CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Commonwealth and Respondent stipulate as follows in settlement of the above-captioned case.

1. This matter is before the State Board of Medicine (Board) pursuant to the Medical Practice Act, Act of December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, No. 112, as amended (Act), 63 P.S. §422.1 et seq.

2. At all relevant and material times, Ashutosh Virmani (Respondent) held a license to practice medicine and surgery in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, License Number MD-041128-E.

3. Respondent admits that the following facts are true:

   a. Respondent's license is current through December 31, 2008, but may be renewed thereafter upon the filing of the appropriate documentation and payment of the necessary fees.
b. Respondent’s last known address on file with the Board is 4626 Charleston Manor Drive, Charlotte, NC 28211.

c. On or about December 16, 2005, the North Carolina Medical Board approved a Consent Order In Re: Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., Respondent, which reprimanded the Respondent.

d. A true and correct copy of the Consent Order referenced in paragraph 3c is attached and incorporated as Exhibit 1.

e. On or about March 12, 2007, the New Jersey Board of Medical Examiners approved a Final Order of Discipline In the Matter of the License of Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., License No. MA48523 to Practice Medicine and Surgery in the State of New Jersey.

f. A true and correct copy of the Final Order of Discipline referenced in paragraph 3e is attached and incorporated as Exhibit 2.

4. The actions of Respondent, described above, violated the Act at 63 P.S. §422.41(4) in that disciplinary action has been taken against the Respondent by a proper licensing authority of another state.

5. Intending to be legally bound, the participants consent to issuance of the following Order in settlement of this matter:

   a. A PUBLIC REPRIMAND is placed on Respondent’s record with the Board.

6. Respondent acknowledges receipt of an Order to Show Cause in this matter. Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives the right to an administrative hearing in this matter, and to the following rights related to that hearing: to be represented by counsel at the
hearing; to present witnesses and testimony in defense or in mitigation of any sanction that may
be imposed for a violation; to cross-examine witnesses and to challenge evidence presented by
the Commonwealth; to present legal arguments by means of a brief; and to take an appeal from
any final adverse decision.

7. This Consent Agreement is between the Commonwealth and Respondent only.
Except as otherwise noted, this Agreement is to have no legal effect unless and until the Office of
General Counsel approves the contents as to form and legality and the Board issues the stipulated
Order.

8. Should the Board not approve this Consent Agreement, presentation to and
consideration of this Consent Agreement and other documents and matters by the Board shall not
prejudice the Board or any of its members from further participation in the adjudication of this
matter. This paragraph is binding on the participants even if the Board does not approve this
Consent Agreement.

9. Respondent agrees, as a condition of entering into this Consent Agreement, not to
seek modification at a later date of the stipulated Order adopting and implementing this Consent
Agreement without first obtaining the express written concurrence of the Prosecution Division of
the Department of State, Office of Chief Counsel.

10. This Agreement contains the whole agreement between the participants. There are no
other terms, obligations, covenants, representations, statements or conditions, or otherwise, of
any kind whatsoever, concerning this Agreement.

11. Respondent verifies that the facts and statements set forth in this Agreement are true
and correct to the best of Respondent's knowledge, information and belief. Respondent
understands that statements in this Agreement are made subject to the criminal penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Keith E. Bashore
Keith E. Bashore, Esq.
Prosecuting Attorney
Department of State

DATED: 7/16/07

Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.
Respondent

DATED: 6-27-2007

William H. Klain, Esq.
Attorney for Respondent

DATED: 7-05-2007
BEFORE THE
NORTH CAROLINA MEDICAL BOARD

In re: Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.,

CONSENT ORDER

Respondent.

