BEFORE THE STATE GAMING CONTROL BOARD --000--

FEBRUARY 1984 AGENDA

VOLUME I OF III

1150 EAST WILLIAM STREET CARSON CITY, NEVADA

WEDNESDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 1984 9:18 A.M.



REPORTED BY: ERIC V. NELSON, CSR NO. 57

BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT

JAMES AVANCE, CHAIRMAN RICHARD G. HYTE PATRICIA BECKER

ACTING EXECUTIVE SECRETARY

ELSIE McCAW

APPEARANCES

FOR THE BOARD:

MICHAEL WILSON Deputy Attorney General

--000--

1	INDEX	
2		
3	AGENDA ITEM	PAGE
4		
5	RESTRICTED	
6		
7	1. THE PEACE PIPE COCKTAIL LOUNGE	1
8	 GOLDEN ROAD MOTOR INN, INC. THE BREWERY 	1 1
9	4. LA FIESTA DISCOUNT LIQUORS 5. MR. DELI	1
10	6. MAGIC WAND TRUCK STOP 7. CASH, INC.	1 5 5 9 9
11	8. LUCKY STORE, INC. 9. MAYTAG HIGHLANDER CENTER	5 5
12	10. PARKWAY PLAZA LAUNDROMAT 11. STEWART'S CORK AND BOTTLE	9 9
13	12. BOB MORE, INC. 13. BDS, INC.	
14	31. 1099 CLUB 34. DAISY MOTEL AND APARTMENTS	12 13
15		
16	NONRESTRICTED	
17	1. (83-85)	
18	CHEYENNE GAMBLING HALL, INC. 7. (Misc. Item #1)	17
19	GOLD MOUNTAIN, INC. 16. (83-197)	79
20	PONDEROSA HOTEL & CASINO 17. (83-202)	133
21	PONDEROSA HOTEL & CASINO 18. (83-202)	133
22	PONDEROSA HOTEL & CASINO	133
23		
24		
2 9 25		
. J		

1. (83-85) CHEYENNE GAMBLING HALL, INC.

ACTING SECRETARY McCAW: On page 12 of your agenda in the category of Nonrestricted applications -Mr. Chairman, do I read this into the record as item 1?

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Read item 1 in, but the indication over there on the recommendation is incorrect.
We adjourned that meeting, and this is recalendared for today.

ACTING SECRETARY McCAW: This is the application of Frank P. Silver to own 100 percent of Cheyenne Gambling Hall, Inc., dba Cheyenne Gambling Hall and Saloon. As you indicated, Mr. Chairman, this application will be deferred to the end of the agenda?

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: No. This one is right now.

Item 11, the Plantation, will be the last item on the agenda.

MR. PECCOLE: Mr. Chairman, for the record, Bob Peccole, appearing with the licensee, and also present is Dr. Silver, the applicant for licensing.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: We need to have Mr. Silver sworn.

(One witness sworn: Dr. Silver.)

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: We left off in Las Vegas

after two days of hearings a week ago with Mr. Hyte having some questions he wanted to go into. Dick, are you ready at this time?

MEMBER HYTE: Yes.

DR. SILVER: Mr. Hyte, I'd like to bring to your attention to make this part of the record, one of the areas that you brought up at the last meeting as we finished was the fact that 70 employees were terminated. Do you recall that?

MEMBER HYTE: Right.

DR. SILVER: The question I have is where did you get that number of 70?

MEMBER HYTE: We just had that information in your investigative files.

DR. SILVER: It is incorrect, Mr. Hyte. What I have done is reviewed everyone terminated for the last five years at the Crystal Palace, and there was never at any time during the entire course of that five-year period that 70 employees were ever terminated at one time.

I think more importantly is that the general turnover in employees has been roughly over 300 a year, every year. So, that said that there were at least 30 employees turning over every month.

Interestingly enough, the month that you related to, which was the month that Mr. Newman was not

1 there, there was a turnover of 39 employees, of which 2 Mr. Newman personally signed the termination slip for 19. 3 Interestingly enough, when Mr. Newman returned in April of 1979, there were 60 terminations, not 70, and 5 Mr. Newman personally signed 57 of those 60 himself. 6 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Do you have documentation on 7 that that you want to present? 8 MR. PECCOLE: Mr. Chairman, I would like to 9 introduce this as an exhibit. I don't know where we are at 10 on our exhibit numbers. I will have to rely on the 11 secretary. 12 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: We will have to get to them. 13 Let's mark them A, B, and C for today, since the others were 14 numbered, and they will come in on numbers in order. We 15 will mark this as Exhibit A for today. 16 MR. PECCOLE: I believe we used letters. 17 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Did we? This will be No. 1 18 then. 19 (Exhibit 1 marked.) 20 MEMBER HYTE: Mr. Silver, what were the reasons 21 on the termination slips for these dismissals? 22 DR. SILVER: Most of them were either they were 23 going to another casino to work or changing over staff, reduction of staff. 24

MEMBER HYTE: They were going to other casinos?

