



In the Supreme Court of the State of Nevada

)

)

)

FILED

OCT 1 8 2010

TRACIE K. LINDEMAN RKDF BUPREME COURT

DEPUTY CLERK

WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA and SELERIN NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the Estate of KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, Deceased,

Appellants,

vs.

A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; and ADAM LEVY, M. D., individually; SC Case No.: 56879 DC Case No.: A574891

DOCKETING STATEMENT CIVIL APPEALS

Respondents.

#### GENERAL INFORMATION

All appellants not in proper person must complete this docketing statement. NRAP 14(a). The purpose of the docketing statement is to assist the Supreme Court in screening jurisdiction, classifying cases for en banc, panel, or expedited treatment, compiling statistical information and identifying parties and their counsel.

#### WARNING

This statement must be completed fully, accurately and on time. NRAP 14(c). The Supreme Court may impose sanctions on counsel or appellant if it appears that the information provided is incomplete or inaccurate. *Id.* Failure to attach documents as requested in this statement, completely fill out the statement, or to fail to file it in a timely manner, will constitute grounds for the imposition of sanctions, including a fine and/or dismissal of the appeal.

This court has noted that when attorneys do not take seriously their obligations under NRAP 14 to complete the docketing statement properly and conscientiously, they waste the valuable judicial resources of this court, making the imposition of sanctions appropriate. *See* KDI Sylvan Pools v. Workman, 107 Nev. 340, 344, 810 P.2d 1217, 1220 (1991). Please use tab dividers to separate any attached documents.



10-27154

#### 1. Judicial District: Eighth

۰,

Department: XVII

**County:** Clark

Judge: Michael Villani

District Ct. Docket No: A574891

## 2. Attorney filing this docket statement:

Attorney: Judith H. Braecklein, Esq. Telephone: (702) 233-8683 Firm: KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES Address: 2551 S. Ft. Apache Rd. #103, Las Vegas, Nevada 89117

Clients: Wambutsa Mpamira and Selerin Ntahitagabira

If this is a joint statement completed on behalf of multiple appellants, add the names and addresses of other counsel and the names of their clients on an additional sheet accompanied by a certification that they concur in the filing of this statement.

## 3. Attorneys representing respondents:

Attorney:John H. Cotton, Esq.; John J. Savage, Esq.Telephone: (702) 367-9993Firm:JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATESAddress:2300 W. Sahara Avenue, #420, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89102

Clients: A-Z Women's Center and Adam Levy, M.D.

## 4. Nature of disposition below (check all that apply):

- Judgment after bench trial
- □ Judgment after jury verdict
- □ Summary judgment
- □ Default judgment
- X Dismissal
- □ Lack of jurisdiction
  - □ Failure to state a claim
  - □ Failure to prosecute
  - $\Box$  Other (specify)

Grant/Denial of NRCP 60(b) relief Grant/Denial of injunction Grant/ Denial of declaratory relief Review of agency determination Decree of Divorce: Original Modification Other disposition (specify)

5. Does this appeal raise issues concerning any of the following: No.

- □ Child custody
- □ Venue
- □ Adoption
- **D** Termination of parental rights
- Grant/denial of injunction or TRO
- Juvenile matters
- 6. Pending and prior proceedings in this court. (List the case name and docket number of all appeals or original proceedings presently or previously pending before this court which are

related to this appeal)

٩,

To the best of the Appellants' knowledge and the knowledge of their Counsel, there are no cases now pending or previously pending before this Honorable Court that are related to this case.

7. **Pending and prior proceedings in other courts.** (List the case name, number and court of all pending and prior proceedings in other courts which are related to this appeal (*e.g.*, bankruptcy, consolidated or bifurcated proceedings) and their dates of disposition)

To the best of the Appellants' knowledge and the knowledge of their Counsel, there are no cases now pending or previously pending before any other Court that are related to this case.

8. **Nature of the action**. (Briefly describe the nature of the action, including a list of the causes of action pleaded, and the result below)

The Complaint below was for Wrongful Death Due to Professional Negligence. It was pled that the Doctor, nurses, and employees of Dr. Levy and of A-Z Women's Center breached their duty to provide the best care possible for their patient, KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, following an abortion. It was further pled that the standard of care was breeched in the performance of the abortion on KAWAIDA ESPENRACE and in the post-operative care of the Decedent. However, this case was not decided on its merits. It was dismissed on a technicality.

9. **Issues on appeal**. (State concisely the principal issue(s) in this appeal)

Did the District Court abuse its discretion when it determined that the document, i.e., Dr. Cheryl Edwards' Affidavit/Declaration, attached to Plaintiffs'/Appellants' Complaint did not qualify as an Affidavit since it was not sworn to before a Notary Public, particularly in light of this Court's decision in <u>Buckwalter v. District Court</u>, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 21 (2010)?

Did the District Court abuse its discretion when it granted Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint based in part on the fact that the Decedent's heirs were not individually named until nine (9) months after Plaintiffs learned the names of the heirs in light of the fact that it granted Plaintiffs' Countermotion to Amend Complaint to add the individual names of the Decedent's heirs five (5) months before Defendants filed their 12(b)(5) Motion to Dismiss?

Did the District Court abuse its discretion when it dismissed Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint based on a technical error in light of the fact that this Court wants all cases decided on the merits and not on technicalities? <u>Scrimer v. Eighth Judicial District Court</u>, 116 Nev. 507, 998 P.2d 1190 (2000)

Was the District Court clearly erroneous in granting Defendants' 12(b)(5) Motion to Dismiss based in part on what Plaintiffs believe was a harmless error, i.e., inadvertently leaving the date off Dr. Edwards' Affidavit/Declaration, particularly since Plaintiffs contend that the date of the document could easily be determined that at the latest it was written the day before the Complaint was file. A reasonable person could easily surmise that fact as has been the case in many contract disputes. 10. Pending proceedings in this court raising the same or similar issues. (If you are aware of any proceeding presently pending before this court which raises the same or similar issues raised in this appeal, list the case name and docket number and identify the same or similar issues raised)

Neither Appellants nor their Counsel are aware of any pending proceedings in this Court that raise the same issues as the instant case.

11. **Constitutional issues.** If this appeal challenges the constitutionality of a statute, and the state, any state agency, or any officer or employee thereof is not a party to this appeal, have you notified the clerk of this court and the attorney general in accordance with NRAP 44 and NRS 30.130?

N/A X Yes No

If not, explain

<[ ]

- 12. Other issues. Does this appeal involve any of the following issues?
  - □ Reversal of well-settled Nevada precedent (on an attachment, identify the case(s))
  - □ An issue arising under the United States and/or Nevada Constitutions
  - □ A substantial issue of first-impression
  - X An issue of public policy.
  - An issue where en banc consideration is necessary to maintain uniformity of this court's decisions
  - □ A ballot question

If so, explain. If the District Court's decision is affirmed, it could open a door to all defendants to find an inadvertent mistake on the part of the plaintiffs and have the Complaints dismissed on a technicality and not on the merits of the case as is this Court's policy.

13. Trial. If this action proceeded to trial, how many days did the trial last?

Was it a bench or jury trial? Since the case was dismissed prior to the scheduled trial, the trial date was vacated.

14. Judicial disqualification. Do you intend to file a motion to disqualify or have a justice recuse him/herself from participation in this appeal. If so, which Justice?

Appellants will not be requesting any of the Justices to recuse him or herself.

# TIMELINESS OF NOTICE OF APPEAL

15. Date of entry of written judgment or order appealed from. August 20, 2010. Attach a copy. If more than one judgment or order is appealed from, attach copies of each judgment or order from which an appeal is taken.

(a) If no written judgment or order was filed in the district court, explain the basis for

seeking appellate review:

- 16. Date written notice of entry of judgment or order served. August 20, 2010. Attach a copy, including proof of service, for each order or judgment appealed from.
  - (a) Was service by delivery or by mail XX Defendants/Respondents mailed the Notice of Entry of Order and the Order to Plaintiffs/Appellants on August 20, 2010, as shown in the Certificate of Mailing attached and made a part of the Notice of Entry of Order.
- 17. If the time for filing the notice of appeal was tolled by a post-judgment motion (NRCP 50(b), 52(b), or 59),

No post-judgment Motions were filed.

(a) Specify the type of motion, and the date and method of service of the motion, and date of filing.

NRCP 50(b)	Date served	By delivery	or by mail	Date of filing
NRCP 52(b)	Date served	By delivery	or by mail	Date of filing
NRCP 59	Date served	By delivery	or by mail	Date of filing

# Attach copies of all post-trial tolling motions.

# NOTE: Motions made pursuant to NRCP 60 or motions for rehearing or reconsideration do not toll the time for filing a notice of appeal.

(b) Date of entry of written order resolving tolling motion	Attach a copy.
(c) Date written notice of entry of order resolving motion served including proof of service.	Attach a copy,

(i) Was service by delivery or by mail (specify).