This matter is before the North Carolina Medical Board (hereafter Board) on information that Ashutosh Virmani, M.D. (hereafter Dr. Virmani) pre-signed prescriptions for medications. Dr. Virmani admits, and the Board finds and concludes, that:

Whereas the Board is a body duly organized under the laws of North Carolina and is the proper party to bring this proceeding under the authority granted it in Article 1 of Chapter 90 of the North Carolina General Statutes and the rules and regulations promulgated thereunder, and

Whereas Dr. Virmani is a Board Certified Obstetrician and Gynecologist licensed by the Board on or about December 2, 1989, license number 38567, and

Whereas, at the times relevant herein, Dr. Virmani practiced medicine in Charlotte, North Carolina,

Whereas, Dr. Virmani practiced as an independent contractor with a clinic, which was not owned, operated or managed by him.
Whereas, the employees who work with Dr. Virmani are employed by the clinic, and clinic protocols are established by the owners of the clinic, and

Whereas, between approximately March 2004 and August 2004, at the beginning of each day Dr. Virmani pre-signed prescriptions, which were prepared by the clinic’s staff to be dispensed during that day to patients, per clinic protocol, and

Whereas, these prescriptions were filled out with the names of medications and dosage amounts but not the patients’ names,

Whereas, as each patient was ready to leave the facility, the clinic staff would place the patient name on the prescriptions, pursuant to standing orders, and give them to the patient, and

Whereas, Dr. Virmani did not write the prescriptions for particular patients and relied upon the clinic’s staff to appropriately complete the prescriptions for medications that he had previously authorized for existing patients, and

Whereas, without Dr. Virmani’s knowledge, a member of the clinic’s office staff obtained several pre-signed prescriptions and used the prescriptions to obtain medication for non-patients, and

Whereas pre-signing prescriptions, as described above, constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-14(a)(6), and grounds exist under that section of the
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North Carolina General Statutes for the Board to suspend or revoke the license issued to Dr. Virmani by the Board or to deny any application he might make in the future, and

Whereas, upon learning that a member or members of the clinic's office staff were using the pre-signed prescriptions to order or dispense medications to non-patients, Dr. Virmani communicated this information to the clinic's owners and additional steps were taken to secure the prescriptions and prevent their future misuse, including terminating the involved clinic employees, properly securing blank prescription pads, and authorizing each individual patient prescription, and

Whereas Dr. Virmani would like to resolve this matter without the need for more formal proceedings, and

Whereas the Board has determined that it is in the public interest to resolve this case as set forth below;

Now, therefore, with Dr. Virmani's consent, it is ORDERED that:

1. Dr. Virmani is hereby REPRIMANDED.

2. Dr. Virmani shall comply with the Board's Position Statement entitled "Writing of Prescriptions."

3. This Consent Order shall take effect immediately upon its execution by both Dr. Virmani and the Board.
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4. Dr. Virmani hereby waives any requirement under any law or rule that this Consent Order be served on him.

5. Upon execution by Dr. Virmani and the Board, this Consent Order shall become a public record within the meaning of Chapter 132 of the North Carolina General Statutes and shall be subject to public inspection and dissemination pursuant to the provisions thereof. Additionally, it will be reported to persons, entities, agencies, and clearinghouses, as required and permitted by law, including, but not limited to the National Practitioner Data Bank and the Healthcare Integrity and Protection Data Bank.

By Order of the North Carolina Medical Board this the 29th day of December, 2005.

NORTH CAROLINA MEDICAL BOARD

By: Robert C. Moffatt, M.D.
President
Consented to this the 16th day of December, 2005.

[Signature]

Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.

State of North Carolina

Mecklenburg County

I, Vicki P. Gettys, a Notary Public for the above named County and State, do hereby certify that Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., personally appeared before me this day and acknowledged the due execution of the foregoing instrument.

Witness my hand and official seal this the 16th day of December, 2005.