Yes. DR. SILVER: 1 MEMBER HYTE: So they were voluntary 2 terminations. 3 DR. SILVER: Some were, yes. MEMBER HYTE: How many? 5 DR. SILVER: That I don't know. Mr. Newman 6 terminated them. 7 MEMBER HYTE: But usually it says that on a 8 termination slip whether it is voluntary or not. 9 I didn't go and review every DR. SILVER: 10 application for every employee that left the Crystal Palace. 11 All I was making a point of is your fact is incorrect, that 12 there were not 70 terminated and that out of the 60 that 13 were terminated, 57 of them were terminated by Newman 14 himself. That's the only fact I tried to make or point I 15 tried to make. 16 I have a second document here. I think it is a 17 document of some importance. 18 You reviewed the earnings statement or computer 19 printout March 1, '79, through March 31, '79, where I stated 20 for the record that that was our largest gross month in the 21 absence of Mr. Newman at the time he was in the hospital. 22 MR. PECCOLE: Let me interrupt right here and I 23 will introduce these as Exhibit 2 and 3. 24

25

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: These are additions to the

exhibit you gave us last time; right?

MR. PECCOLE: Yes.

(Exhibits 2 and 3 marked.)

DR. SILVER: At that particular time what we stated was that the gross was \$418,000, and there was a bottom line net of \$46,000. That was our best winning month, and that particular time Mr. Newman was in the hospital.

Going to the next statement there, which is an unaudited printout, computer printout, March 1 through 31, during the time Mr. Newman was there totally by himself -- this is where no -- none of the owners were in the casino at all -- the gross was \$321,000, but as we said, the controller, who is now married to Mr. Newman, who was living with him at the time, if you will look down on the printout statement, you will notice that conveniently depreciation is absent from the 1980 statement. And depreciation was approximately \$7,000. We have that outlined there for you.

Also, if you come further down and you look under department expenses, you will see that, on page 2, our utility bill for the year of 1979 was \$11,000. You will also see as we turn the page that they show utility bill of \$883. We never had an eight -- we have never had a utility bill that's been under seven or eight thousand dollars.

So, they show a net of \$16,000. If you add in

DR. SILVER: Yes. 1 MEMBER HYTE: So they were voluntary 2 terminations. 3 Some were, yes. DR. SILVER: MEMBER HYTE: How many? 5 DR. SILVER: That I don't know. Mr. Newman terminated them. 7 MEMBER HYTE: But usually it says that on a 8 termination slip whether it is voluntary or not. 9 DR. SILVER: I didn't go and review every 10 application for every employee that left the Crystal Palace. 11 All I was making a point of is your fact is incorrect, that 12 there were not 70 terminated and that out of the 60 that 13 were terminated, 57 of them were terminated by Newman 14 himself. That's the only fact I tried to make or point I 15 tried to make. 16 I have a second document here. I think it is a 17 document of some importance. 18 You reviewed the earnings statement or computer 19 printout March 1, '79, through March 31, '79, where I stated 20 for the record that that was our largest gross month in the 21 absence of Mr. Newman at the time he was in the hospital. 22 MR. PECCOLE: Let me interrupt right here and I 23 will introduce these as Exhibit 2 and 3. 24

25

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: These are additions to the

exhibit you gave us last time; right?

MR. PECCOLE: Yes.

(Exhibits 2 and 3 marked.)

DR. SILVER: At that particular time what we stated was that the gross was \$418,000, and there was a bottom line net of \$46,000. That was our best winning month, and that particular time Mr. Newman was in the hospital.

Going to the next statement there, which is an unaudited printout, computer printout, March 1 through 31, during the time Mr. Newman was there totally by himself — this is where no — none of the owners were in the casino at all — the gross was \$321,000, but as we said, the controller, who is now married to Mr. Newman, who was living with him at the time, if you will look down on the printout statement, you will notice that conveniently depreciation is absent from the 1980 statement. And depreciation was approximately \$7,000. We have that outlined there for you.