- 18. Date notice of appeal was filed. September 20, 2010.
  - (a) If more than one party has appealed from the judgment or order, list date each notice of appeal was filed and identify by name the party filing the notice of appeal:
  - 19. Specify statute or rule governing the time limit for filing the notice of appeal, *e.g.*, NRAP 4(a) NRS 155,190, or other
    - NRAP 4(a)

## SUBSTANTIVE APPEALABILITY

20. Specify the statute or other authority granting this court jurisdiction to review the judgment or order appealed from:

NRAP 3A(b)(1) X	NRS 155.190	(specify subsection)
NRAP 3A(b)(2)	NRS 38.205	(specify subsection)

NRAP 3A(b)(3) NRS 703.376 Other (specify)

۰.

Explain how each authority provides a basis for appeal from the judgment or order:

This is an Appeal from an Order granting Defendants' 12(b)(5) Motion to Dismiss Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint on a technicality; a harmless error which did not go to the substance of the litigation. This was a final Order of the Court.

## 21. List all parties involved in the action in the district court:

Wambutsa Mpamira and Selerin Ntahitagabira, Co-Administrators of the Decedent's Estate, Plaintiffs.

A-Z Women's Center and Adam Levy, M.D., Defendants.

(a) If all parties in the district court are not parties to this appeal, explain in detail why those parties are not involved in this appeal, *e.g.*, formally dismissed, not served, or other:

N/A

22. Give a brief description (3 to 5 words) of each party's separate claims, counterclaims, cross-claims or third-party claims, and the trial court's disposition of each claim, and how each claim was resolved *(i.e., order, judgment, stipulation), and the date of disposition of each claim. Attach a copy of each disposition.* 

This has been answered in numbers 8, 15 and 16 above

23. Attach copies of the last-filed version of all complaints, counterclaims, and/or crossclaims filed in the district court.

This will be Plaintiffs' Amended Complaint.

24. Did the judgment or order appealed from adjudicate ALL the claims alleged below and the rights and liabilities of ALL the parties to the action below:

Yes and No. It was a final order of the Court but no claims were adjudicated as the Amended Complaint was dismissed on a technicality.

## 25. If you answered "No" to the immediately previous question, complete the following:

(a) Specify the claims remaining pending below: There are none as the Amended Complaint was dismissed.

(b) Specify the parties remaining below: There are none as the Amended Complaint was dismissed.

(c) Did the district court certify the judgment or order appealed from as a final judgment pursuant to NRCP 54(b): This was not necessary.

Yes No If "Yes," attach a copy of the certification or order, including any notice of entry and proof of service.

(d) Did the district court make an express determination, pursuant to NRCP 54(b), that there is no just reason for delay and an express direction for the entry of judgment:

Yes No This was not necessary.

26. If you answered "No" to any part of question 25, explain the basis for seeking appellate review (e.g., order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)):

The Order is independently appealable under NRAP 3A(b)(1).

## VERIFICATION

I declare under penalty of perjury that I have read this docketing statement, that the information provided in this docketing statement is true and complete to the best of my knowledge, information and belief, and that I have attached all required documents to this docketing statement.

Wambutsa Mpamira and Selerin Ntahitagabira

Name of Appellants

Name of Counsel of Record

Judith H. Braecklein, Esq.

October 14, 2010

Date

<u>ideth 1d.</u> Braecklein Signature of Counsel of Record

Clark County, State of Nevada

State and county where signed

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that on the 14<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2010, I served a copy of this completed Docketing Statement upon all Counsel of Record and on the Settlement Judge:

□ By personally serving it upon him/her; or

X By mailing it by first class mail with sufficient postage prepaid to the following addresses:

JOHN H. COTTON, ESQ. JOHN J. SAVAGE, ESQ. JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, #420 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 WILLIAM C. TURNER, ESQ. Settlement Judge 59 Oakmarsh Drive Henderson, Nevada 89074

Dated this 14<sup>th</sup> day of October, 2010.

Signature



•

DEPT:P

PAGE 03/05

				Contraction of the local division of the loc
£ 2			Electronically Filed 08/19/2010 09:53:51 AM	it prejudice). In tuli
-			Alton J. Elim	FINAL DISPOSITIONS Time Limit Expired D. Degmissed (self) or withou D. Jadgmant Sasisfred/Parki
		ORDR	CLERK OF THE COURT	
	2	John H. Cotton, Esq. Nevada Bar Number 5268		
	3	jhcotton@jhcottonlaw.com		
	Ĩ	John J. Savage, Esq.	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	4	Nevada Bar Number 11455		252
	5	jsavage@jhcottonlaw.com		Sum Jogen Neo-Jury Tria Jury Trial
	- 11	JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD.		000
•	6	2300 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 420		
	7.	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102		E F E
•	· '']]	Phone: (702) 367-9993 Fax: (702) 367-9977		自然なる
	8	Attorneys for Defendants		
•	9			335
		DISTRICT	COURT	5 5 6 <u>6</u>
925	10.]	CLARK COUNT	Y, NEVADA	kolomaty Dis Incoluctary (stat) Dis Jógrat on Arb Ávrard Min to Elis (hy deft
5 0 6 7 Å T E S. L T D. 8 VEGÅS, NEVADA 49192 16651MILE: 702.367.9977	11		1	5 5 5 5
T E S. Nevada E: 702.36	1,1	WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA and SELERIN	CASE NO .: A574891	
- 10 - 10 - 10	12	NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the Estate of KAWAIDA ESPEREACE,	DEPT NO.: XVII	
C 1 A CAS,	13	Deceased, and the Individual HEIRS of the	DELL'USA XVEL	
, 5 5 0 E J A LAS VEGAS, TAESIMILE	10	Deceased,		
A S A	14		ORDER GRANTING DEFEN	DANTS'
8 8	15	Plaintiff,	12(b)(5) MOTION TO DISMU	SS
T 0 X 38876 993	15	V5;		
G O T T O Samara Sun Toz.367.9993	16	A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; ADAM LEVY,		
6 0 Sahara Toz.36	17	M.D., individually; DOES 1 through X; and		
		ROE CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive		
PEST DAE:	18			
ј с и и 2300- W 7елерни	19:	Defendants.		
221				
	20			
	21:	ORDI	5 <b></b>	
	•	This matter came before the Court on	July 21, 2010 before the Honora	ble Judge
	22			
· •	23	Michael Villani on Defendants' 12(b)(5) Motion	to Dismiss. Judith H. Braecklei	n, Esq., of
				• •
	24	the law firm of Kirk-Hughes & Associates, appe	ared on behalf of Plaintitts. John	J. Savage,
•	25	Due of the loss from of Julies H. Calton &	According Ttd annument on	babalf of
· · · ·	.	Esq., of the law firm of John H. Colton &	Associates, Ltu., appeared on	Deman Or
•	26	Defendants.		
	27			
RECEIVED		On or about November 3, 2007, Defend	ants provided medical treatment to	o Kawaida
DEPT. 17 C		المناح المعانية المعانية والمعالم والمعالم والمعالية المعالية والمعانية والمعالية والمعالية والمعالية	A Nationhas 2 2008 Distant	itte filed e
AUG 1 7 20	18	Esperance which allegedly resulted in her deat	u. Ou inevenuer 3, 2000, flami	TTO TINON C
·····		1 State of the second secon		
	1.1			L

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

27

28



Complaint For Wrongful Death Due To Professional Negligence (medical malpractice). The caption of said Complaint, besides setting forth the names of two co-administrators, identified other plaintiff(s) as "the individual heirs of the deceased." Nowhere in the Complaint are the "individual heirs" identified in any fashion.

Attached to said Complaint is a document titled "Affidavit of Cheryl Edwards, M.D." The document is not notarized and does not comply with the requirements of an Affidavit pursuant to NRS 41A.071. Defendants seek to dismiss the Complaint based upon the fact that an Affidavit was not attached to the Complaint. See Washoe Medical Center and NRS 41A.071. This Court agrees that the document attached to the Complaint does not qualify as an Affidavit as it is not sworn to before an officer authorized to administer oaths.

However, in Buckwalter v. Dist. Court, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 21 (2010), the Supreme Court held that a declaration that complies with NRS 53.045 can folfill NRS 41A,071's Affidavit requirements. NRS 53.045 provides in part "[a]ny matter whose existence or truth may be established with the same effect by an unsworn declaration of its existence or truth signed by the declarant under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form: ..., I declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct." The apparent declaration attached to the Complaint does not comply with the mandatory requirements of NRS 53.045 in that it is not dated.

Generally, courts interpret statutes based on their plain meaning, which is intended to reflect legislative intent. Washington v. State, 117 Nev. 735 (2001). Here, it is clear that the legislature intended that declarations used in licu of affidavits include the date. Thus, Plaintiffs' proposed declaration fails to meet the statutory requirements of NRS 53.045. 26

Moreover, the fictitious name for the "individual heirs" does not relate back to the date the Complaint was filed. To effectively utilize NRCP 10(a) so an amendment relates back to the date of the original complaint, the movant must meet three elements. First, the

2;

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1:0

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

4551343



fiotitious or Doe defendant must be pleaded in the complaint's caption; second, Plaintiffs must plead the basis for naming defendants by other than their true identity; and third, Plaintiffs utilizing NRCP 10(a) must exercise reasonable diligence in pursuing discovery and other means of ascertaining the true identities of the defendants, then promptly amend the complaint. <u>Nurenberger Hercules-Werke GMBH v. Virostek</u>, 107 Nev. 873 (1991).