[Signature]

Notary Public

(SEAL)

STUART RABNER
ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY
Division of Law 5th Floor
124 Halsey Street
P.O. Box 45029
Newark, New Jersey 07101
Attorney for the State Board
of Medical Examiners

STATE OF NEW JERSEY
DEPARTMENT OF LAW & PUBLIC SAFETY
DIVISION OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

IN THE MATTER OF THE
LICENSE OF
ASHUTOSH VIRMANI, M.D.
License No. MA48523

TO PRACTICE MEDICINE AND
SURGERY IN THE STATE OF
NEW JERSEY

Administrative Action
FINAL ORDER
OF DISCIPLINE

This matter was opened to the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners (Board) upon receipt of information which the Board has reviewed and on which the following findings of fact and conclusions of law are made:

FINDINGS OF FACT

1. Respondent, Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., License No. MA48523, is a physician licensed in the State of New Jersey and has been licensed at all times relevant hereto.

2. On or about December 29, 2005, the North Carolina Medical Board (North Carolina Board) filed a Consent Order reprimanding Respondent for engaging in unprofessional conduct within the
meaning of N.C. Gen. Stat. §90-14(a)(6), by issuing pre-signed prescriptions. Pursuant to the Consent Order, Respondent admitted and the North Carolina Board found that between approximately March 2004 and August 2004, while acting as an independent contractor at a clinic, Respondent pre-signed prescriptions which were prepared by the clinic's staff to be dispensed during the day to patients, per clinic protocol. The prescriptions were filled out with the names of the medications and dosage amount but not the patients' names. Respondent admitted that he did not write the prescriptions for particular patients and relied upon the clinic's staff to appropriately complete the prescriptions for medications that he had previously authorized for existing patients. Respondent further admitted and the North Carolina Board found that, without Respondent's knowledge, a member of the clinic's office staff obtained several pre-signed prescriptions and used the prescriptions to obtain medication for non-patients.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

1. The above disciplinary action taken by the sister state of North Carolina provides grounds to take disciplinary action against Respondent's license to practice medicine and surgery in New Jersey pursuant to N.J.S.A. 45:1-21(e), in that Respondent has engaged in professional misconduct.

DISCUSSION
Based on the foregoing findings and conclusions, a Provisional Order of Discipline (POD) "reprimanding" Respondent was entered on May 16, 2006 and a copy served on Respondent. The POD was subject to finalization by the Board at 5:00 p.m. on the 30th business day following entry unless Respondent requested a modification or dismissal of the stated Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law by submitting a written request for modification or dismissal setting forth in writing any and all reasons why said findings and conclusions should be modified or dismissed and submitting any and all documents or other written evidence supporting Respondent's request for consideration and reasons therefor.

In response to the POD, Respondent submitted written correspondence dated August 2, 2006, for the Board's review. In his correspondence Respondent requested that the Board dismiss the POD or alternatively, modify the POD to reflect Respondent's assertions that the pre-signing of prescriptions is commonplace in women's health clinics; the pre-signing procedure was a required protocol of the clinic in which he worked as an independent contractor; he was obligated to follow this owner established protocol; and the North Carolina Board never defined pre-signing of prescriptions, thus making it unclear to Respondent that he was in violation of any North Carolina directives.

Respondent's submissions were reviewed by the Board, and the Board determined that further proceedings were not necessary and
that no material discrepancies had been raised. The Board was not persuaded that the submitted materials merited further consideration, as Respondent did not dispute the Findings of Fact or Conclusions of Law.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS on this 12th day of March, 2007, ORDERED THAT:

1. Respondent shall be and is hereby reprimanded by the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners.

NEW JERSEY STATE BOARD OF MEDICAL EXAMINERS

By: Sindy Paul, M.D., M.P.H.
Sindy Paul, M.D.
Board President
ORDER

AND NOW, this 28th day of August, 2007, the State Board of Medicine adopts and approves the foregoing Consent Agreement and incorporates the terms of paragraph 5, which shall constitute the Board's Order and is now issued in resolution of this matter.

This Order shall take effect immediately.