Also, if you come further down and you look under department expenses, you will see that, on page 2, our utility bill for the year of 1979 was \$11,000. You will also see as we turn the page that they show utility bill of \$883. We never had an eight -- we have never had a utility bill that's been under seven or eight thousand dollars.

So, they show a net of \$16,000. If you add in

\$7,000 for -- Joe, the first number that you gave me for utilites of 11,000 --

MR. PECCOLE: I have right here. That should be Exhibit 2 and this should be Exhibit 3.

MEMBER HYTE: Would you change those?

DR. SILVER: Do you have 1980 now?

MEMBER HYTE: Yes.

DR. SILVER: Well, on 3, on Exhibit 3, utilites are shown as \$883, and on page 1, there is no depreciation listed.

My only reason for bringing this up is that if you look at this, this was tried — this was presented to the federal bankruptcy court I think with deception, and the deception that it tried to show was there was a \$16,000 bottom line. But if you add in the depreciation and you add in the true utility cost, you probably have a \$2,000 loss for the month, and that was on a \$321,000 gross revenue, and that was when none of the, quotes, "trusted friends," were around to watch what was going on that month. I only bring that up as documented fact. I think it should be an important part of the record.

You also had asked a question as to why did the revenue in general seem to fall off over the last several years. We went out and did a survey and we found that in 1980 there were approximately total number of gaming

revenues and devices, there were 1195, and in 1984, there is approximately 3,000.

So that the population base has been divided into a much thinner slice of an enlarging pie. We have that piece of material.

MEMBER HYTE: Mr. Silver, I'd like to point out to you that the year to date figures on these two financial statements that you presented to me show a \$98,000 profit year to date for the period July 1, 1978, through March 31, '79, which was the first ten months of operation at the location.

In 1980, for the period --

DR. SILVER: That is incorrect, Mr. Hyte. That is the second ten months of operation. The first ten months would have gone from fiscal '78 to '79. You are now looking at --

MEMBER HYTE: From July 1, 1978. The property opened in June or July, didn't it?

DR. SILVER: Yes.

MEMBER HYTE: So the very first year when Mr. Newman was there, year to date profit was \$98,209, and by the way, that is the statement that does contain the depreciation and the proper utilities.

The statement that you claim did not contain depreciation and proper utilites shows a loss of a hundred

nine, almost a hundred ten thousand for the period July 1, '79, through March 31, 1980, which is the same ten months, obviously a year later.

DR. SILVER: Year later, yes, that is correct.

MEMBER HYTE: It would be interesting to point

out that Mr. Newman was responsible for all ten months on

operating this property with a profit of 9,000 and that

Mr. Newman was not involved with -- well, he was involved in

the next month.

DR. SILVER: That is incorrect, Mr. Hyte. He was involved. Everything that you have in front of you Mr. Newman is involved with.

MEMBER HYTE: He was involved in the next year up through March.

DR. SILVER: Exactly. This is all Mr. Newman, Mr. Hyte.

MEMBER HYTE: Okay. However, I can point out also that the revenues in the first year were much higher than the revenues in the second year and the third year and fourth year and fifth year they decreased and you are going to address that in a minute.

I would also put forth the theory that perhaps one of the reasons that we have greater expense here is because revenues began to drop, and the fixed expenses remained somewhat stable, and perhaps with the intensity of

the internal bickering between the partners and things at this location, that that may have affected the operations.

So, I think it would be unfair to say that Mr. Newman is totally responsible for all of this decrease between 1979 and 1980.

I should point out that the first year, 1978 through '79, is the only year that that property experienced a profit.

DR. SILVER: Yes, very sad, too. One percent on four million.

MEMBER HYTE: Well, there was a profit, and it also was the highest year for revenues for that property.

DR. SILVER: You, of course, know there were many reasons why that was so.

MEMBER HYTE: Are you going to address those now?

DR. SILVER: I would love to. The first reason is that we were the newest casino there. There were two other casinos at the time, the Riverside and the Nevada Club, and at the time we took the Crystal Palace, we redid the entire interior and therefore we were the new place. That made us fashionable.

Also there was a lot of internal problems going on over at one of the other clubs where people would come in and say they were very uncomfortable there. They liked our

l place.

That went for the first year. Now, you, I am sure, remember that a gas crisis came somewhere in the summer of 1979. And most of our business comes from out of the area, either Phoenix or Southern California. And it is pretty tough to get to Laughlin and back to Southern California on one tank of gas.

We also had a recession type summer, along with the gas crisis, but the major problem was the gas crisis.