The Court recognizes that <u>Nurenberger Hercules-Werke GMBH</u> involves a Plaintiff seeking amendment to substitute named Defendants; however, the Court finds the analysis to apply here. As such, in the instant case, Plaintiffs failed to meet these three elements, First, Plaintiffs failed to state a basis for using a fictitious name in the Complaint. Additionally, Plaintiffs' discovery answers indicate that they were aware of the true names of the fictitious heirs as early as September 2009, but yet did not amend the Complaint until June 2010. The Court finds that 9 months is not prompt under the <u>Nurenberger</u> <u>Hercules-Werke GMBH</u> analysis. Therefore, even if Plaintiffs' declaration was sufficient, the fictitious name for the "individual heirs" does not relate back to the date the complaint was filed.

Having entertained oral argument and being fully advised of and having reviewed the documents and files therein including Defendants' Motion, Plaintiffs' Opposition, Defendant's Reply, and the parties' Supplemental Briefs, the Court finds good cause for and therefore:

] 0 H M IL C 0 T T 0 K Å Š S 0 C I Å T E S. L T D. 2300 WEST SAMARA SUITE 420 LAS VEGAS, NEVALDA 89302 TELEPHONE: 702.367,9993 FAGSIMILE: 702.367,9977 A \$ \$ 0 C I A T E \$, L T D. LAS YEGAS, NEVADA 39102 FACSIMILE: 702:367-9977

> 2360 WEST SAHARA SUITE 420 TELEPHONE: 702.567.9993

**ə**Zi

C.O.T.T.O.X

URN N.

4551 343

DEPT;P

PAGE 05/05

1 2 ORDERS, ADJUDGES and DECREES that Defendants' 12(b)(5) Motion to Dismiss 3 this entire action for Plaintiffs' failure to comply with NRS 41A.071, NRS 53.045, and NRS 4 41A.097 is hereby GRANTED. '5 6 DATED this 18 day of Avg 2010. 7 8 9 E District Court Judge Honorable Michael Villani 10 11 12 day of August 2010. Approved to Form and Content Submitted this 13 Junerte' By: By: 4 Geraldine Kirk-Hughes, Esq. Judith H. Braccklein, Esq. John H. Cotton, Esq. 14 Nevada Bar Number 5268 15 KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES John J. Savage, Esq. 2551 S. Fort Apache Road, #103 Nevada Bar Number 11455 16 Las Vegas, NV 89117 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 420 Attorneys for Plaintiffs Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 17 Attorneys for Defendants 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



A.S.S.O.G.I.A.T.E.S., 1.7.D. LAS .VEGAS, NEVADA 89102

& 510

SUITE COLTON

<del>ب</del>ت

10 H.N 2340 WE

NOEJ

4551343

DEPT P

- See

**Electronically Filed** 08/20/2010 11:27:06 AM

John H. Cotton, Esq. CLERK OF THE COURT 2 Nevada Bar Number 5268 jheotion@jheottonlaw.com Э. John J. Savage, Esq. 4 Nevada Bar Number 11455 jsavage@jhcottonlaw.com 5 JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 420 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: (702) 367-9993 7 Fax: (702) 367-9977 8 Attorneys for Defendants 9 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 EACSIMILE: 702.367:9971 WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA, and SELERIN 11 NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the CASE NO.: A574891 12 ESPEREACE, Estate of KAWAIDA DEPT NO .: XVII Deceased, and the Individual HEIRS of the 13 Deceased, 14 Plaintiff, 15 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER VS. 2500 PUST SAAARA SUI TEEERAUNE: 702:367,9993 16 A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; ADAM LEVY, M.D., individually; DOES 1 through X; and 17 ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through X, inclusive 18 Defendants. 19 20 TO; ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: 21 YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order was 22 entered in the above entitled matter on the 20th day of August 2010, a copy of which is 23 attached hereto. Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August 2010. 24 25 JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 420 26 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Defendants 27 28 By:

John H. Cotton. John J. Savage:

DEPT:P

. Jack

t CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that on this \_ 304 day of August 2010, I sent a true and correct 2 copy of the forcgoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER RE: ORDER GRANTING 3 DEEENDANTS' 12(b)(5) MOTION TO DISMISS by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, 4 5 addressed to the following: 6 Geraldine Kirk-Hughes, Esq. 7 Judith H. Brancklein, Esq. 8 KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES 2551 S. Fort Apache Road, #103 9 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10 4 5 5 0 6 1 А 7 8 5, L 7 D. Las Vecas, Nevada 29102 Facsumite: 702:9677 41 12 An employee of John H. Cotton)& Associates 13 14 ) O.H.N. H. C.G.T.T.O.H. & 2300 .WEST SAHARA SUITE :420 TELEPHONE: JOB 367.9993 15 16 17. 18 19 20 21 :22 23 24 25 26 27 28



	Electronically Filed 09/20/2010 12:26:26 PM
	Alm & Ehrin
	CLERK OF THE COURT
SQ.	
DISTRICT CO	URT
ARN COUNT T	NEVADA
* * * * *	
LERIN	)
	<b>}</b>
	J States and the second sec
NA, DOB:	$\mathbf{\hat{b}}$
	) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) ) )
	) ) Case No.: A574891
	) Dept. No.: XVII
I LEVY, M. D.,	<b>)</b>
	<b>}</b>
	🖌 – a di katalar na seperatura k
	<b>)</b>
	' PFAL
	aintiffs as named in the above caption
	from the Order Granting Defendants
	e of Entry of Order filed on August 19
espectively grar	ting Defendants' Motion to Dismiss the
	SQ. DISTRICT CO ARK COUNTY, ***** LERIN ators of the E, Deceased; 98, an NA, DOB: NESS dividual NESS dividual NESS dividual NESS dividual

-1-

. *				
1				
2	above-captioned case, a copy of each which is attached hereto.			
3	DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.	- '		
4	KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES			
5				
6	/s/ Judith H. Braecklein			
7	GERALDINE KIRK-HUGHES, ESQ.			
8	Nevada Bar #3444 JUDITH H. BRAECKLEIN, ESQ.			
9	Nevada Bar No. 003322			
10	2551 S. Ft. Apache Road, #103 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117			
11	(702) 233-8683			
12	FAX NO.: (702) 233-8661 Attorney for Appellants/Plaintiffs			
13	CERTIFICATE OF MAILING			
14	I hereby certify that on the 20 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010, I did deposit in the			
15	United States Mail at Las Vegas, Nevada, true and correct copies of the above and			
16	foregoing NOTICE OF APPEAL in sealed envelopes, first-class postage prepaid,			
17	addressed as follows:			
18	THE HONORABLE MICHAEL VILLANI			
19	Eighth Judicial District Court			
20	Department XVII 200 Lewis Avenue			
21	Las Vegas, Nevada 89101			
22	JOHN J. SAVAGE, ESQ.			
23	JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2300 W. Sahara Avenue, Suite 420			
24	Las Vegas, Nevada 89102			
25	DATED this 20 <sup>th</sup> day of September, 2010.			
26				
27	Judy Braecklein			
28	An Employee of			
	KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES			
	<b>-2-</b>			

•

Electronically Filed 08/20/2010 11:27:06 AM

1 NOEJ John H, Cotton, Esq. CLERK OF THE COURT 2 Nevada Bar Number 5268 jheotton@jheottonlaw.com Э. John J. Savage, Esq. Nevada Bar Number 11455 4 jsavage@incottonlaw.com 5 JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 420 6 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: (702) 367-9993 7 Fax: (702) 367-9977 8 Attorneys for Defendants 9 DISTRICT COURT CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA 10 A.S S O.G I A T E S, 1. 7. D. LAS . YEGAS, REVADA 89102 EACSIMILE: 702.367.3977 WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA, and SELERIN 11 CASE NO.: A574891 NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the 12 Estate of KAWAIDA ESPEREACE, DEPT NO .: XVII Deceased, and the Individual HEIRS of the 13 Deceased, 14 Plaintiff; JORN R. COTTON & 2500 WEST SAAARA SUITE 529 TEEERONE: 702367,9993 15 NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER ¥\$. 16 A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; ADAM LEVY, M.D., individually; DOES 1 through X; and 17 ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through X, inclusive 18 Defendants. 19 20 TO: ALL PARTIES AND THEIR COUNSEL OF RECORD: 21 YOU AND EACH OF YOU WILL PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that an Order was 22 entered in the above entitled matter on the 20th day of August 2010, a copy of which is 23 attached hereto. Dated this \_\_\_\_\_ day of August 2010. 24 25 JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, L/TD. 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 420 26 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Attorneys for Defendants 27 28 By: John H. Cotton John J. Savage