BY ORDER:

BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

Basil L. Merenda
Commissioner

Charles D. Hummer, M.D.
Chairman

Date of mailing:
File No. 07-49-03915

For the Commonwealth:
Keith E. Bashore, Esquire
P. O. Box 2649
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649

For Respondent:
William H. Elam, Esquire
Elam & Rousseaux, P.A.
P.O. Box 30457
Charlotte, NC 28230

August 29, 2007
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

Commonwealth of Pennsylvania
Bureau of Professional and
Occupational Affairs

vs.

Docket No. 0364-49-93
File No. 92-49-03711

Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.
Respondent

CONSENT AGREEMENT

IT IS HEREBY stipulated by the parties to the above-captioned matter that the following statements are true:

1. The State Board of Medicine is a departmental administrative body of the Department of State, organized under the provisions of the Medical Practice Act of 1985, Act of December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, No. 112, 63 P.S. 422.1 et seq.

2. Respondent, Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., is a licensed physician in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, holding license no. MD-041128-E.

3. Respondent’s last known address on file with the Board is 4626 Charlestown Manor Drive, Charlotte, North Carolina 28211.

4. On October 18, 1993, an Order to Show Cause, setting forth certain charges and allegations was issued against Respondent.

5. Respondent has been fully advised of his right to an administrative hearing, to be represented by counsel, to present witnesses and testimony, to cross-examine witnesses and to challenge evidence presented by the Commonwealth, to present legal arguments by means of a brief before the Board, and to take an
appeal from any decision adverse to his interest in his license to practice as a physician in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania.

6. Respondent knowingly and voluntarily waives his right to a formal hearing in this matter and agrees to resolve through this Consent Agreement the allegations that would have been raised in the Order to Show Cause.

7. Respondent admits that the following facts are true:

a. On June 29, 1992, Respondent entered a Consent Order with the North Carolina State Board of Medical Examiners based on his admission to having engaged in an improper personal liaison with a patient.

b. The Consent Order called for Respondent to: (1) be issued a temporary license to practice medicine in North Carolina; (2) obtain a psychiatric evaluation in the area of boundary problems; (3) enter therapy if recommended by the evaluation; (4) execute and follow a contract with the North Carolina Physician Health and Effectiveness Program; and (5) obtain not less than 25 hours of continuing medical education.

c. On January 29, 1993, the North Carolina Board adopted a motion to reinstate Respondent’s full and unrestricted license in that state. That motion also called for Respondent to: (1) enter marriage counseling within 3 months; (2) maintain 150 hours of continuing medical education every three years; (3) continue to abide by his PHEP contract; and (4) notify the Board of his address.

d. On December 1, 1993, Respondent appeared before a committee of the New Jersey State Board of Medical Examiners and presented proof of his compliance with and completion of his Physician’s Health and Effectiveness Program. He also presented proof of his ongoing attendance and participation in counseling. The New Jersey Board thereupon issued an Order imposing on Respondent’s license in that state certain terms and conditions similar to those prescribed at paragraph 8, below.

8. Based upon the foregoing, Respondent admits that his license is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to the Medical
Practice Act of 1985, Act of December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, No. 112, 63 P.S. 422.41(4), and consents to the indefinite suspension of his license, stayed in favor of a three-year period of probation commencing February 3, 1993, as described below:

1. Respondent shall adhere to all of the instructions contained in a letter from the North Carolina Board to him dated February 3, 1993 and incorporated by reference herein.

2. Respondent shall authorize and cause his marriage counsellor to provide the Board with written verification that he entered into marriage counseling within three months of the North Carolina Board's letter of instruction and of his compliance with the requirements of counseling on a semi-annual basis. Upon the cessation of counseling, Respondent shall authorize and cause a final report to be issued to this Board. The Board may, at its discretion, request more detailed reports as may be necessary to determine Respondent's progress in counseling and need for continuing counseling.

3. Respondent shall provide the Board with proof of his completion of 150 hours of continuing medical education during the period of probation. This proof shall be submitted on or before February 28, 1996.

4. Respondent shall authorize and cause the North Carolina PHEP to provide the Board with the semi-annual reports and the final report regarding Respondent's compliance with his PHEP contract.

