Baltimore County DISTRICT COURT OF N F **AND FOR** COMPLAINT ☐ \$5,000 or under ☐ over \$5,000 Ø over \$10,000 LOCATED AT (COURT ADDRESS) 120 E. Chesapeake Avenue, Towson, MD 21286-5307 Clerk: Please docket this case in an action of Contract Interview ☐ replevin ☐ detinue. The particulars of this case are: CASE NO. SEE ATTACHED COMPLAINT Plaintiff: Shavonne N. Hall-Evans 421 N. London Avenue Baltimore, Maryland 21229 VS. Defendant(s): Serve by: Certified Hillcrest Clinic, Inc., A Md Corp S/O R/A Mail □ Private **Bonnie Bailey** Process 5602 Baltimore National Pike, Suite 600 ☐ Constable ☐ Sheriff Baltimore, Maryland 21228 2. Serve by: ☐ Certified Mail Private Process ☐ Constable ☐ Sheriff Serve by: ☐ Certified (See Continuation Sheet) Mail D Private The Plaintiff claims: Process ☐ Constable **2** \$ 25,000.00 plus interest or s □ Sheriff attorney's fees of \$ _ Serve by: ☐ Certified Mail ☐ Private 4. \Box Return of the property and damages of \$ for its detention in an action of replevin. Process Constable ☐ Return of the property, or its value, plus damages of □ Sheriff for its detention in action of detinue. ☐ Other: **ATTORNEYS** and demands judgment for re For Plaintiff - Name. Address. Telephone Number & Code Leonard J. Sperling, Esquire THE SPERLING LAW OFFICE, P.C. e of Plaintiff/Attorney/Attorney Code 1777 Reisterstown Road, Suite 212 410/653-0141 Telepl Baltimore, Maryland 21208 APPLICATION AND AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF JUDGMENT

Attached hereto are the indicated documents which contain sufficient detail the Defendant, including the amount of any interest claimed.	as to liability and damage to apprise the Defendary clearly of the claim agains
☐ Properly authenticated copy of any note, security agreement upon which	h claim is based Itemized statement of account Interest work sheet
□ Vouchers □ Check □ Other written document □	☐ Verified itemized repair bill or estimate
I HEREBY CERTIFY: That I am the ☐ Plaintiff ☐	of the Plaintiff herein and am competent to testify to
(Owner/Partner//	,
the matters stated herein, which are made on my personal knowledge; that in the Complaint.	there is justly due and owing by the Defendant to the Plaintiff the sum set fort

□ That

I solemnly affirm und stify to these matters.	der the penalties of perjury and upon personal knowledge that the contents of the above Complaint are true and I am competent to The Defendant is not now in the military service, as defined in the Servicemembers Civil Relief Act.
February 20	2008

Signature of Affiant

Date

ı										
	Shavonne N. Hall-Evans		*		IN '	ГНЕ				
	421 N. London Avenue									
	Baltimore, Maryland 21229		*		DIS	TRICT	COU	RT		
	Plaintiff		*		EOI					
	, identified				FOI	X				
	vs.		*		BAl	LTIMOI	RE C	OUNTY	-	
	Hillcrest Clinic, Inc.		*							
	A Maryland corporation				Case	e No.				
	Serve on resident agent:		*							
	Bonnie Bailey							ن الا	20	
	5602 Baltimore National Pike		*					רחוסר	2008	
- 1	Suite 600					\wedge		DC (FEB	
	Baltimore, Maryland 21228		*				1	곡물	2	C. E. I
	, , ,					4	1	요핑	S	
	Defendant		*				(*	불연	\triangleright	
								55	Ö	
ŀ	* * * * *	*	*	*	*	*	*	₩ ×	Ω*	
ŧ			*				-	- •		į.

COMPLAINT

Shavonne N. Hall-Evans, Plaintiff, by her attorney Leonard J. Sperling and The Sperling Law Office, P.C., sues Hillcrest Clinic, Inc., Defendant, and respectfully states:

- 1. That on or about the 19th day of May, 2007, and for all times hereinafter mentioned, the Defendant, Hillcrest Clinic, Inc., owned and operated a medical facility known as Hillcrest Clinic, located at 5602 Baltimore National Pike, Baltimore, Maryland 21228, and as such, owed the highest duty of care to its patients.
- 2. That on or about the aforementioned date, the Plaintiff was a patient in the Defendant's aforementioned facility.
- 3. That following a procedure, two employees of the Defendant, acting at all times as agent, servant and employee of the Defendant, with permission and in the course of employment, were moving the Plaintiff from a table into a wheelchair.
- 4. That the said employees, suddenly and without warning dropped the Plaintiff onto a corner of the table.