I had contemplated opening a gas station there and trying to guarantee having the people be able to get a tank of gas to get back to Southern California if they came to Laughlin.

In any event, that was the major reason for the dip in the revenue.

The second reason is obviously two more casinos opened, they became fashionable. We were less fashionable. You know we are not on the river. We have no boat. We have no ferry. And all of our people have to drive. The gas even for local people affected us because they wouldn't drive around.

It is still even 18 miles to come to our place from Bullhead City and 30 miles from Needles and 55 miles from Lake Havasu. So, if you can get there without using gas, that was the way to go.

I think that was the major reason, the two new casinos, but the big overwhelming problem was gas.

MEMBER HYTE: What about the following years?

DR. SILVER: Well, as the following years went
on, 1980, when the bankruptcy occurred, we were then unable
to improve the place. So we could not stay within the
mainstream of marketing. I mean, here there are brand new
places coming yp, providing new facilities, new rooms. We
have 21 shabby rooms. You weren't even allowed to put new
carpet into it.

MEMBER HYTE: Where would your property be now, your guess, if there was no bankruptcy and no internal fighting in this partnership?

DR. SILVER: I had planned six months after we had opened, I had contacted Brunswick, I was going to put in 72 bowling lanes. The Edgewater has done it now. They are packed. You can't get a place to bowl.

I had the financing set up to do that. I was going to build a hundred forty more rooms. We would have had the largest number of rooms in Laughlin at the time.

MEMBER HYTE: So you would be competitive, very competitive?

DR. SILVER: I think we might have had one of the major places there, yes.

MEMBER HYTE: Why did that not happen?

DR. SILVER: Because I had a partner who would 1 not sign personally for anything. 2 MEMBER HYTE: And then you also chose to do 3 what, file for bankruptcy. You and the other partners chose to file for bankruptcy? 5 DR. SILVER: No, again misquotation. 6 an attorney who is considered to be an expert, and he 7 advised us to file a Chapter 11. Do you feel that that was 8 bad information? 9 MEMBER HYTE: Yes, I do. Who made the 10 decision? 11 DR. SILVER: The attorney. 12 MEMBER HYTE: You let attorneys make decisions 13 for you? 14 DR. SILVER: When I hire them I do. I may have 15 to make the final statement for the decision, but what I am 16 17 saying is my decision --MEMBER HYTE: You made the final statement? 18 DR. SILVER: My decision was predicated on the 19 advice of who I considered to be an expert. 20 MEMBER HYTE: You're placing the 21 responsibilities of going into bankruptcy on that attorney? 22 DR. SILVER: Yes, I did exactly what he told me 23 would be the best move for the creditors and the company. 24 MEMBER HYTE: So you take no responsibility for 25

that decision? 1 DR. SILVER: Well, I guess I am one of the 2 owners of the place. I have to take some responsibility for 3 it. MR. PECCOLE: Mr. Hyte. 5 MEMBER HYTE: Will you take all of the 6 responsibility for it? 7 DR. SILVER: If I did that I wouldn't have 8 needed an attorney, Mr. Hyte. 9 MR. PECCOLE: Mr. Hyte, under the 10 circumstances, as you will recall, Mr. Swartzer, the 11 attorney involved, told this Board why that decision was 12 made. It was to keep the place open so it wouldn't be 13 closed as a result of a receivership that was taking place, 14 receivership action taking place in district court. 15 MEMBER HYTE: There was another alternative 16 that was available. 17 MR. PECCOLE: But that we don't know. That was 18 a questionable alternative. 19 MEMBER HYTE: There was another alternative. 20 MR. PECCOLE: What was that? 21 MEMBER HYTE: To allow the receiver to be 22 appointed other than come to the Commission for emergency 23 approval. 24

25

MR. PECCOLE: Well, but the Commission and

Board don't act that quickly, as you well know. 1 The Commission can act --MEMBER HYTE: 2 MR. PECCOLE: Another thing is you already had, 3 as I recall it, investigators saying, "Close the place." What would you have done then? 5 MEMBER HYTE: The issue was that you knew the 6 Commission may not cooperate and so, you operated not to 7 take that route. Although you knew that there were some 8 problems at that location. 9 DR. SILVER: I knew there were problems but 10 11 I --CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Could we cover new ground? 12 We covered this very thoroughly in Las Vegas. 13 MR. PECCOLE: What is bothering us though right 14 now, it seems that Mr. Hyte is saying that a Chapter 11 is a 15 bad thing, and yet, we have got how many major hotels in 16 Chapter 11? 17 MEMBER HYTE: Chapter 11 generally, although 18 it's not required, Chapter 11 is opted for because of 19 insolvency, and that was not the case here. 20 MR. PECCOLE: Well, so, why does it make it 21 worse now in his case? If you are insolvent it means you 22 were not caring for your business; right? 23 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Mr. Hyte is not going to 24 answer your questions. We are here to ask you questions so 25