T CERTIFICATE OF MAILING I hereby certify that on this \_\_\_\_\_\_ day of August 2010, I sent a true and correct. 2 copy of the foregoing NOTICE OF ENTRY OF ORDER RE: ORDER GRANTING 3 DEFENDANTS' 12(b)(5) MOTION TO DISMISS by U.S. Mail, postage prepaid, 4 5 addressed to the following: 6 Geraldine Kirk-Hughes, Esq. 7 Judith H. Braecklein, Esc. 8 KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES 2551 S. Fort Apachic Road, #103 9 Las Vegas, NV 89117 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 10 4 5 5 0 С ) А 7 8 S, L 7 D. LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 199102 FACSIMILE: 702-367,9977 11 12 An employee of John H. Cotton)& Associates 13 14 ) 0 H N H, C G T T O H & 2300 WEST SAHARN SUNT. 520 TELEPHONE: JOA 567-9993 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 :24 25 26 27 28

ļ

R. GOTTOK & ASSOCIATES. LTD. Est satiari Suite 428 Vecas, Nevada 89192 ONE: 702.367.9993 Facsimile: 702.367.9977	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ORDR John H. Cotton, Esq. Nevada Bar Number 5268 jhcotton@jhcottonlaw.com John J. Savage, Esq. Nevada Bar Number 11455 jsavage@jhcottonlaw.com JOHN H. COTTON & ASSOCIATES, LTD. 2300 W. Sahara Ave., Suite 420 Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 Phone: (702) 367-9993 Fax: (702) 367-9993 Fax: (702) 367-9977 Attorneys for Defendants DISTRICT OCLARK COUNT WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA and SELERIN NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the Estate of KAWAIDA ESPEREACE, Deceased, and the Individual HEIRS of the Deceased, Plaintiff, VS: A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; ADAM LEVY, M.D., individually; DOES 1 through X; and ROE CORPORATIONS 1 through X, inclusive	Y, NEVADA	
J Q H M 2390 WI TELEPHC	19	Defendants.		
- N F	20			. · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
•	21	ORDE	R	
	22	This matter came before the Court on .	July 21, 2010 before the Honoral	ole Judge
•	23	Michael Villari on Defendants' 12(b)(5) Motion	to Dismiss. Judith H. Braecklein	, Eșq., of
	24	the law firm of Kirk-Hughes & Associates; appc:	ared on behalf of Plaintiffs. John J	. Savage,
	25	Esq., of the law firm of John H. Coiton &	Associates, Ltd., appeared on l	behalf of
	26	Defendants.		
RECEIVED	27 BY N <sup>28</sup>	On or about November 3, 2007, Defenda		e e de la complete de 👔
AUG 1 7 201		Esperance which allegedly resulted in her deat	n. On November 3, 2008, Plainti	fis filed a

2

3:

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

45513

DEPT:P

Complaint For Wrongful Death Due To Professional Negligence (medical malpractice). The caption of said Complaint, besides setting forth the names of two co-administrators, identified other plaintiff(s) as "the individual heirs of the deceased." Nowhere in the Complaint are the "individual heirs" identified in any fashion.

Attached to said Complaint is a document titled "Affidavit of Cheryl Edwards, M.D." The document is not notarized and does not comply with the requirements of an Affidavit pursuant to NRS 41A.071. Defendants seek to dismiss the Complaint based upon the fact that an Affidavit was not attached to the Complaint. <u>See Washoe Medical Center</u> and NRS 41A.071. This Court agrees that the document attached to the Complaint does not qualify as an Affidavit as it is not sworn to before an officer authorized to administer oaths.

However, in <u>Buckwalter v. Dist. Court</u>, 126 Nev. Adv. Op. No. 21 (2010), the Supreme Court held that a declaration that complies with NRS 53.045 can fulfill NRS 41A,071's Affidavit requirements. NRS 53.045 provides in part "[a]ny matter whose existence or truth may be established with the same effect by an unsworn declaration of its existence or truth signed by the declarant under penalty of perjury, and dated, in substantially the following form: . . , I declare under penalty of perjury that the following is true and correct." The apparent declaration attached to the Complaint does not comply with the mandatory requirements of NRS 53.045 in that it is not dated.

Generally, courts interpret statutes based on their plain meaning, which is intended to reflect legislative intent. <u>Washington v. State</u>, 117 Nev. 735 (2001). Here, it is clear that the legislature intended that declarations used in lieu of affidavits include the date. Thus, Plaintiffs' proposed declaration fails to meet the statutory requirements of NRS 53.045.

Moreover, the fictitious name for the "individual heirs" does not relate back to the date the Complaint was filed. To effectively utilize NRCP 10(a) so an amendment relates back to the date of the original complaint, the movant must meet three elements. First, the

J D H M H. C D T J D K & AS.S O C I A F E S. L T B. 23bd West Samara Suite 420 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 39102 телерионе: 702.357.9993 FACSIMILE: 702.357.9977

- 25 26
- 27 28

2

3

4 5. 6 7 8 9 1:0 
 J D R M
 IL
 C D T T D K
 Å A S S O C I Å T E S.
 L T D.

 2380
 WEST SÅMARA
 SUITE 420
 LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89102

 TELEPHONE:
 792.367.9993
 FACSIMILE:
 T02.367.9977
 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 fictitious or Doe defendant must be pleaded in the complaint's caption; second, Plaintiffs must plead the basis for naming defendants by other than their true identity; and third, Plaintiffs utilizing NRCP 10(a) must exercise reasonable diligence in pursuing discovery and other means of ascertaining the true identities of the defendants, then promptly amend the complaint. Nurenberger Hercules-Werke GMBH v. Virostek, 107 Nev. 873 (1991).

The Court recognizes that Nurenberger Hercules-Werke GMBH involves a Plaintiff seeking amendment to substitute named Defendants; however, the Court finds the analysis to apply here. As such, in the instant case, Plaintiffs failed to meet these three elements, First, Plaintiffs failed to state a basis for using a fictitious name in the Complaint. Additionally, Plaintiffs' discovery answers indicate that they were aware of the true names of the fictitious heirs as early as September 2009, but yet did not amend the Complaint until The Court finds that 9 months is not prompt under the Nurenberger June 2010. Hercules-Werke GMBH analysis. Therefore, even if Plaintiffs' declaration was sufficient, the fictitious name for the "individual heirs" does not relate back to the date the complaint was filed.

Having entertained oral argument and being fully advised of and having reviewed the documents and files therein including Defendants' Motion, Plaintiffs' Opposition. Defendant's Reply, and the parties' Supplemental Briefs, the Court finds good cause for and therefore:

22

23

24

25

28

27

A S S 0 C I A T E S, L T D. LAS YEGAS, NEVADA 99102 FACSIMILE: 702-367-9977

J 0 H M H. C.O T 7 0 K & 2360 9 EST SAHARA SUITE 420

TELEPHONE: 702.567.9993

1 2 ORDERS, ADJUDGES and DECREES that Defendants' 12(b)(5) Motion to Dismiss 3 this entire action for Plaintiffs' failure to comply with NRS 41A.071, NRS 53.045, and NRS 4 41A.097 is hereby GRANTED. '5 6 DATED this 18 day of Avg 2010. 7 8 9 E District Court Judge Honorable Michael Villani 10 11 Submitted this <u><u><u>1</u></u> day of August 2010.</u> 12 Approved to Form and Content 13 Bv: By: Genaldine Kirk-Hughes, Esq. John H. Cotton, Esq. 14 Kudith H. Braccklein, Esq. Nevada Bar Number 5268 15 KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES John J. Savage, Esq. 2551 S. Fort Apache Road, #103 Nevada Bar Number 11455 16 Las Vegas, NV 89117 2300 West Sahara Avenue, Suite 420 Attorneys for Plainliffs Las Vegas, Nevada 89102 17 Attorneys for Defendants 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28



Electronically Filed 06/09/2010 04:37:51 PM

Alter & Chin

1	AFFT	CLER	K OF THE COURT
2	KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES		
2	GERALDINE KIRK-HUGHES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003444		
3	JUDITH H. BRAECKLEIN, ESQ.		
4	Nevada Bar No. 003322		
5	2551 S. Fort Apache Rd., Ste. 103 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117		
6	(702) 233-8683 FAX NO: (702) 233-8661		
	Attorneys for Plaintiff		
7			
8	DISTRICT	COURT	
9	CLARK COUNT	IY, NEVADA	
10			
	WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA and SELERIN ) NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the )		
11	Estate of KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, Deceased;)		
12	JAPHET NDAYIKEZA, DOB:3/7/98, an ) Individual; PATIENCE NDIKUMANA, DOB: )		
13	9/3/01, an individual; and HAPPINESS )		
14	NDIKUMANA, DOB:4/4/04, an individual ) the minor heirs of the Decedent, )		
	ļ j		
15	Plaintiffs, )		
16	vs. )	Case No.: A574891	
17	A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; ADAM LEVY, M. D., )	Dept. No.: XVII	
10	individually; DOES I through X; and ROE )		
18	CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, )		
19	Defendants.		
20			
21	AMENDED COMPLAINT F DUE TO PROFESSIO		
22	COME NOW the Plaintiffs as named abo	ove, by and through their a	attorney, Geraldine
23	Kirk-Hughes, Esq., of the Law Office of <b>KIRK</b>		
24	Claim against the Defendants as named above		
25	1. That at all times relevant to the		
26	MPAMIRA, Co-Administrator of the Estate of		
27			
28	still is a resident of the City of Las Vegas, Cou		
20	2. That at all times relevant to thes		LERIN
	1 A state of the second s	•	

