IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA ATLANTA DIVISION

LOIS SCHOFIELD *

* CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff, * Case No.

*

VS. *

*

ATLANTA WOMEN'S MEDICAL *

CENTER, INC. * Complaint and Demand

* for Jury Trial

Defendant. *

COMPLAINT

COMES NOW, Lois Schofield, Plaintiff in the above-styled action, and shows the Court the following:

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

1.

This is an action brought under 42 U.S.C. §§12101 et seq, and 29 U.S.C. §§621 et seq. Jurisdiction is based upon 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343

2.

Pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391 (b) and (c), venue is properly in this Court

PARTIES

3.

The Plaintiff is currently 79 years of age, and a female citizen of the United

States of America, and of Cobb County, State of Georgia. She currently resides at 3466 Shawnee Trail, Smyrna, GA 30080.

4.

The Defendant, Atlanta Women's Medical Center, Inc. (hereinafter "AWMCI" or "Defendant") is registered as a Georgia Corporation with its principal place of business being 235 West Wieuca Rd., Atlanta, Fulton County, GA 30342. AWMCI is authorized to conduct business in the State of Georgia, and it is an "Employer" as that term is used under the laws applicable to this action. At all times pertinent to this action, Defendant was conducting business within the geographical boundaries of this Court in the Northern District of Georgia as an abortion clinic, providing surgical abortion care and post-surgical care, counseling and patient educational services.

5.

AWMCI has employed more than 20 employees in each of the 20 or more calendar weeks in the current or preceding calendar year.

EXHAUSTION OF ADMINISTRATIVE REMEDIES

6.

On November 14, 2011 and within 180 days of the discriminatory acts

complained of herein, the Plaintiff filed with the Equal Employment Opportunity Commission ("EEOC), a timely "Charge of Discrimination" alleging unlawful age discrimination in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended, and discrimination based upon Defendant's agent's a perceived physical disability in violation of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended A true and correct copy of the Charge is attached hereto as Plaintiff's Exhibit "A." At the time of the discriminatory acts the Plaintiff was 76 years of age.

7.

On July 12, 2013, the EEOC issued to the Plaintiff a notice of right to sue. Having found reasonable cause to believe having found violations of the aforesaid statutes had occurred. A copy of the Notice of Right to Sue is attached hereto as Plaintiff's Exhibit "B."

8.

Plaintiff properly exhausted her administrative remedies and has initiated this present lawsuit within 90 days of receiving the EEOC notice of her right to sue.

PERTINENT UNDERLYING FACTS

9.

The Plaintiff was originally employed by Defendant AWMCI as a full time employee in 1995 as a Certified Registered Nurse Anesthetist (CRNA), and continuously worked providing patient anesthesia services for the entity through March 30, 2011, when she voluntarily took a medical leave of absence in order to have medically necessary hip replacement surgery. She had also worked at the clinic on a part time basis for a number of years prior to 1995.

10.

Prior to taking the medical leave of absence, in addition to performing anesthesia services, the Plaintiff did a number of other jobs around the clinic which were not related to anesthesia, whenever her assistance was needed and when asked by her supervisor, the Director of the clinic. For example, she would draw blood for the lab tech when asked; she would check supplies for the clinic and report on inventory supplies, when requested she would assist in preoperative evaluations when the nurse on duty was absent or late, she would evaluate patients during registration when directed; she would climb a ladder to replace light bulbs; she frequently cleaned the kitchen, because others would not; she answered the

phone; and she even unclogged the toilet on occasion. During operative procedures she was directly supervised by a surgical medical doctor, who made the ultimate decisions as to the activities in the operating room and regarding the care and treatment of the patients. She did not make her own schedule.

11.

From September, 1995 through approximately 1997, the Plaintiff worked a three day schedule for the clinic, which was every day the clinic was providing services at that time. In 1997 the clinic began operating four days per week, and the Plaintiff adopted the same four day work week. She was directed to also take calls after hours for the clinic 24 hours\day, 7 days per week, and upon receiving emergency calls, she would telephone the Director of the clinic and a doctor and usually would be the first one to report to the clinic and unlock the facility. Her schedule which included the after hours telephone calls, continued until her leave of absence on March 30, 2011. Plaintiff took very few vacation days, and was an employee who competently performed her job, without complaint. She was a model employee and a team player for the 15+ years she worked for AWMCI.