- 5. That the Defendant, and its agents, servants and employees, had a duty to treat its patients and to safely move them from one location to the other without dropping them, as occurred to the Plaintiff.
- 6. That as a result thereof, the Plaintiff was caused to sustain serious, painful and permanent injuries to her head, body and limbs, severe shock to her nerves and nervous system and great mental suffering.
- 7. That as a further result, the Plaintiff was obliged to expend considerable sums of money for medical care and treatment, and will in the future be obligated to expend considerable sums of money for medical care and treatment, was prevented from engaging in her usual activities, occupations, employments, duties and pursuits for a long period of time, and will in the future be prevented from engaging in her usual activities, occupations, employments, duties and pursuits, and was otherwise injured and damaged.
- 8. The Plaintiff, Shavonne N. Hall-Evans, says that the injuries and damages herein complained of were solely due to the negligence and want of care of the Defendants, and each of them, with no negligence on the part of the Plaintiff contributing thereunto.

WHEREFORE, this suit is brought and the Plaintiff claims wenty-five Thousand

Dollars (\$25,000.00) in damages.

Leonard J. Sperling

THE SPERLING LAW OFFICE, P.C.

1777 Reisterstown Road

Commercentre West, Suite 212

Baltimore, Maryland 21208

410-653-0141

Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR BALTIMORE COUNTY

SHAVONNE HALL-EVANS

Plaintiff

VS.

Case Number 03-C-08-006863

HILLCREST CLINIC, INC.

Defendant

CONSENT ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

By agreement of the parties and after hearing on the record in Court:

IT IS THIS day, September 2, 2009, ORDERED by the Circuit Court for Baltimore County as follows:

- 1. That the claim of Plaintiff is dismissed with prejudice;
- 2. That Defendant, for itself and its employees agents and insurers, waives any claim for attorneys fees and costs relating to the defense of the above action brought by Plaintiff including without limitation any right to such claim based upon the informed consent signed by Plaintiff prior to receiving services at Defendant;

SO ORDERED,

The Honorable Patrick Qavanaugh

APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT

Natalie Magdeburger, Esquire

Defense Counsel

Samuel Sperling, Esquire

Plaintiff's Counsel

CC: N. Magdeburger Esq L. Sperling Esq

FILED SEP 1 0 2009 PC

(Standard of Care)

A health care provider is negligent if the health care provider does not use that degree of care and skill which a reasonably competent health care provider, engaged in a similar practice and acting in similar circumstances, would use.

MPJI 27:1

Negligence is doing something that a person using ordinary care would not do, or not doing something that a person using ordinary care would do. Ordinary care means that caution, attention or skill a reasonable person would use under similar circumstances.

MPJI 19:1

Health care providers are permitted to exercise a wide range of discretion in the exercise of their medical skill and judgment. A Health care providers is not to be held liable for negligence unless it is shown that the course pursued by him or her was not recognized as medically acceptable in the medical profession under the same or similar circumstances.

Riffey v. Tonder, 36 Md. App. 633, 375 A.2d 1138 (1977); Marlow v. Cerino, 19 Md. App. 619 (1974).

In an action of medical malpractice, there are two issues for your consideration as a jury: liability and damages. In order to find liability, you must find that the Hillcrest health care providers failed to provide Plaintiff the degree of skill and care which is expected of a reasonably competent medical practitioner in the same class to which Hillcrest health care provider's belong, acting under the same or similar circumstances, and that this failure caused injury to Plaintiff. You may not award damages unless you find liability; that is, that Hillcrest health care providers failed to provide that degree of skill and care which I have described to you.

Plaintiff has the burden of proof in this case and in order to sustain that burden, she must prove both liability and damages by a preponderance of the evidence. To establish something by a preponderance of the evidence means to prove that something is more likely so than not so. In other words, a preponderance of the evidence means such evidence as, when considered and compared with that opposed to it, has more convincing force and produces in your minds a belief that what is sought to be proved is more likely true than not true.