•	1			
•				
•				
	1	AFFT KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES		
	2	GERALDINE KIRK-HUGHES, ESQ.		
	3	Nevada Bar No. 003444 JUDITH H. BRAECKLEIN, ESQ.		
	4	Nevada Bar No. 003322 2551 S. Fort Apache Rd., Ste. 103		
	5	Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702) 233-8683		
	6	FAX NO: (702) 233-8661		
		Attorneys for Plaintiff		
	7	DISTRI	CT COURT	
	8			
	9		NTY, NEVADA	
	10	WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA and SELERIN )		
	11	NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators of the ) Estate of KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, Deceased; )		
	12	JAPHET NDAYIKEZA, DOB:3/7/98, an ) Individual; PATIENCE NDIKUMANA, DOB: )		
	13	9/3/01, an individual; and HAPPINESS )		
	14	NDIKUMANA, DOB:4/4/04, an individual ) the minor heirs of the Decedent, )		
	15	) Plaintiffs, )		
	16	) vs. )	Case No.: A574891	
	17	) A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; ADAM LEVY, M. D., )	Dept. No.: XVII	
	18	individually; DOES I through X; and ROE )	. *	
		CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, )		
	19	Defendants. )		
	20	AMENDED COMPLAINT	FOR WRONGFUL DEATH	
	21		IONAL NEGLIGENCE	
	22	COME NOW the Plaintiffs as named a	bove, by and through their	attorney, Geraldine
	23	Kirk-Hughes, Esq., of the Law Office of <b>KIF</b>	RK-HUGHES & ASSOCIAT	ES, who make this
	24	Claim against the Defendants as named abov	e and who allege as follows	
	25	1. That at all times relevant to t		
	26	MPAMIRA, Co-Administrator of the Estate of		
	27			
	28	still is a resident of the City of Las Vegas, C		
		2. That at all times relevant to th	ese proceedings, Plaintiff SE	ELERIN
		H A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A		(1) Solutions of the second s second second sec

NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrator of the Estate of KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, Decedent, was and still is a resident of the City of Van Nuys, California.

1

2

3

3. That prior to her death, the Decedent, KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, was a resident of the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada.

4. That the above named heirs are the minor children of the Decedent, to wit:
JAPHET NDAYIKEZA, born March 7, 1998; PATIENCE NDIKUMANA, born September 3,
2001; and HAPPINESS NDIKUMANA, born April 4, 2004, whose interests will be represented
by WAMBUTSA MPAMIRA and SELERIN NTAHITAGABIRA, Co-Administrators herein. These
minor children are now living in San Diego, California, with relatives.

5. That at all times relevant to these proceedings, Plaintiffs are informed and
believe Defendant A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER is a medical facility and is authorized and duly
licensed to do business in the State of Nevada with its principle place of business in
Nevada located at 1670 E. Flamingo Road, Suite C, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89119, all
pursuant to NRS 449.

16 6. That at all times relevant to these proceedings, Plaintiffs are informed and
17 believe Defendant ADAM LEVY, M.D., is a physician authorized and duly licensed to practice
18 medicine in the State of Nevada with his principle place of business located at 2020
19 Goldring Avenue, Las Vegas, Nevada, 89106, all pursuant to NRS 630.

7. That at all times mentioned herein, the Defendants, each and every one of
 them, breached their duty to provide the best care possible for their patient, KAWAIDA
 ESPENRACE.

8. That at all times relevant to these proceedings, Defendant A-Z WOMEN'S
 CENTER was the medical facility in which the Decedent, KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, was a
 patient and in which she had the surgical procedure known as an abortion performed on
 her.

27
9. That on or about November 3, 2007, DR. ADAM LEVY performed the abortion
28
28
28 on Decedent at or about 1:00 p.m. in the A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER. (Exhibit 2, pg.4)

10. That on or about 1:32 p.m. DR. LEVY had completed the abortion on the Decedent and noted on the medical record that there was "Excessive Blood Loss". (Exhibit 2, pg.4) It was further noted under "Special Findings" that the Patient was bleeding heavy requiring Cytotec per rectum (Exhibit 2, pg. 4)

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

11 That on or about 2:40 p.m. it was noted that the Decedent, KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, bleeding had increased to heavy bleeding and that here had been continued bleeding during and after the surgical procedure. (Exhibit 2, pg.5)

9 12. That the Defendant, A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER breached its duty owed to the 10 Decedent by failing to properly train its employees on the proper procedures for caring for 11 the Decedent following an abortion; that the CENTER'S employees failed to immediately 12 provide the Decedent with the medical care necessary to stop her continued bleeding and 13 waited for over an hour (at or about 2:55 p.m.) before having KAWAIDA transferred to a 14 facility with the equipment and expertise to stop her bleeding. (Exhibit 2, pgs.5 & 6)

15 13. That Defendant LEVY, as the Decedent's attending physician, breached his
16 duty to Decedent by not supervising the Staff and Nurses who were performing the
17 post-operative care of the Decedent as her bleeding continued and went from small to
18 heavy. (Exhibit 2, pg.5)

19 14. That Defendant LEVY breached his duty to the Decedent by carelessly
20 performing the abortion on KAWAIDA in such a manner that the insertion of the suction
21 tube to the uterus took a wrong direction toward the left fallopian tube and ovary area
22 instead of going toward the top of the uterus as required. (Exhibit 1)

15. That in pregnancy, the blood vessels are enlarged with blood flow to the
uterus through the uterine and paracervical arteries and veins. Because of this
enlargement of the blood vessels, great care must be taken in the placement of the
suction tube. (Exhibit 1)

27 16. That Defendant LEVY breached his duty to the Decedent in carelessly
28 allowing the perforation of the uterus to veered to the left 1.3 inches above the cervix

1 which caused a two-inch laceration to the left adnexae and paracervical vein and artery. 2 (Exhibit 1)

3 17. That Dr. Cheryl Edwards performed an independent medical review of the 4 Coroner's Report and the medical records of KAWAIDA ESPENRACE in order to render an 5 opinion as to the Standard of Care given the Decedent as it pertained to the performance 6 of the abortion and post-operative care performed on the Decedent by DR. ADAM LEVY 7 and the Staff and Nurses at the A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER; that the opinions stated by Dr. 8 Edwards are based on her own knowledge and extensive experience in the field of 9 Obstetrics and Gynecology, on her performance of several abortions and on her review of 10 the Decedent's records. (Exhibit 1)

11 18. That from the records, Dr. Edwards believes the standard of care was 12 breeched in the performance of the abortion on KAWAIDA ESPENRACE and in the post-13 operative care of the Decedent. (Exhibit 1)

14

16

17

19. That it is the opinion of Dr. Edwards that the hemoperitoneum which 15 occurred due to the perforation of the uterus should rarely happen during the performance of an abortion. (Exhibit 1)

20, That it is Dr. Edwards' opinion that beyond a reasonable degree of medical 18 certainty KAWAIDA ESPENRACE'S death was caused by the blood loss from the laceration 19 to the uterus and associated blood vessels and that the performance of the abortion and 20 the post-operative care of the Decedent were below the standard of care normally 21 associated with this medical procedure in any State in the United States. (Exhibit 1) 22

21 That Defendants' Staff and Nurses are either employers, independent 23 contractor or agents of the Defendant A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER. 24

22. That Defendants DOES I through X and ROE CORPORATIONS I through X 25 are fictitious names; that Plaintiffs are ignorant of the true names of the Individual, 26 Corporation, Co-partnership and/or Association so designated by said fictitious names; 27 and when the true names are discovered, the Plaintiffs will ask leave to amend this 28

Complaint and proceedings herein to substitute the true names of such Defendants.
 Plaintiffs believe that each of the Defendants designated herein as DOE or ROE
 CORPORATION are negligent or responsible in some manner for the events herein
 referred to and negligently, carelessly, recklessly and in a manner that was grossly
 negligent and willful and wanton, caused damages thereby to the Plaintiffs and to the
 Decedent as herein alleged.

7 23. That Plaintiffs are informed and believe and thereupon allege that at all
 8 times mentioned herein all of the above doctors, employees, servants or agents of the
 9 Defendant A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER were acting within the scope of their employment,
 10 servant or agency relationship with the Defendants, each to the other acting as agent of
 11 the other Defendants.

12 24. That Defendants and their Staff and Nurses and DOES I-X and/or ROE
13 CORPORATIONS I through X undertook the medical care of the Decedent on or about
14 November 3, 2007, until her transfer to the University Medical Center on that same
15 date. (Exhibit 2, pg.5)

That a medical facility/doctor/patient relationship existed between the
Defendants and the Decedent as of November 3, 2007, and continued up to her death
on the night of November 3, 2007.