12.

Plaintiff was cleared by her physician to return to work on a full time basis with no restrictions beginning June 15, 2011. At that time she was 76 years of

age, but was fully capable of and competent in the performing of her job. In fact, while working at the clinic, she was never unable to perform her job as a CRNA, or to perform other functions within the clinic when requested. During her absence, she had been asked by the clinic to arrange for a temporary replacement, which she did.

13.

While still on her medical leave of absence, the Plaintiff attended a retirement party on June 6, 2011 for the then clinic director, Golda Melnick. Also in attendance at that party was the defacto owner of the clinic, Mr. Randy Lazarus. During that party, Mr. Lazarus inquired about the Plaintiff's physical condition after her surgery, and although the Plaintiff responded that she was fine and better than before the surgery, the owner expressed doubt that she could perform her job functions at the clinic, because of the hip surgery and his consequent perceived disability. The Plaintiff assured him she was perfectly capable of doing her job.

14.

Because of the clinic owner's misconceptions, in which he believed that the Plaintiff was unable to perform her job at the AWMCI's clinic as a CRNA because of her perceived disability when combined with her age, the Plaintiff was fired with no warning or any attempt to accommodate the perceived disability.

When Plaintiff called the clinic on June 8, 2011 to advise the new clinic director that she was ready and able to start work, she was advised that AWMCI would not permit her to resume her position at the clinic, and she was replaced by a much younger person, who was not as competent as the Plaintiff.

15.

The Defendant, through Mr. Lazarus and the Director, acting on its behalf, terminated the Plaintiff's employment because of a perceived disability and because of the Plaintiff's age. The perception was totally and completely erroneous.

COUNT I

PERCEIVED DISABILITY DISCRIMINATION

16.

Plaintiff realleges, adopts and incorporates for the purpose of this paragraph 16 all those allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15.

17.

Defendant, through its agents, apparent agents and employees, and because of their perception of the Plaintiff as being physically disabled, intentionally, maliciously and wrongly refused to accommodate the Plaintiff. and terminated the

Plaintiff's employment. At all times pertinent to the claims herein, the Plaintiff was willing and fully capable of performing the essential job functions of her position as a CRNA, with or without accommodations. Plaintiff had the education, experience, mental ability, and physical ability to perform all of the functions required of her as a CRNA at AWMCI, and still possesses those abilities and skills. Defendant has tacitly admitted that Plaintiff is capable of competently performing services as a CRNA, because through its employees it has offered to use her services as needed on a part time or substitute basis.

18.

This Defendant is responsible for the violations of the Plaintiff's rights, as set forth herein, because through its agents it exercised control over the adverse and disparate treatment of the Plaintiff. At all times material hereto the actions and inactions affecting the Plaintiff were committed in the course of the employment or supervisory relationship agents or employees had with Defendant, and with its actual or constructive knowledge; therefore, this Defendant is vicariously liable to the Plaintiff for all the unlawful conduct of its owner, agents, apparent agents, employees and officials.

19.

As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's intentional and

malicious actions, through its defacto owner, agents and employees as described above, the Plaintiff has suffered direct and consequential damages, including lost wages and income, pain and suffering, emotional distress, mental anguish, embarrassment, and other damages.

20.

The Defendant has intentionally acted with callous and reckless disregard and indifference to the Plaintiff's rights. Defendant's actions have been malicious, wanton and willful. Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages.

COUNT II

UNLAWFUL AGE DISCRIMINATION

21.

Plaintiff realleges, adopts and incorporates for the purpose of this paragraph 21 all those allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-20 above.

22.

The actions of AWMCI in terminating the Plaintiff because of her age, or her age in conjunction with her perceived disability, violated the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621, et seq.

23.

At the time of the discriminatory act of terminating the Plaintiff, she was 76

years of age, but fully capable of performing her full time job as a CRNA. The Defendant, through the actions of its owner\agent erroneously concluded that because of her age and disability, the Plaintiff was incapable of competently performing her CRNA duties, and he verbally communicated that to her. He thus terminated her employment and hired a much younger and less competent individual to fill the Plaintiff's position as a CRNA.

24.