5. Prior to commencing a full time practice in Pennsylvania, Respondent shall provide proof of his compliance with the requirements embodied in the North Carolina Board's letter of instruction and shall, if Ordered to do so by the Board, appear before the Board for the purposes of discussion Respondent's professional plans, and the necessity, if any, of additional licensure restrictions.

6. Respondent shall notify the Board of any employment in the field of medicine in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that exceeds one week in duration.

9. I, Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., do verify that the facts and statements set forth in the foregoing are true and correct to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief. I understand that false statements herein are made subject to the penalties of 18 Pa.
C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

10. The parties understand that this Consent Agreement is between the Prosecuting Attorney and the Respondent only, and is to have no legal effect unless and until the Board issues an Order adopting the aforementioned sanction and the Office of General Counsel approves the contents of the Consent Agreement and the Board's Order as to legality.

11. Furthermore, should this Consent Agreement not be accepted by the Board, it is agreed that presentation to and consideration of this Consent Agreement and other documents and matters by the Board shall not unfairly or illegally prejudice the Board or any of its members from further participation, consideration or resolution of these proceedings.

John D. Kelly  
Prosecuting Attorney  
Commonwealth of Pennsylvania  
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.  
Respondent

David W. Long  
Attorney for Respondent

DATED:

DATED:
AND NOW, this 26th day of April, 1994, the State Board of Medicine, having duly convened and considered the foregoing Consent Agreement and based thereon, hereby finds that Respondent, Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., license number MD-011139-E, has violated the Medical Practice Act of 1985, Act of December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, No. 112, 63 P.S. 422.41(4). Based upon that finding, the Board hereby ORDERS the indefinite suspension of Respondent's license, stayed in favor of a three-year period of probation commencing February 3, 1993, as described below:

1. Respondent shall adhere to all of the instructions contained in a letter from the North Carolina Board to him dated February 3, 1993 and incorporated by reference herein.

2. Respondent shall authorize and cause his marriage counsellor to provide the Board with written verification that he entered into marriage counseling within three months of the North Carolina Board’s letter of instruction and of his compliance with the requirements of counseling on a semi-annual basis. Upon the
cessation of counseling, Respondent shall authorize and cause a final report to be issued to this Board. The Board may, at its discretion, request more detailed reports as may be necessary to determine Respondent’s progress in counseling and need for continuing counseling.

3. Respondent shall provide the Board with proof of his completion of 150 hours of continuing medical education during the period of probation. This proof shall be submitted on or before February 28, 1996.

4. Respondent shall authorize and cause the North Carolina PHEP to provide the Board with the semi-annual reports and the final report regarding Respondent’s compliance with his PHEP contract.

5. Prior to commencing a full time practice in Pennsylvania, Respondent shall provide proof of his compliance with the requirements embodied in the North Carolina Board’s letter of instruction and shall, if Ordered to do so by the Board, appear before the Board for the purposes of discussion Respondent’s professional plans, and the necessity, if any, of additional licensure restrictions.

6. Respondent shall notify the Board of any employment in the field of medicine in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania that exceeds one week in duration.

APPROVED: [Signature]
Date: [Date]
This Order shall take effect in thirty (30) days.

BY ORDER:

STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

Joshua A. Perper, M.D.
Chairman

For the Commonwealth:

Respondent's Address:

Respondent's Attorney's Address:

File Number:

DATE OF MAILING:

JDK/bls

Richard H. Utley
Commissioner

John D. Kelly
Prosecuting Attorney

4626 Charlestown Manor Drive
Charlotte, NC 28211

P. O. Box 10096
Raleigh, NC 27605-0096

92-49-03711

4/29/94
COMMONWEALTH OF PENNSYLVANIA
DEPARTMENT OF STATE
BEFORE THE STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

Bureau of Professional and : File No. 97-49-03592
Occupational Affairs : 

vs.

Ashutosh Virmani, M.D., : 
Respondent : 

CONSENT AGREEMENT AND ORDER

The Commonwealth and Respondent stipulate as follows in settlement of the above-captioned case.