26. That on or about November 3, 2007, the Decedent, KAWAIDA 21 ESPENRACE, was admitted to the A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER for the purpose of having an 22 abortion, which is a legal surgical procedure in the State of Nevada. That prior to the 23 abortion the Defendants could find nothing physically wrong with KAWAIDA, which 24 included no history of bleeding disorders or tendencies. KAWAIDA had not complained 25 of any pain in her abdomen or on her entire body. (Exhibit 2, pg.1 & 4)

26 27. That as a result of the negligence of the Defendants, and each of them, 27 and of the Staff, Doctor and Nurses, the Decedent was subjected to the performance of

5

28

an abortion and post-operative care that fell below the standard of care for this
 procedure in the State of Nevada and in any State in the United States.

28. That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendants, the
Decedent suffered hemoperitoneum which occurred due to the perforation of the uterus.

5 29. That as a direct and proximate result of the Wrongful Death of KAWAIDA,
6 her HEIRS suffered serious emotional distress and pain as well as economic setbacks.

7 30. That due to KAWAIDA'S Wrongful Death because of the gross and wanton
8 negligence of the Defendants, KAWAIDA'S Estate suffered irreparable economic harm.

9 31. That the Plaintiffs have been required to engage the services of a funeral
 10 home in Nevada and have incurred the expenses associated with the funeral of the
 11 Decedent; that the present amount of the bills for these services is not known at this time
 12 but is in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00).

13 32. That this Court has jurisdiction in this matter since the death of the
14 Decedent occurred on November 3, 2007, and one (1) year from that date has not expired.

15
33. That the Plaintiffs had to retained the services of an attorney in order to
16
prosecute this matter and are entitled to reasonable attorney's fees and costs.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs as named above, pray for judgment against the
Defendants named above as follows:

1. For a sum in excess of Ten Thousand Dollars (\$10,000.00) against each Defendant by way of general damages.

For funeral and related expenses incurred by Plaintiffs per proof.
 For reasonable attorney's fees and costs of suit together with interest as provided by Statutes.

- 24
- 25 ///
- 26
- 27

28 ////

4. For such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper in the premises. DATED this  $\frac{12}{12}$  day of February, 2010. **KIRK-HUGHES AND ASSOCIATES** udith A Branch GERALDINE KIRK-HUGHES, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 003444 JUDITH H. BRAECKLEIN, ESQ. Nevada State Bar #3322 2551 S. Ft. Apache Road, #103 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 (702) 233-8683 FAX NO.: (702) 233-8661 Attorneys for Plaintiffs 



LOV TION (* TOO	
Fax sent by	7822338661 ) RIRK HUGHES & ASSOC. 11-63-68 13:24 Pg: 779
. ·	
1	AFFT
2	KIRK HUGHES & ASSOCIATES GERALDINE KIRK-HUGHES, ESO
	Nevada Bar No. 00344
3	JUDITH H. BRAECKLEIN, ESQ, Nevada Bar No. 003322
• 4	2551 S. Fort Apaché Rd., Ste. 103 Las Vegas, Nevada 89117
5.	(702) 233-8683
6	FAX NO: (702) 233-8651 Altomeys for Plaintiff
7	
8	DISTRICT COURT
9	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA
·	
10	WAMBUISA MPAMIRA and SELERIN )
· ·	NTAMITAGABIRA, Co-Administrations of the ) Estate of KAWAIDA ESPENRACE, Deceased; )
12	and the individual HEIRS of the Deceased.
13	Plaintiffs,
14	Vs.
15	A-Z WOMEN'S CENTER: ADAMILEY, M. D. )
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	individually; DOES I through X; and ROE ) CORPORATIONS I through X, inclusive, )
17	Defendants:
18	
19	STATE OF WYOMING
20	COUNTY OF CAMPBELL
21	(1) 小型 (1) (1) 小型 (1) 小型 (1) 小型 (1) (1) 小型 (1) (1) (1) 小型 (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1) (1)
	CHERYL EDWARDS. M.D., being first duly sworn, deposes and states.
23	1. That your Affiant is a doctor licensed to practice in the state of whether state
24	has been retained by Counsel for the above class planning to retreat the planning to
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	of the Decedent; KowkarDA ESPENRACE.
26	2. That your Affiant is a licensed physician and suppon in the State of Typinger
27.4	
28	

٢,

. . .

is Ip . . :**5**:

 .

1

ţ,

÷

7

....
Fax sent by : ?022338661

13:31 11-03-08 13:31

**1** 

rg: s/s

and I have been in the active, full-time practice of Obsteerics and Gyneoplogy for 4 2 seventeen (17) years; that I have extensive experience in the field of Obsternes and 3 Gynecology and have personally performed over three (3) abortions 4. That your Affait has performed an independent mencar revenue of 5 Coroner's Report and the medical records pertaining to the Decedent Row De 6 ESPENRACE In order to render an opinion as to the standard of care given the because 7 as it pertained to the performance of the abortion performed on the percentage or br 8 Adam Levy; that the opmons stated are based on my own lenous and stated 9 experience in the field of Obstenics and Gynecology, on my performance of saves 10 abortions and on the review of the records. As to any matters therein states upon 11 information and belief, I believe them to be true." 12 4. That from the records, your Ament believes the Standards 13 breached in the performance of the abortion on RAWAIDA ESPENDACE T 14 suction tube into the uteres took a wrong direction toward the ter falloplan tobe and 15 ovary area instead of going towards the top of the uterus as required 16 5. That in pregnancy; the blood vessels are enlarged with bloot new to the 17 uterus through the uterine and peracervicel aneries and these 18 enlargement of the blood vessels; great care must be taken in the placement of 19 suction tube. 20 6. That the perforation of the uterus veered to the jeft 1.3 million and 21 cervix and caused a two inclusionation to the left adnexice and proceeding 22 artery, 23 7. That the post operative care of the Decedent was substandard 24 That it is the opinion of your Affiant that the hemoperature the 8, 25 due to the perforation of the incruis should farely happen county uncereformer 26 abortion: 27

28. 9. That it is your alliant's opinion that beyond a reasonable degree of or the

7

8

9

10

.11

12

13 14

15

16

17

·18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27 28

TT-00-00 TT-00 11-03-08 14:48 Pa:

certainty that KAWAIDA ESPENRACE'S death was caused by the blood loss nom the 1 laceration to the uterus and associated blood vessels ... 2

3 That it is the further opinion of your Afflant that the performance of the 10. abortion and the post-operative care of the Decedent were below the standard of care 4 5 normally associated with this medical procedure in any State in the different States. I 6 certify under penalty of perjury pursuant to NRS 15.010 that the foregoing is true and correct,

11. Further your Affiant sayeth neught.

CHERYL Y. EDWARDS, M.D.





#### **General Informat** ion

19				
Name: Last ESPENI		First KAWAIDA		
	SANOVA	Apt:	_City_Las veca	State NV Zip 8815
	2429NE (01	other # where you can		- 8060 (N: Cales )
Occupation: $N/A$		Telephone: (Work)	N/A	· ~
May we call you at hom	if necessary?		we call you at wor	
Age: 27D	ate of Birth:	980 Social Secur	ity #: NOT PROT	NDED YEF
Marital Status:		arried Divorced		owed) # # 0017-086-04
Education: Grades (0-12)	5th GRADE	Years of College	0	
Emergency contact: NI			- SCGO Relations	hip: Councelore
How did you hear about	us? (circle) friend			
Have you ever been a pa				
······				
				•
•		<u>Medical Da</u>	ta	
What was the FIRST day	of your last perio	a: 08/25/07-	Was if a NORMA	L period? (YES ) NO
Are you allergic to anyth				
Are you taking any medi			• •	
Do you use any recreatio				.? TES NO)
If yes, which drug(s)?		When did you	••	
Are you or have you been				-
				eck the appropriate box.
5 Anemia	ever man enty of H			Disease
				ne Headaches
	•	<ul> <li>Gonorrhea</li> <li>Heart Disease</li> </ul>		le Headaches /alve Prolapse
		Heart Mürmur		nflammatory Disease tric Illness
<ul> <li>Blood Clots in your</li> <li>Blood Transfusions</li> </ul>		Hepatitis A, B, C		on to Latex or Anesthetics
	•	B High Blood Pressu		
	mois	- HIV/AIDS		s or Epilepsy
o Chlamydia		D HPV	o Syphilis	
Do you have any medical	man his and high	Jahanno WWW NO	TE	•
No you have any method	propretit nor fiste	d above? YES NO	If yes, what?	
Pregnancy history; <u>PLEX</u>		······································	THURATIN	
· · · · · ·				11 Lt. D
How many times have you h			How many	live births, if any? <u>3</u>
Any pregnancy complicatio				TA SPORTONIC
Ectopic pregnancy				EAN SECTIONS
High blood pressure	Diabetes	Seizures	Excessive	Bleeding
Other (Describe)				
		A strange		
lave you ever had an abort	ion (circle)?	YES (NO) If, yes,	where?	
•	•			
amily Medical History:			•	
lave any of your BLOOD re	latives had any of	the following problem:	s (circle)?	
Diabetes	High blood pre			
Bleeding problems	Breast cancer	Cancer of Fem		
wardening highlening	namet fatifat	Cathor of Left	are ordang	
				itual to the best of My ot be released to anyone

Date:\_\_\_\_/

07

03

ELSE WITHOUT MY WRITTEN PERMISSION EXCEPT BY COURT ORDER. Sunt .