This Defendant is responsible for the violations of the Plaintiff's rights, as set forth herein, because it exercised control over the adverse disparate treatment of the Plaintiff.

25.

At all times material hereto, the actions and inactions affecting the Plaintiff were committed in the course of the employment or supervisory relationship the owner\agent employees or corporate officials had with Defendant, and with its actual or constructive knowledge; therefore, this Defendant is vicariously liable to the Plaintiff for all the unlawful conduct of its agents, apparent agents, employees and officials.

26.

As a direct and proximate result of the Defendant's actions described above

the Plaintiff has suffered direct and consequential damages including lost wages and income, pain and suffering, emotional distress, mental anguish, embarrassment, and other damages attendant with her employment and working relationship with AWMCI.

27.

The Defendant has intentionally acted with callous and reckless disregard and indifference to the Plaintiff's rights. Defendant's actions have been malicious, wanton and willful. Plaintiff is entitled to punitive damages.

COUNT III

BREACH OF CONTRACT

28.

Plaintiff realleges, adopts and incorporates for the purpose of this paragraph 27 all those allegations set forth in paragraphs 1-15 above.

29.

Plaintiff had a contract with the Defendant which provided that the contract could be terminated upon the Defendant giving the Plaintiff 30 days written notice of its intent to terminate the working relationship between Defendant and Plaintiff.

30.

Defendant through its agents and employees breached the contract with the Plaintiff by failing to provide the 30 day written notice of termination. Instead this Plaintiff was summarily and wrongfully terminated without any notice or warning in violation of the terms of the contract and also in violation of the Americans With Disabilities Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 12101 et seq, and in violation of the Age Discrimination in Employment Act, 29 U.S.C. §§ 621, et seq.

31.

Because the Defendant wrongfully breached its contract of employment with the Plaintiff, Defendant is liable to the Plaintiff for contractual and compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands the following relief:

- (a) Judgment in its favor against Defendant;
- (b) Lost income and employment benefits in an amount to be proven at trial.
- (c) Compensatory damages in an amount to be proven at trial;
- (d) Punitive damages to be determined by the enlightened conscience of the jury and in an amount to be proven at trial;
 - (e) Liquidated double damages in accordance with 29 U.S.C, § 626(b);

- (e) Plaintiff's reasonable attorney's fees;
- (f) Appropriate injunctive relief and reinstatement to her position as a CRNA with AWMCI;
 - (g) Interest;
 - (h) All court costs,
 - (i) Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and proper.

Respectfully submitted,
s/ NICHOLAS G. DUMICH
Nicholas G. Dumich
Georgia State Bar No. 232650
Attorney for Plaintiff

