PRESENT:	HON CAROL EDMEAD			PART 3
<u> </u>	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Justice		
Jasmine	. Curtis		INDEX NO.	100785
	- V -		MOTION DATE	<u> </u>
	•		MOTION SEQ. NO	
Planned	Parenthood,	et al.	MOTION CAL. NO	
	ers, numbered 1 to	1	motion to/for	
		,	-	PAPERS NUMBER
Notice of Motion/ (	Order to Show Cause A	ifidavita — Exhibit	E,	
	its — Exhibits			<u></u>
		-	NOL 9	0
	·		03 20	1
<b>Cross-Motion</b>	n: 🗌 Yes 🗌 I	No 🔍 🤇	×008	
lleen the foregoing	g papers, it is ordered that	this motion	NOG OG	
1 7216163 16169 16169666666666666666	haberet it in grading mar			
	or defendants appeared in		minary conferen	oce as directed
Counsel for	for defendants appeared in dated July 22, 2008. How	court for the preli	minary conferent 1. this date, plain	nce as directed ntiff Jasmine
Counsel fo the Court's order	For defendants appeared in dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court	court for the preli vever, bý 4:30 p.n	n. this date, plair	ntiff Jasmine
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance.	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr	<ol> <li>this date, plain the reason for l oof of notice to</li> </ol>	ntiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference.	court for the prelivever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f	<ol> <li>this date, plain the reason for l oof of notice to urther represents</li> </ol>	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plaint	a. this date, plain of the reason for l oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where the	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given not	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plaint ice of the court da	h. this date, plain to the reason for l oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v Na</i> )	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a few York City
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where the <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plaint ice of the court da vas given any conf	h. this date, plain of the reason for l oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v Na</i> licting or confus	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not as <i>few York City</i> sing information
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where the <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given not	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plaint ice of the court da vas given any conf ate Ins. Co. v Pule	h. this date, plain of the reason for l oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v No</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001]
Counsel fe the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where the <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plaint ice of the court da vas given any conf ate Ins. Co. v Pule tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F	1. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a <i>ew York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintaine 1 118, 123
Counsel for the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where th <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone e party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and t	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plaint ice of the court da vas given any conf ate Ins. Co. v Pule tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F flagrant disregard	h. this date, plain of the reason for l oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v Ni</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY2c of this Court's c	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintaine d 118, 123 order directing t
Counsel fe the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where th <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor preliminary confe	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and the erence warrants a dismissa	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plainti ice of the court da vas given any conf ate Ins. Co. v Pulet tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F flagrant disregard il of plaintiff's con	1. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20 of this Court's conplaint ( <i>see</i> 22 1	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintaine 1 118, 123 order directing t
Counsel fe the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where th <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor preliminary confe	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone e party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and t	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plainti ice of the court da vas given any conf ate Ins. Co. v Pulet tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F flagrant disregard il of plaintiff's con	1. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20 of this Court's conplaint ( <i>see</i> 22 1	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintaine d 118, 123 order directing t
Counsel fe the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where th <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor preliminary confe Accordingly, upon	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and the erence warrants a dismissa	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plainti ice of the court da vas given any conf ate Ins. Co. v Pulet tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F flagrant disregard al of plaintiff's con- ed on the foregoir	a. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20 of this Court's conplaint ( <i>see</i> 22 In ng, it is hereby	her the plaintiff of the plaintiff of that he has no that he has no the has no that he has no th
Counsel fa the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possessio instance where th <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor preliminary confe Accordingly, upon	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and the erence warrants a dismissant on oral application and bas	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plainti ice of the court da vas given any conf te Ins. Co. v Pulet tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F flagrant disregard al of plaintiff's cor ed on the foregoir ssed as to defenda	a. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20 of this Court's conplaint ( <i>see</i> 22 1 ng, it is hereby nts; and it is furt	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not a <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintained 1 118, 123 order directing to NYCRR 202.27
Counsel fe the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where the <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor preliminary confe Accordingly, upon ORDERE	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and the erence warrants a dismissant on oral application and bas	court for the preli wever, bý 4:30 p.n or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plainti ice of the court da vas given any conf <i>ite Ins. Co. v Pulet</i> tegrity of our judi npunity" ( <i>Kilh v F</i> flagrant disregard al of plaintiff's cor- ed on the foregoir ssed as to defenda ants shall serve a con-	a. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20 of this Court's conplaint ( <i>see</i> 22 1 ng, it is hereby nts; and it is furt	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not as <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintained 1 118, 123 order directing t NYCRR 202.27
Counsel fe the Court's order Curtis failed to ap nonappearance. If today's scheduled been in possession instance where th <i>Transit Auth.</i> , 292 about the prelimin "If the credibility a litigant cannot i [1999]). Therefor preliminary confe Accordingly, upor ORDERE entry upon plainti	dated July 22, 2008. How ppear or notify this Court Former counsel for plainti d preliminary conference. on of a working telephone he party was not given noti 3 AD2d 322 [2002]), or w nary conference ( <i>cf. Allsta</i> of court orders and the in ignore court orders with in re, plaintiff's blatant and the erence warrants a dismissa- on oral application and bas ED that the action is dismission ED that counsel for defend	court for the preli- wever, by 4:30 p.m or defendants as to ff has provided pr Former counsel f number for plainti- ice of the court da- vas given any conf te Ins. Co. v Pule- tegrity of our judi npunity" (Kilh v F flagrant disregard al of plaintiff's con- ed on the foregoir ssed as to defenda ants shall serve a o	a. this date, plain o the reason for 1 oof of notice to urther represents iff for some time te ( <i>cf. Jones v N</i> licting or confus o, 289 AD2d 89 cial system are t <i>Pheffer</i> , 94 NY20 of this Court's conplaint ( <i>see</i> 22 1 ng, it is hereby nts; and it is furt	htiff Jasmine her the plaintiff of s that he has no e. This is not an <i>few York City</i> sing information [1 <sup>st</sup> Dept 2001 o be maintained 1 118, 123 order directing t NYCRR 202.27

Check one: 💢 FINAL DISPOSITION	HON. GAROL EDMEAD		
		J.S.C.	
This constitutes the decision and order of the <b>Dated:</b> $10-29-2008$	Court.	$\sum$	
entry upon plaintiff within 20 days of entry.	$\cap$	n ( )	

Check if appropriate: DO NOT POST

SCANNED ON 11/3/2008