Signature:







#### Interned Consent for Abortion Procedure

Complications of an abortion procedure can include: laceration of cervix, perioration of the uterus, injury to internal organs, hemorrhage, and injection of the uterus, tubes, or other internal organs. Furthermore, a complication might require hospitalization and possibly surgery. In some cases, hysterectomy (removal of the uterus or tubes) may be necessary. It is impossible to guarantee that complications won't happen.

There is a possibility that not all the tissue will be removed from the uterus and the procedure may need to be repeated. It is possible that the procedure may miss the pregnancy and that I may remain pregnant. This procedure will be repeated at no charge.

I desire to end my pregnancy. I request and consent to the performance upon me of a pregnancy termination procedure by a licensed physician on the staff of A – Z Women's Center or a designated physician. I fully understand that the purpose of the procedure is to terminate this pregnancy, and I affirm this to be my personal choice in light of the alternatives of continuing the pregnancy to term or adoption.

I am willing to accept the risk that complications might follow the pregnancy termination procedure. I accept financial responsibility if hospitalization or other supplemental care is deemed necessary.

I consent to the administration of paracervical block anesthetic (numbing shots around the cervix) using a local anesthetic, and/or to the administration of nitrous oxide gas and/or an intravenous sedative. I understand that if I choose to be sedated that I should NOT make any decisions requiring judgment, drive a car or use heavy machinery on the day of the procedure. I also consent to the administration of other appropriate oral, intravenous, or intramuscular medications, including Rh immune globulin (Rhogam) that might be needed before, during, or after the procedure. I understand that severe reactions including shock may result from the use of such medications. I further consent to the taking of cultures and to the performance of reasonably indicated tests and procedures in addition to pregnancy termination, whether or not relating to presently known conditions, if my medical attendants find these necessary or advisable in the course of evaluation or treatment of complications or otherwise.

I have fully and completely disclosed my medical history, including allergies, blood conditions, prior medications or drugs taken, and reactions I have had to anesthetics, medicines, and drugs. I understand that tissue and fetal parts will be removed during the procedure, and I consent to their disposal or use by the clinic and/or physician in the manner they deem appropriate.

I agree to follow the instructions given to me. I understand that it is my responsibility to bring to the attention of any symptoms, such as fever, heavy bleeding, passage of tissue, severe pain, or any other unusual symptoms. We are not responsible for any complications not reported to us. I understand that any questions I have will be answered by my physician and/or counselor, and I will ask such questions before leaving the facility. If I have questions or complications after leaving, I agree to call the physician at A - Z Women's Center immediately.

I understand I may have a free checkup in 3-6 weeks to make sure that the operation was complete and without complications.

I agree to make no claims against the physician or clinic for complications which may occur, except in the event of gross negligence on their part. If I should make any unwarranted claims, I agree to be responsible for the payment of all costs and attorney's fees incurred by the physician and/or clinic, and to post bond in advance for such sums.

I further understand that the medical practice of my physician is to be judged according to those standards reasonably acceptable to other similarly experienced physicians practicing in similar facilities in the United States.

In addition to the above mentioned complications of abortion, one of the seldom mentioned side affects of abortion is that of psychological or emotional aftermath. In some cases, patients with support from family or loved ones weather this quite well. In other cases professional help is required. We emphasize that this is an infrequent situation and that most patients do not have long term psychological complications from an abortion. Nevertheless, with your understanding of this potential complication of abortion we do not take any responsibility for either short term or long term psychological effects resulting from your decision for this abortion. By signing this consent form, I indicate that I understand that I may have short term and long term psychological effects from the procedure and in spite of this I am consenting to the termination of this pregnancy (abortion, ending of pregnancy).

I CERTIFY THAT I HAVE READ AND FULLY UNDERSTAND THE ABOVE INFORMED CONSENT, AND I AGREE IN LIGHT OF THAT CONSENT TO THE PREGNANCY TERMINATION PROCEDURE I HAVE REQUESTED.

DATE: 1/03/07	SIGNATURE OF PATIENT:	Sol.	Traf-slatur.	
DATE: 11-3.07	SIGNATURE OF OFFICE STAFF:		Clikla	

### **Consent for Contraception**

I hereby authorize a staff physician of A - Z Women's Center to provide the following contraceptive services:

Birth Control Pills Depo-I (FREE 1 MONTH SAMPLE) (\$70.00

DATE: 11/03/07

DATEN 1 31

Depo-Provera Injection Or (\$70.00 EVERY 3 MONTHS) (FRE

SIGNATURE OF PATIENT:

SIGNATURE OF OFFICE STAFF.

(FREE I MONTH SAMPLE)

Nuva Ring (FREE 1 MONTH SAMPLE)

I am aware that the most serious side effect of hormonal contraceptives (Birth Control Pills or Depo-Provera) is abnormal blood	
clotting which can be fatal. Other side effects may include: rapid growth of cancers of reproductive organs and breast,	
Hypertension (high blood pressure) that may cause stroke or heart attack, and can make diabetes and migraine headaches wors	je.
am aware that none of these contraceptive methods guarantees 100% protection against pregnancy. I do hereby release $A-Z$	
Women's Center from all claims which I may have by reason of their providing me this service, and I understand that it is my	
responsibility to bring to the attention of A - Z Women's Center any unusual symptoms and to have check-ups as recommended.	

		~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
. •	)	
	Patient: Kawaida O Preliminary I	
•		Allergies:
	httoou Pressure: Puise:	
	Weeks by LMP: U W/C WEB: A	RH: NY Tech:
	Weeks by U/S: Y L/K-)	
	C-SECTIONS:	Escort Driving Self Taxi Bus Walking
	CYTOTEC PROTOCOL:	Will-this be her first pelvic exam? YES (NQ)
	<u>400 mcg/200 mcg 30 min each c</u>	
	200 mcg 20/20/20 min each dos	
	Pelvic Exam a	nd Procedure
	, ( Pelvic Exam	
	Uterine Size: Weeks Gestation	CESAREAN SECTIONS =
	Position: (AF) AV MID RF RV	
	Cervicitis: (no) yes	Scarled iterus YES
	Vaginitis: The yes	
	Evidence PID: DO yes	CHEST: Clear Wheezes
		HEART: REP- Murmurs NO YES
	Pelvic Masses: no yes Special Findings: no yes	
	Laminaria	Caltioned regarding increased risk with Scarled uterus YES CHEST: Clear Wheezes HEART: REF. Murmurs NO YES
	Date: PCB 1% Lidocaine cc	Comments
	Dilatation: Pratt Lams:	Un excloses flogs
	Cythted: um	Durat Manual Dura
	Doxycycline: 100 mg bid #	of nor portuging
	Percocet q 4-6h prn #	ie atomy for
	I. / Procedure	
	Date: 11/3/04-	Pain Level $(0 1+) 2+ 3+ 4+ -$
	Start Time: 1.03 End Time: 1.12	
	PCB 25 cc, 1% Lidocaine + Vasopressin & NaHCO3	Level of Consciousness
	Canula: Curette #: Forceps: C.F.	Coherent Confused Deep Sedation
	Cervical Dilation Difficuli? (10) yes	Responds: Verbally Physically Ne:
	Procedure Complete? New no	
	Excessive Blood Loss? no ves	TIME 1.15 1:03 11:12 1-24 2:52
	Any Complications? The yes	NUBAIN
	Pathology Exam	DIAZEPAM SAL
	Tissue Volume: Scant Adq Ample Large	MIDAZOLAM V Bring
	Placental Villi?	ATROPINE
·	Gestational Sac?	N2O/O2
	Fetal Parts?	PITOCIN
	Fetus "Complete"?	METHERGINE C.Lorey C.Lore
	Est. Gestational Age: weeks	CYTOTEC
	Special Findings:	NARCAN
	CHO. a. alt later RO A	ROMAZICON
	wery my original	COMPAZINE
	Contra Alexa Point & Marson	IBUPROFEN SUY
	allo! And a Anoral Agent	FENTANYL
	204 and Cross An	Pulse 0x % ( 49/. 49/.
	Trade -	MA's Initials TOMA Am Wind Obme
	Merssenger MD	YUL
_		
-	Rh Status: Pos NEG RH Immune Globulin Mini	Full Lot #:
	Rh Status: Pos NEG RH Immune Globulin Mini Given by: Site:	Exp:
	Given by:	Exp:

•



))