NICHOLAS G. DUMICH, ESQ. 248 ROSWELL ST. MARIETTA, GA 30060

Tel: (770) 241-5550 Fax: (770) 426-9584 ndumich@bellsouth.net

EEOC Form 5 (11/09) Case 1:13-cv-03340-SCJ Document 1	-1 Filed 10	1/08/13 Page 1 d	of 1
CHARGE OF DISCRIMINATION	Charge	e Presented To:	Agency(ies) Charge No(s):
This form is affected by the Privacy Act of 1974. See enclosed Privacy Act		FEPA	
Statement and other information before completing this form.	EEOC	410-2012-00755	
			and EEOC
State or local Age.	ncy, if any		Marketing to the second of the
Name (indicate Mr., Ms., Mrs.)		Home Phone (Incl. Area (Code) Date of Birth
Mrs. Lois O. Schofield		(404) 754-470	6 -1934
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code		
3466 Shawnee Trail, Smyrna, GA 30080			
Named is the Employer, Labor Organization, Employment Agency, Apprenticeshi Discriminated Against Me or Others. (If more than two, list under PARTICULARS	p Committee, or S S below.)	State or Local Governmer	
Name		No Employees, Members	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
ATLANTA WOMEN MEDICAL CENTER, INC	30.000	15 - 100	(404) 257-0057
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code	The Chall	Section Line of the section of the s
235 West Wieuca Road, Atlanta, GA 30342	Annual March	I black I was I V	James de and
	British August	81001 1 1 06	3.4.4
Name	1	No Employées, Members 4.	Phone No. (Include Area Code)
		FFOC ATE	ha
Street Address City, State	and ZIP Code	LLUU-AID	<u>'U</u>
DISCRIMINATION BASED ON (Check appropriate box(es).)		DATE(S) DISCRI Earliest	IMINATION TOOK PLACE Latest
RACE COLOR SEX RELIGION NATIONAL ORIGIN 06-06-2011 06-28-2011			
	J		
			CONTINUING ACTION
THE PARTICULARS ARE (If additional paper is needed, attach extra sheet(s)):			
 I was hired by the above company in Septemb Anesthetist (CRNA). On June 6, 2011, while a 			
was told by the owner that he did not think I co	uld work at the	ne clinic any longer	r. A younger CRNA
was hired to replace me.		,	•
U. Daniel and Ourse advised was that I was	diagharmad a	due to mu disability	
II. Randy Lazarus, Owner, advised me that I was	discharged d	aue to my disability	
III. I believe I have been discriminated against because of my age (76) in violation of the Age			
Discrimination in Employment Act of 1967, as amended and because of a perceived disability in			
violation of Title I of the Americans with Disabilities Act of 1990, as amended.			
I want this charge filed with both the EEOC and the State or local Agency, if any. I	NOTARY - When	necessary for State and Loca	al Agency Requirements
will advise the agencies if I change my address or phone number and I will cooperate fully with them in the processing of my charge in accordance with their			GMC1 89 30
procedures. I swear or affirm that I have read the above charge and that it is true to			ve charge and that it is true to
I declare under penalty of perjury that the above is true and correct. the best of my knowledge, information and belief. SIGNATURE OF COMPLAINANT			d belief.
	SIGNATURE OF	OS.M DWANT	
4 2011	SUBSCRIBED AN	ID SWORN TO REFORE ME	THIS DATE
Nov 14, 2011 Schofield CMS	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN TO BEFORE ME THIS DATE (month, day, year)		
Date Charging Party Signature			

EEOC Form 161-A (11/09)

Case 1:13-cy-03340-SCJ Document 1-2 Filed 10/08/13 Page 1 of 1

Notice of Right to Sue

(CONCILIATION FAILURE)

To:	Lois O. Schofield
	3466 Shawnee Trail
	Smyrna, GA 30080

From: Atlanta District Office 100 Alabama Street, S.W. Suite 4R30

Atlanta, GA 30303

410-2012-0	00755	Investigator	(404) 562-6948
		David Hendrickson,	
EEOC Charge	e No.	EEOC Representative	Telephone No.
	On behalf of person(s) aggrieved whose identity is CFR §1601.7(a))	

TO THE PERSON AGGRIEVED:

This notice concludes the EEOC's processing of the above-numbered charge. The EEOC found reasonable cause to believe that violations of the statute(s) occurred with respect to some or all of the matters alleged in the charge but could not obtain a settlement with the Respondent that would provide relief for you. In addition, the EEOC has decided that it will not bring suit against the Respondent at this time based on this charge and will close its file in this case. This does not mean that the EEOC is certifying that the Respondent is in compliance with the law, or that the EEOC will not sue the Respondent later or intervene later in your lawsuit if you decide to sue on your own behalf.

- NOTICE OF SUIT RIGHTS -

(See the additional information attached to this form.)

Title VII, the Americans with Disabilities Act, the Genetic Information Nondiscrimination Act, or the Age Discrimination in Employment Act: This will be the only notice of dismissal and of your right to sue that we will send you. You may file a lawsuit against the respondent(s) under federal law based on this charge in federal or state court. Your lawsuit must be filed WITHIN 90 DAYS of your receipt of this notice; or your right to sue based on this charge will be lost. (The time limit for filing suit based on a claim under state law may be different.)

Equal Pay Act (EPA): EPA suits must be filed in federal or state court within 2 years (3 years for willful violations) of the alleged EPA underpayment. This means that backpay due for any violations that occurred <u>more than 2 years (3 years)</u> before you file suit may not be collectible.

If you file suit, based on this charge, please send a copy of your court complaint to this office.