1. This matter is before the State Board of Medicine ("Board") pursuant to the Medical Practice Act, Act of December 20, 1985, P.L. 457, No. 112, as amended ("Act"); 63 P.S. §422.1 et seq.

2. At all relevant and material times, Ashutosh Virmani, M.D. ("Respondent") held a license to practice medicine in the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, license number MD-041128-E.

3. Respondent admits that the following facts are true:
   a. Respondent's license is current through December 31, 2000 and may be renewed thereafter upon the filing of the appropriate documentation and payment of the necessary fees.
b. Respondent's last known address on file with the Board is 4626 Charleston Manor Drive, Charlotte, NC 28211.

c. On or about July 18, 1997, the North Carolina Medical Board (North Carolina Board) issued a Consent Order in which Respondent was reprimanded with regard to a Notice of Charges dated September 13, 1996. A true and correct copy of the North Carolina Board Order is attached and incorporated herein by reference as Exhibit 1.

d. The North Carolina Board's discipline was based upon the following:

(1) On or about September 1, 1995, Respondent's medical staff privileges at Presbyterian Hospital, Charlotte, NC, were summarily suspended after peer review, finding "24 problematic charts."

(2) Respondent contested the validity of the suspension of his hospital privileges in a civil action in the Mecklenburg County Superior Court.

(3) In a motion filed in the Mecklenburg County Superior Court by Respondent's attorney
and verified by Respondent, it was alleged
that the North Carolina Board had concluded
its investigation of the hospital charts in
question and determined that no disciplinary
action would be taken.

(4) Respondent admits that at the time he
verified the motion, the statements in the
motion regarding the status of the North
Carolina Board's investigation were not true.

4. The actions of Respondent, described above, violated the
Act at 63 P.S. §422.41(4).

5. The participants consent to issuance of the following
Order in settlement of this matter:

a. Respondent violated the Act at 63 P.S.
§422.41(4) in that disciplinary action has been taken
against Respondent in another state.

b. A PUBLIC REPRIMAND is placed on Respondent's
permanent Board record.

6. Respondent acknowledges the filing, service and receipt
of an Order to Show Cause in this matter. Respondent knowingly and
voluntarily waives the right to an administrative hearing in this
matter, and to the following rights related to that hearing: to be
represented by counsel at the hearing; the right to present
witnesses and testimony in defense or in mitigation of any sanction that may be imposed for a violation; to cross-examine witnesses and to challenge evidence presented by the Commonwealth; to present legal arguments by means of a brief; and to take an appeal from any final adverse decision.

7. This Consent Agreement is between the Commonwealth and Respondent only. Except as otherwise noted, this Agreement is to have no legal effect unless and until the Office of General Counsel approves the contents as to form and legality and the Board issues the stipulated Order.

8. Should the Board not approve this Consent Agreement, presentation to and consideration of this Consent Agreement and other documents and matters by the Board shall not prejudice the Board or any of its members from further participation in the adjudication of this matter. The participants waive any objection to a Board member's consideration of this Agreement in the event that the member participated in a prior decision to prosecute this matter. This paragraph is binding on the participants even if the Board does not approve this Consent Agreement.

9. Respondent agrees, as a condition of entering into this Consent Agreement, not to seek modification at a later date of the Stipulated Order adopting and implementing this Consent Agreement.
without first obtaining the express written concurrence of the Prosecution Division.

10. This agreement contains the whole agreement between the participants. There are no other terms, obligations, covenants, representations, statements or conditions, or otherwise, of any kind whatsoever concerning this agreement.

11. Respondent verifies that the facts and statements set forth in this Agreement are true and correct to the best of Respondent's knowledge, information and belief. Respondent understands that statements in this Agreement are made subject to the criminal penalties of 18 Pa.C.S. §4904 relating to unsworn falsification to authorities.

