14:5 15:10,19 30:17 36:14 51:18 68:19 84:20 85:14
17:2 26:23 27:15 47:1 62:22 64:7,9,21,25 65:3,4,10 66:8 75:10	violated 42:12 44:1	<b>we've</b> 43:2 95:25	woman's 89:25
76:14 78:20 <b>USFDA</b>	<b>visit</b> 68:18,21,22 86:25 87:1 90:25 94:3 96:2	website 13:19,21,22,24 14:1 Wednesday	womb 59:3 90:1
60:13 61:11 <b>usually</b> 22:22,24	visually 91:24 volition	22:8,11 <b>week</b> 21:21,23 80:18 89:2	wonder 85:21,23 wonderful
<b>uterus</b> 69:22 83:15,21 84:2,4,7 94:2 98:9	25:9 <b>volume</b> 22:11	weeks 55:3 62:4,8 69:22 74:16 76:8,10,17,25 77:10,12,	72:15,16 <b>word</b> 4:19
utilization 59:24	W	24 78:3,7,8,15 79:10,11 80:18,19 89:5,21 94:9	word-of-mouth 9:14
<b>utilize</b> 43:18 75:6	wait	<b>weeks'</b> 40:23 42:10 76:13	wording 26:12 58:8
	1	1	1

1-800-292-4789

		-, -,	
words	20:18 21:12 24:5 82:18		
11:5 19:21 50:14 52:11 80:17	85:4		
	York		
work	32:9		
9:10 11:16,17,25 12:1,	You'll		
24 13:23,25 14:6 15:19	17:7		
19:21,24 22:8 53:14			
63:4	you're		
worked	5:6 8:14 14:12 17:19		
48:3	18:21 38:14,25 39:1,3,		
workers	14 43:13 52:19,23 54:10, 57:12 64:13,17		
50:19	65:4 71:25 77:13 84:3,		
	24 85:12 88:22 90:24		
working	92:15,19 93:2 95:19		
51:9,19 53:18			
wouldn't	you've		
72:2 77:21	4:9 16:1 19:4 41:21		
write	49:3 51:7 55:24		
37:11			
written			
18:24 19:2 37:12,13,15			
38:4			
wrong			
48:12 54:11 61:5 75:9			
78:22			
wrote			
37:10			
01.10			
Χ			
xerox			
99:1			
Y			
year			
9:17,24 10:1,7,8,16,25			
11:22 14:24 15:11			
16:19 18:25 40:24			
<b>yearly</b> 18:14			
10.14			
years			
5:21 9:6,8,15 10:4,8,12,			
5:21 9:6,8,15 10:4,8,12, 18,23 11:2,21,24 12:2 13:10 15:3,12,16 17:24			