Enclosures(s)

Bernice Williams-Kimbrough. (Date Mailed)

District Director

Randy Lazarus Owner ATLANTA WOMEN'S MEDICAL CENTER, INC. 500 Kings Highway North Cherry Hill, NJ 08034

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Georgia		
LOIS SCHOFIELD)))
Plaintiff(s	7))
v.	,) Civil Action No.
ATLANTA WOMEN'S MEDICAL C	CENTER, INC.)))
Defendant	(s))
	SUMMONS IN	N A CIVIL ACTION
To: (Defendant's name and address)	Atlanta Women's Medical Co C/O Ms. Stacey Lynn Executive Director 235 West Wieuca Rd. Atlanta,GA 30342	enter, Inc.
A lawsuit has been file	ed against you.	
are the United States or a United P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must	ed States agency, or an officer serve on the plaintiff an ar	you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you icer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. nswer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of tion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are.	Nicholas G. Dumich, Esq 248 Roswell St. Marietta, GA 30060	Telephone: (770) 241-5550
If you fail to respond, You also must file your answe		e entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
		CLERK OF COURT
Date:		
Date:		Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Civil Action No.

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

	This summons for (no	ame of individual and title, if any)	Atlanta Women's Medical Center, Inc.	
was red	ceived by me on (date)		_ •	
	☐ I personally serve	d the summons on the individ	dual at (place)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I left the summon	s at the individual's residence	e or usual place of abode with (name)	
		, a p	person of suitable age and discretion who res	ides there,
	on (date)	, and mailed a cop	y to the individual's last known address; or	
	☐ I served the summ	nons on (name of individual)		, who is
	designated by law to	accept service of process on	behalf of (name of organization)	
			on (date)	; or
	☐ I returned the sum	nmons unexecuted because		; or
	☐ Other (specify):			
	My fees are \$	for travel and \$	for services, for a total of \$	0.00
	I declare under penal	ty of perjury that this information	ation is true.	
Date:				
Dute.			Server's signature	
			Printed name and title	
			Server's address	

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

Print Save As... Reset

Case 1:13-cv-03340-SCJ Document 1-4 Filed 10/08/13 Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

JS44 (Rev. 1/13 NDGA)

The JS44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket record. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ATTACHED)

I. (a) PLAINTIFF(S) LOIS SCHOFIELD 3466 Shawnee Trail Smyrna, GA 30080		DEFENDANT(S) ATLANTA WOMEN'S MEDICAL CENTER, INC. 235 West Wieuca Rd. Atlanta, GA 30342	
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED PLAINTIFF COBB COUNTY (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED DEFENDANTFULTON COUNTY (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED	
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, ADDRESS, TELEPHONE NUMBER, AND E-MAIL ADDRESS) NICHOLAS G. DUMICH. Telephone (770) 241-5550 Attorney at Law Fax: (770) 426-9584 248 Roswell St. Email: ndumich@bellsouth.net Marietta, GA 30060		ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Unknown	
II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)		CIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES CE AN "X" IN ONE BOX FOR PLAINTIFF AND ONE BOX FOR DEFENDANT) (FOR DIVERSITY CASES ONLY)	
1 U.S. GOVERNMENT (U.S. GOVERNMENT NOT A PARTY) 2 U.S. GOVERNMENT (INDICATE CITIZENSHIP OF PARTIES IN ITEM III)	PLF DEF	CITIZEN OF THIS STATE PLF DEF INCORPORATED OR PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN THIS STATE CITIZEN OF ANOTHER STATE 5 INCORPORATED AND PRINCIPAL PLACE OF BUSINESS IN ANOTHER STATE CITIZEN OR SUBJECT OF A FOREIGN COUNTRY 6 6 FOREIGN NATION	
	EEINSTATED OR EEOPENED	TRANSFERRED FROM 5 ANOTHER DISTRICT (Specify District) 6 MULTIDISTRICT 7 FROM MAGISTRATE JUDGE LITIGATION JUDGMENT	
V. CAUSE OF ACTION (CITE THE U.S. CIVIL STATUTE) JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES (UNDER WHICH Y UNLESS DIVERSI	OU ARE FILING AND WRITE A BRIEF STATEMENT OF CAUSE - DO NOT CITE TY)	
•			
(IF COMPLEX, CHECK REASON BELOW)			
Unusually large number of parties. 2 Unusually large number of claims or defenses.		oblems locating or preserving evidence	
		ending parallel investigations or actions by government. Iultiple use of experts.	
4. Greater than normal volume of evidence.			
5. Extended discovery period is needed.	9. Need for discovery outside United States boundaries.10. Existence of highly technical issues and proof.		
COI FOR OFFICE USE ONLY	NTINUED (ON REVERSE	
RECEIPT # AMOUNT \$	APPLY	ING IFP MAG. JUDGE (IFP)	
JUDGE MAG, JUDGE (Referral)	NATUR	E OF SUIT CAUSE OF ACTION	