In determining whether any fact in issue has been proven by a preponderance of the evidence in this case, you may, unless otherwise instructed, consider the testimony of all witnesses, regardless of who may have called them, and all exhibits received in evidence regardless of who may have produced them.

If you believe that it is more likely than not that the Hillcrest health care providers failed to comply with the appropriate standard of care, and that this failure caused injury to Plaintiff as a result, then your verdict may be for the Plaintiff. If you believe that it is more likely than not that the Hillcrest health care providers met the appropriate standard of care in helping this patient into the wheelchair, or that any deviation from the standard of care did not cause injury to Plaintiff, then your verdict must be in favor of the Defendant.

Finally, if your minds are evenly balanced on the issue, then your verdict must be for the Defendant.

Shilkret v. Annapolis Emergency Hospital Association, 276 Md. 187 (1975); Baulsir v. Sugar, 226 Md. 390 (1972); Johns Hopkins Hospital v. Genda, 255 Md. 616, 258 A.2d 595 (1969); MPJI 1:8.

A health care provider can only be liable to Plaintiff for injuries which were proximately caused by its negligence. It cannot be liable for any injury or disability it did not cause. The mere fact that Plaintiff suffers from alleged pain or discomfort or the fact that she claims damages raises no inference of negligence on the part of the health care provider.

<u>Trieschman v. Eaton</u>, 224 Md. 111, 166 A.2d 892 (1961); <u>Abend v. Sieber</u>, 161 Md. 645, 158 A.63 (1932).

- 13. Is there any member of the Jury Panel who believes he or she would have a problem or difficulty with awarding a proper compensation to a Plaintiff?
- 14. In this case, Plaintiff had an abortion and the Defendant is a clinic that provides abortions. Is there any member of the jury panel whose judgment of this case and the evidence heard is reasonably likely to be influenced in any way by these facts, either in favor of, or against, any party to this case? (Plaintiff asks that this question be asked in tandem with a very neutral question such as prior accidents or the like so that any juror can stand without any feeling of awkwardness)

Samuel Sperling

The Sperling Law Office, PC 1777 Reisterstown Road

Commercentre West, Suite 212

Baltimore, MD 21208

(410)653-0141

Attorney for Plaintiffs

Shavonne Hall-Evans Plaintiff

Hillcrest Clinic, Inc. **Defendant**

Is any member of the jury panel acquainted with any of the parties? Is any member of the panel acquainted with or related to someone who knows or works with any of these parties?

3. The attorneys in this case are:

Leonard J. Sperling, Esquire Michele E. Loewenthal, Esquire The Sperling Law Office, P.C. 1777 Reisterstown Road, Ste 212W Baltimore, MD 21208-1306 Attorneys for Plaintiff

Natalie C. Magdeburger, Esquire Hodes, Pessin & Katz, P.A. 901 Dulaney Valley Road, Suite 400 Towson, Maryland 21204 Attorneys for Defendant

Is any member of the jury panel acquainted with any of these attorneys? Have any of these attorneys ever represented you or any relative or acquaintance of yours?

5. Witnesses in this case will be:

Jan Veney, R.N.
Ms. Bridgette Griffin
Mr. Terrence Evans
Medical records of Metra Health and Dr. Lawrence Honick
Antonia Hughes, R.N.

Is any member of this panel or any member of your immediate family acquainted with any of these witnesses? Has any member of this panel, or their immediate family, received care from any of the health care providers listed?

2 Page: 03-C-08-006863 Date: 05/08/09 Time: 08:47

Capacity : A Md Corp

Mail: 5602 Baltimore National Pike

06/26/08

06/26/08 DFF

Suite 600

Baltimore, MD 21228

Serve On: R/A Bonnie Bailey

Attorney: 0807567 Petty, Elliott D

901 Dulaney Valley Road

Suite 400

Towson, MD 21204 (410)938-8800

Appear: 06/26/2008

06/26/08

Type Num Name(Last, First, Mid, Title)