Anita P. Shekletski
Prosecuting Attorney
Bureau of Professional and Occupational Affairs

Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.
Respondent

DATED: 01/21/99

DATED: June 16, 1999

David W. Long, Esquire
Respondent's Attorney

DATED: June 14, 1999
BEFORE THE
NORTH CAROLINA MEDICAL BOARD

In re: Ashutosh Virmani, M.D.,

Respondent.

CONSENT ORDER

This matter is before the North Carolina Medical Board (hereinafter Board) on the Notice of Charges dated September 13, 1996, and the Notice of Charges dated January 2, 1997, against Ashutosh Virmani, M.D. (hereinafter Dr. Virmani).

Whereas the Board issued Dr. Virmani a license to practice medicine and surgery on December 2, 1989, license number 38567, and

Whereas Dr. Virmani currently practices obstetrics and gynecology in Charlotte, North Carolina, and

Whereas the Board began an investigation after it received a report required by law that Dr. Virmani’s staff privileges at Presbyterian Hospital, Charlotte, North Carolina, were summarily suspended after a peer review finding of “24 problematic charts”, and

Whereas, Dr. Virmani contested the validity of the suspension of his hospital privileges in an action filed in the Mecklenburg County Superior Court styled A. Ron Virmani, M.D. v. Presbyterian Health Services Corp., 96 CVS 644 (“Mecklenburg County Action”), and

Whereas on July 19, 1996, Dr. Virmani and his attorney, Mr. William L. Sitton, Jr. (“Mr. Sitton”) were parties to an informal
interview by members of the Board into various matters pertaining to
the "24 problematic charts" and his medical practice, and

Whereas on August 21, 1996, Dr. Virmani verified a motion
authored by Mr. Sitton which was filed in the Mecklenburg County
Action ("the Motion"), and

Whereas the Motion, among other things, alleged the Board had
concluded its investigation of the hospital charts in question and
determined that no disciplinary action would be taken against Dr.
Virmani, and

Whereas Dr. Virmani admits that at the time he verified the
Motion, the statements in the Motion regarding the status of the
Board's investigation were not true, and

Whereas Dr. Virmani admits that the foregoing conduct
constitutes an attempt to obtain practice, money, or anything of
value by false representation in violation of N.C. Gen. Stat. § 90-
14(a)(8), and

Whereas Dr. Virmani would like to resolve this case without the
need for more formal proceedings and the Board has determined that it
is in the public interest to resolve this case as set forth below,

Now, therefore, with Dr. Virmani's consent, it is ORDERED that:

1. Dr. Virmani is hereby REPRIMANDED with regard to the
Notice of Charges dated September 13, 1996.

2. The Board hereby DISMISSES the Notice of Charges dated
4. Dr. Virmani hereby waives any requirement under any law or rule that this Consent Order be served on him.

5. Upon execution by Dr. Virmani and the Board, this Consent Order shall become a public record.

By order of the North Carolina Medical Board this the 18th day of July, 1997.

NORTH CAROLINA MEDICAL BOARD

By:

Charles E. Trice, M.D.
Vice President

ATTEST:

Bryan D. Paris, Jr.
Executive Director
ORDER

AND NOW, this 27th day of July, 1999, the State Board of Medicine adopts and approves the foregoing Consent Agreement and incorporates the terms of paragraph 5, which shall constitute the Board's Order and is now issued in resolution of this matter.

This Order shall take effect immediately.

BY ORDER:

BUREAU OF PROFESSIONAL AND OCCUPATIONAL AFFAIRS

[Signature]
Dorothy Childress
Commissioner

STATE BOARD OF MEDICINE

[Signature]
Daniel B. Kimball, Jr., M.D.
Chairman

For the Commonwealth:

[Signature]
Anita P. Shekletska, Esquire
P. O. Box 2649
Harrisburg, PA 17105-2649

For Respondent:

[Signature]
David W. Long, Esquire
POYNER & SPRULL
P. O. Box 10096
Raleigh, NC 27605-0096