Case 1:13-cv-03340-SCJ Document 1-4 Filed 10/08/13 Page 2 of 2 VI. NATURE OF SUIT (PLACE AN "X" IN ONE BOX ONLY)

	•			
CONTRACT - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 150 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT & ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT 152 RECOVERY OF DEFAULTED STUDENT LOANS (Excl. Veterans) 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS BENEFITS 153 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS BENEFITS 165 RECOVERY OF OVERPAYMENT OF VETERANS BENEFITS 160 INSURANCE 120 MARINE 130 MILLER ACT 140 NEGOTIABLE INSTRUMENT 151 MEDICARE ACT 160 STOCKHOLDERS' SUITS 199 OTHER CONTRACT 1995 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE 1995 CONTRACT PRODUCT LIABILITY 196 FRANCHISE 1990 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 210 LAND CONDEMNATION 220 FORECLOSURE 230 RENT LEASE & EJECTMENT 240 TORTS TO LAND 245 TORT PRODUCT LIABILITY 290 ALL OTHER REAL PROPERTY TORTS - PERSONAL INJURY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 310 AIRPLANE 315 AIRPLANE 310 AIRPLANE 330 FEDERAL EMPLOYERS' LIABILITY 340 MARINE 345 MARINE PRODUCT LIABILITY 346 MARINE 345 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 355 MOTOR VEHICLE 360 OTHER PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 365 PERSONAL INJURY - MEDICAL MALPRACTICE 365 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CAREF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CAREF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CAREF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CAREF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL INJURY - HEALTH CAREF PHARMACEUTICAL PRODUCT LIABILITY 368 ASBESTOS PERSONAL INJURY - PRODUCT LIABILITY 367 PERSONAL PROPERTY - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 370 OTHER FRAUD 371 TRUTH IN LENDING 388 OTHER PERSONAL PROPERTY DAMAGE 385 PROPERTY DAMAGE PRODUCT LIABILITY 422 APPEAL 28 USC 158	CIVIL RIGHTS - "4" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK 441 VOTING	SOCIAL SECURITY - "0" MONTHS DISCOVERY TRACK		
□423 WITHDRAWAL 28 USC 157				
VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT: CHECK IF CLASS ACTION UNDER F.R.Civ.P. 23 DEMAND \$ To be determined				
JURY DEMAND YES NO (CHECK YES O	NLY IF DEMANDED IN COMPLAINT)			
VIII. RELATED/REFILED CASE(S) IF ANY JUDGE Julie E. Carnes DOCKET NO. 1: 12-cv-658-JEC				
CIVIL CASES ARE DEEMED RELATED IF THE PENDING CASE INVOLVES: (CHECK APPROPRIATE BOX) 1. PROPERTY INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 2. SAME ISSUE OF FACT OR ARISES OUT OF THE SAME EVENT OR TRANSACTION INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 3. VALIDITY OR INFRINGEMENT OF THE SAME PATENT, COPYRIGHT OR TRADEMARK INCLUDED IN AN EARLIER NUMBERED PENDING SUIT. 4. APPEALS ARISING OUT OF THE SAME BANKRUPTCY CASE AND ANY CASE RELATED THERETO WHICH HAVE BEEN DECIDED BY THE SAME BANKRUPTCY JUDGE. 5. REPETITIVE CASES FILED BY PRO SE LITIGANTS. 6. COMPANION OR RELATED CASE TO CASE(S) BEING SIMULTANEOUSLY FILED (INCLUDE ABBREVIATED STYLE OF OTHER CASE(S)):				
7. EITHER SAME OR ALL OF THE PARTIES AND ISSUES IN THIS CASE WERE PREVIOUSLY INVOLVED IN CASE NO. 1:12-cv-658-JEC , WHICH WAS DISMISSED. This case IS IS NOT (check one box) SUBSTANTIALLY THE SAME CASE.				
10-7-2013				

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD

DATE