UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

REBECCA GOETZ, et al.,

: CIVIL ACTION NO. 04-1662 (MLC)

Plaintiffs,

MEMORANDUM OPINION

V.

CAPITAL HEALTH SYSTEMS, INC., :

et al.,

Defendants.

THIS MATTER COMING BEFORE THE COURT on the Court's order to show cause why the complaint should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1332; and the plaintiffs bringing this action, inter alia, to recover damages for medical malpractice, and alleging that the Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § ("Section") 1332 (Compl. at 2); and the plaintiffs bearing the burden of showing that such jurisdiction exists, see Dev. Fin. Corp. v. Alpha Hous. & Health Care, 54 F.3d 156, 158 (3d Cir. 1995); and the Court examining jurisdiction sua sponte, see Fed.R.Civ.P. 12(h)(3) (stating court shall dismiss complaint if subject matter jurisdiction appears lacking); and

THE PLAINTIFFS ALLEGING that they are Pennsylvania citizens (Compl. at 2); and the plaintiffs alleging that (1) the defendants Capital Health Systems, Inc. ("CHSI") and Capital Health Systems Services ("CHSS") are "organizations doing business in the State of New Jersey," (2) the defendant Lawrence Ob-Gyn Associates, P.C. ("LOGA") is "an organization registered to do business in the

state of New Jersey," and (3) the defendant Laboratory Corporation of America ("LabCorp") is an "organization headquarters [sic] located . . . [in] North Carolina" (id. at 2-3); but it appearing that such allegations are insufficient because they fail to allege the nature of the ownership of CHSI, CHSS, LOGA, and LabCorp (e.g., corporations, limited partnerships, limited liability companies), thereby preventing analysis of the citizenship of each; and

THE PLAINTIFFS ALLEGING FURTHER that the defendants Daniel Small and William Stanell are "physician[s] licensed to practice medicine in the state of New Jersey" and "agent[s], servant[s], workm[e]n, and/or employee[s] of [LOGA]" (id. at 2); but it appearing that allegations as to where the individual defendants are licensed or work — as opposed to their citizenship — "do not properly invoke this Court's jurisdiction when premised upon diversity of citizenship," Forman v. BRI Corp., 532 F. Supp. 49, 51 (E.D. Pa. 1982), see Wolfe v. Hartford Life & Annuity Ins. Co., 148 U.S. 389, 389 (1893), Guerrino v. Ohio Cas. Ins. Co.,

Counsel was advised that a corporation is deemed a citizen of the states wherein it (1) is incorporated and (2) has its principal place of business. See 28 U.S.C. § 1332(c)(1). Counsel was further advised that unincorporated associations, such as limited partnerships and limited liability companies, are deemed citizens of each state in which their members are citizens. See Carden v. Arkoma Assocs., 494 U.S. 185, 195-96 (1990); Kalian at Poconos v. Saw Creek Ests. Cmty. Ass'n, 275 F. Supp. 2d 578, 586 (M.D. Pa. 2003); Ketterson v. Wolf, No. 99-689, 2001 WL 940909, at *3 (D. Del. Aug. 14, 2001).

423 F.2d 419, 421 (3d Cir. 1970); and

THE COURT BEING UNABLE to determine if there is diversity of citizenship and if jurisdiction under Section 1332 exists here, as the plaintiffs have failed to properly allege the citizenship of any of the defendants; and the Court being concerned that at least one defendant will be deemed a citizen of, among other states, Pennsylvania, and thus that there is no jurisdiction under Section 1332 here; and it appearing that when plaintiffs are represented by counsel, the Court "should not need to underscore the importance of adequately pleading and proving diversity," CGB Occ. Therapy v. RHA Health Servs., 357 F.3d 375, 382 n.6 (3d Cir. 2004); and the Court having announced an intention to dismiss the complaint for lack of jurisdiction under Section 1332 unless (1) the citizenship of each defendant is alleged properly, and (2) diversity of citizenship is demonstrated; and

THE COURT RECEIVING NO RESPONSE to the order to show cause within the time set forth therein; and the Court concluding that the complaint should be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction under Section 1332; and good cause appearing, an appropriate order and judgment will issue separately.

s/Mary L. Cooper

MARY L. COOPER

United States District Judge