					•	
17		Recor	rery Ro	DIEL		
Name: SOU	vaida Espenn	CPDater J	1-1-0-1 Adm	nit Time: 1.3	2	
Time	1.40 2:0	0 $2$	2012.4			
DD/Dulas		the second s		and the second	7	
		178/123/7				
Bleeding	Sm Mod Hvy Sm Moc	Hvy hsm) Ma	d Hvy   SarMoo	THVY Sm Mod Hvy		
	NMMSUMI		MSNMI	MS NMMS	NMMS	
Comments (Na	iusea, vomiting, dizzines	s, fainting?)			·	•
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						•
			a & Dischar			
Rh immune g	iobulin for all Rh nega	ative patients	unless refused	i. Discharge when vi	tal signs stable,	
patient alert,	and no excessive ble	eding.				
Notify physic	ian <i>if:</i> l) Diastol	ic >20mm be	low pre-op or	puise > 110		
			Diastolic > 90			
		ve bleeding				
	0/ 020035.	ve preemig	or pam			
htt					• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
	Dispensed/Prescrib	11		. hdi		
•	cycline 100 mg BID		く	by richi		
	ergine 0.2 mg q 6h			by netty	5-	
Cytot	ec 200 mcg q 2	2-4h #		by	<u>i</u>	
Flagy	1 . 500 mg BID			by	trans to hose	5.20
Ibupr	ofen 800 mg	(# 10		by hair		
Vicod	lin 5 mg q 6h	#		by		r
Perco		- #	_	by	L long	mi-f
	e e		-		10-	
ost abortion	instruction sheet:	TES/ NO (	Contraceptive	instructions: (FES	NO i	·ww
	? (YES NO Type	NON		Rx given? (YES	NO X2RF	
Other Medic	ation Given in Recor	Tary/Sant H	1770			
				· · · ·		
Discharge:	Time:	B/P:	Pulse:	PADSS:		
	and been instructed				office if I have any	
Have receive	nderstand there is 24	1 10 ieza ine Leonaldo ine	Post-op menuc	and and that follows we	core is available	
+ 7.7 %	i's Center at no cost.	nour phone :	service. 1 ullae	restatio mai tonow-up	Care is available	
CAL-21 IL CALLOT	a venuer al no cost.		tà tot anà cruch	Gency or romon-up o	are home buy	
	n homeital an athan a					
	un, hospital or other so	~ ~		•		
	Sign	ature: 🔼		1	1 1 1	
	Sign	~ ~		tin		
ther physicia	Sign Disc	ature: <u>/</u> harged by:_		ting		
ther physicia efusal of Re	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature: // harged by:_ I request				
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas	Sign Disc	ature: // harged by:_ I request				
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature: // harged by: I request Women's C	<b>Senter</b> from any			
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature: // harged by:_ I request Women's C Signature	<b>Senter</b> from any			
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature: // harged by:_ I request Women's C Signature	<b>Senter</b> from any			
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature: // harged by: I request Women's C	<b>Senter</b> from any			
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature:	<b>Senter</b> from any		bility arising from	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care:	ature: // harged by:_ I request Women's C Signature	<b>Senter</b> from any			
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-2	ature:	Senter from an	y responsibility or lia	bility arising from	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ly decision.	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-2	ature:	<b>Senter</b> from any	y responsibility or lia	bility arising from	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-2	ature:	Senter from an	y responsibility or lia	bility arising from	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-2 <b>Follo</b>	ature:	Senter from any	y responsibility or lia	bility arising from	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-2 <b>Follo</b> ince procedure:	ature:	Senter from any Senter from any Senter from any Examin B/Pi_	responsibility or lie	bility arising from	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc e Dr. Ramos and A-Z EDR. Ramos and A-Z ED	ature:	Senter from any :: Examin B/P:_ yes HCG:	responsibility or lia	MD TECH:	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc ecovery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-Z <u>Follo</u> ince procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain	ature:	Senter from any :: Examin Examin B/P:_ yes HCG: yes	responsibility or lie <b>Aation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine	MD TECH: YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc ecovery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-Z Follo Ince procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills	ature:	Senter from any :: Examin B/P:_ yes HCG:	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	
efusal of Re ereby releas by decision. ate: ate:	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and 4-2 Follo ince procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints	ature:	Senter from an Examin B/P:_ yes yes yes yes yes yes	responsibility or lie <b>Aation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine	MD TECH: YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas by decision. ate: ate: omplaints si	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and 4-2 Follo ince procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints	ature:	Senter from any : Examin Examin B/P: yes HCG: yes yes	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas by decision. ate: ate: omplaints si	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and A-2 Ence procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints Vulva	ature:	Senter from an Examin B/P:_ yes yes yes yes yes yes	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas by decision. ate: ate: omplaints si	Sign Disc covery Room Care: e Dr. Ramos and 4-2 Ence procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints Vulva Vagina	ature:	Senter from any Example: B/P:_ yes yes yes yes Abnl Abnl	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas by decision. ate: ate: omplaints si	Sign Disc covery Room Cares e Dr. Ramos and 4-2 EDILC Ence procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints Vulva Vagina Cervix	ature:	Examin B/P:_ yes yes yes yes yes Abnl Abnl Open	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas by decision. ate: ate: omplaints si	Sign Disc covery Room Cares e Dr. Ramos and 4-2 Ence procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints Vulva Vagina Cervix Uterus	ature:	Senter from any Examination B/P: yes yes yes yes yes Abnl Abnl Open Abnl	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	
ther physicia efusal of Re ereby releas ny decision. ate:	Sign Disc covery Room Cares e Dr. Ramos and 4-2 EDILC Ence procedure: Excessive Bleeding Excessive Bleeding Excessive Pain Fever or chills Other complaints Vulva Vagina Cervix	ature:	Examin B/P:_ yes yes yes yes yes Abnl Abnl Open	responsibility or lie <b>ation</b> TEMP: 1) Negative Urine 2) Light + Urine	MD TECH: YES NO YES NO	

Other:\_\_\_

Clama

**\*\*** 

• • • • • Progress Notes Today's Date: 11/3/07 Patient's Name: Kawaida Osperance 3 07 01:40 I cemp co. - <u>-</u> آ (40,0 41 to fi now bondal 17 2:55 per Dr. Rusy BD may Cistotec anyen N 500-600a 10 .

A-Z Women's Center 1670 East Flamingo Road, Suite C. Las Vegas, Nevada 89119 Tel: (702) 892-0660 Fax: (702) 650-0549

#### Consent for Second Trimester Abortion, and Release of Liability for Non-compliance with Removal of Laminaria

Date: 11/03/07

Name of Patient: KAWAIDA ESPENRACE

I understand that I am more than 12 weeks pregnant and that a 2 day procedure <u>MEY</u> be needed in order to gently dilate (open up) my cervix (mouth of the uterus) to perform the second trimester abortion. This situation usually perfains to women that have NEVER had a vaginal delivery. A-Z Women's Center can usually perform these procedures in one day for patients that are between 5 weeks and 18.6 weeks pregnant. Please be aware that we do not offer any guarantees on whether the procedure will be completed in one day or in two days. 99% of our second trimester procedures are completed in one office visit.

I understand that leminaria (cervical dilators) **MAY** be inserted into my cervix, and I realize that it is imperative that I return to have the laminaria removed and to have the second trimester abortion completed. More than one laminaria insertion may be needed. On the final day, my uterus will be emptied with a combination of instruments and vacuum aspiration. I understand that the risks of a second trimester abortion are greater than for a first trimester abortion, but are about as dangerous as continuing the pregnancy to term. The risks include (but are not limited to) the same risks explained in the general abortion consent, e.g. bleeding, infection, perforation of the uterus, and incomplete removal of the pregnancy.

I have freely chosen to proceed with the second trimester abortion, the entire procedure has been explained to me and I have had all of my questions answered. I further understand that the doctor (Dr. Ramos or Dr. Levy) is available to answer any additional questions that I may have.

Should I fail to complete the second step of the second trimester abortion procedure as scheduled, I hereby release the doctor and A-Z Women's Center of all responsibility for expenses or injury that I may incur. I have been informed that the expenses may exceed \$10,000 for hospitalization and surgical care, and that the medical consequences of not completing the second trimester abortion include the fact that I may die as a result. Furthermore, I release the A-Z Women's Center doctor from the responsibility as my physician, and I understand that it will be necessary for me to secure the services of another physician by going to any Emergency Department.

Signature:		•	Date: 11/0	307
Witness:		1	Date: 11/0	3/07
	Translator)	Uli	the	
$\sim$				





KIRK HUGHES & ASSOC



## KIRK-HUGHES & ASSOCIATES A NEVADA LAW FIRM

Geraldine Kirk-Hughes, Esg State Bar No. 3444

# CUSTODIAN OF RECORDS DECLARATION

State Bar No

### ZANHER KOLA TOEing daly sworth, a Now comes

- 1. That the deponent is the Custodian of Records of A-Z Women Flamingo, Las Vegas, Nevada 89119, and in such capacity, is the cust of the office.
- 2. That on the <u>30</u> day of <u>October</u>. , 2008, the depone request in connection with the above entitled master, calling medical and billing records pertaining to Kawaida Esperance.
- 3. That the deponent has examined the originals of those Medical and Billing has made a true and exact copy of them and that the reproduction of them is true and complete.
- That the originals of those records were made at or near conditions, or opinions, recited therein by or from information trans with knowledge in the course of a regularly conducted activity of the deponent office in which the deponent is engaged.

Signed under the pains and penalties of perpiry this

ennifer c. Coorde

South Fort Apache Road, Suite #103 . Las Vegas, Nevada 89117 . (702) 253-3663 . Tax . . . . . .