Addr Str/End

Pty, Disp. Addr Update Entered

BT RE 02/11/09

07/25/08

MED 001 ADAMS, LESTER L. ESQ

Party ID: 1281001

Capacity : MED

Mail: 10 N. CALVERT STREET

07/25/08

07/25/08 MK

SUITE 946

BALTIMORE, MD 21202

CALENDAR EVENTS

Date	Time	Fac	Event Description	Text SA	Jdg	Day	Of Notice	User	· ID
Res	ult		ResultDt By Result Judge	Rec					
02/11/0	9 09:30	A MEDC	Civil Mediation		TBA	01	/01	JMO	JM0
Can	celled/\	/acate	d 02/11/09 L M.Mediator						
04/07/0	9 10:30	N S01	Settlement Conference	Υ	FEC	01	/01	JMO	BP
Pos	tponed/f	Reset	03/12/09 Y						

05/14/09 01:30P S01 Settlement Conference Y FEC 01 /01 03/12/09 BP BP

JUDGE HISTORY

Type Assign Date Removal RSN JUDGE ASSIGNED

TBA To Be Assigned,

J 06/26/08

SHAVONNE HALL-EVANS

* IN THE

Plaintiff

* CIRCUIT COURT

v.

* OF MARYLAND FOR

HILLCREST CLINIC, INC.

* BALTIMORE COUNTY

Defendant

Case No. 03-C-08-006863 OT

* * * * * * * * *

ANSWER

Now comes Defendant, Hillcrest Clinic, Inc., by its attorneys, Elliott D. Petty, Natalie C. Magdeburger, and Whiteford, Taylor & Preston L.L.P. in answer to the Complaint filed herein by the Plaintiff, and state:

FIRST DEFENSE

Defendant responds to the Complaint, and each and every allegation thereof, by generally denying liability and demands strict proof of the allegations in the Complaint.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state claims for which relief may be granted.

THIRD DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of contributory negligence.

FOURTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of assumption of risk.

FIFTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by any applicable statute of limitations.

EILED JUL - 9 2008

SIXTH DEFENSE

Hillcrest Clinic, Inc. is a charitable and/or eleemosynary association and any judgment herein against this party must be limited to exclude any damages in excess of the limits of this party's available liability insurance.

Natalie C. Magdeburger, Esquire

Elliott D. Petty, Esquire

Whiteford, Taylor & Preston L.L.P.

7 Saint Paul Street

Baltimore, MD 21202-1636

410-347-8700

Attorneys for Defendant

Hillcrest Clinic, Inc.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this ____ day of July, 2008, a copy of the foregoing Answer was mailed first class, postage prepaid to:

Leonard J. Sperling, Esquire THE SPERLING LAW OFFICE, P.C. 1777 Reisterstown Road Baltimore, MD 21208

Attorney for Plaintiff

Elliott D. Petty, Esquire

1802353

SHAVONNE HALL-EVANS	*	IN THE
Plaintiff	*	DISTRICT COURT AREA LIDENCIAGO (1997) (ATCHISVILLE)
v.	*	OF MARYLAND FOR 2003 MAR 26 A 11: 54
HILLCREST CLINIC, INC.	*	BALTIMORE COUNTY
Defendant	*	Case No. 080400073172008

NOTICE OF INTENTION TO DEFEND

Now comes Defendant, Hillcrest Clinic, Inc., by its attorneys, Elliott D. Petty, Natalie C. Magdeburger, and Whiteford, Taylor & Preston L.L.P. in answer to the Complaint filed herein by the Plaintiff, and state:

FIRST DEFENSE

Defendant responds to the Complaint, and each and every allegation thereof, by generally denying liability and demands strict proof of the allegations in the Complaint.

SECOND DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's Complaint fails to state claims for which relief may be granted.

THIRD DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of contributory negligence.

FOURTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by the doctrine of assumption of risk.

FIFTH DEFENSE

The Plaintiff's claims are barred by any applicable statute of limitations.

SIXTH DEFENSE

Hillcrest Clinic, Inc. is a charitable and/or eleemosynary association and any judgment herein against this party must be limited to exclude any damages in excess of the limits of this party's available liability insurance.

Elliott D. Petty, Esquire

Natalie C. Magdeburger, Esquire

Whiteford, Taylor & Preston L.L.P.

7 Saint Paul Street

Baltimore, MD 21202-1636

410-347-9449

Attorneys for Defendant

Hillcrest Clinic, Inc.

2008 HAR 25 ' ^ 11.

		en de la companya de