1,1

that we can get the answers. MR. PECCOLE: I understand that. But let's get to it. Yes. We will get to it. CHAIRMAN AVANCE: MEMBER HYTE: I have a reason for discussing this. CHAIRMAN AVANCE: All right. MEMBER HYTE: Mr. Chairman. MR. PECCOLE: He is implying that the Chapter 11 was a bad thing. CHAIRMAN AVANCE: All right.

MEMBER HYTE: The owners opted to go into a Chapter 11 in order to avoid a receivership, in order to avoid what they thought in their minds at that time was an inevitable closure by the Commission because of all the problems that they were having at that time with the gaming authorities. And then put the creditors into a less desirable position. In fact, to this day there are some creditors who have not been paid for any debts that occurred at that filing, four-and-a-half years later.

MR. PECCOLE: Your analysis of what happened,
Mr. Hyte, is not what the record reflects now. Mr. Swartzer
testified as to why the Chapter II was used, and I believe
at one point in his testimony he said it actually protected
the creditors in that the place was not closed.

DR. SILVER: That is exactly a fact and that is 1 exactly true. 2 MEMBER HYTE: There was no guarantee that the 3 place had to close because there was another alternative. DR. SILVER: You are saying to me now, 5 Mr. Hyte, that I go out and I get an attorney and the 6 attorney gives me bad information and I follow the advice of 7 the attorney. Now, I agree, if the attorney gave me bad 8 information, I can address that later. 9 But what I am saying to you is I looked at him 10 as an expert. If you come to me and you need an operation, 11 you are going to look to me as an expert, too. If someone 12 down the street says that is not the way to to do it, I 13 don't think you are going to do that. 14 I listened to an expert and I followed the 15 direction of an expert, and the direction of the expert said 16 that the creditors would be protected and that this was not 17 an insolvency problem and the place would be kept open. 18 Now, I can't do any more than follow the advice of an 19 20 expert. MEMBER HYTE: Why was Mr. Newman fired, 21 Mr. Silver? 22 DR. SILVER: Why was he fired? 23 MEMBER HYTE: Yes. 24 The judge dismissed him. When the

DR. SILVER:

judge found out that he was writing checks to people under bogus names, that he was borrowing money out of the cage for himself, he was lending money to people to purchase real estate out of the cage, and he was sleeping with the controller, who he is now married to, the judge -- and they could not report on any financial -- they made a hundred six thousand dollar mistake as they sat explaining to the creditors how much money we had in the cage. And the judge was very nervous over that.

MEMBER HYTE: Mr. Silver, I went back and researched the record on that, and looked at any official pronouncements that were made on that matter, and nowhere did I see any statement made in any final decision or reason for any final decision that was similar to what you just said.

MR. PECCOLE: Mr. Hyte, we will defer to

Mr. Swartzer's testimony. He testified that it was done in

chambers. All three of the partners agreed that Mr. Newman

had to go. And it was done in chambers for the purpose that

they didn't want Mr. Newman to know that he wasn't going to

be there, and I believe there was another defendant they

didn't want the employees to know that he was going.

MEMBER HYTE: Jeff Silver somewhere in his testimony indicated that Newman was replaced by all three owners because he could not communicate dollars or amounts

to the bankruptcy court, not, and I emphasize this, not 1 because of incompetency. That is his quote. 2 MR. PECCOLE: But Mr. Swartzer is the one that 3 handled it all. Mr. Silver only came in to pick a new person to take over as the manager. You got to keep it in 5 context. MEMBER HYTE: What conversations and agreements 7 took place surrounding Marge Friedman's removal in March of 8 9 1980? DR. SILVER: Mr. Newman didn't want anyone 10 there who might be able to watch what was going on and 11 transmit the information to any of the owners. My 12 understanding is that termination just occurred. 13 MEMBER HYTE: Was there an agreement on the 14 15 part of all three of you? DR. SILVER: We had nothing to say about it. 16 MEMBER HYTE: Nothing to say about it. How 17 long -- is Miss Friedman at the Cheyenne now? 18 DR. SILVER: She is bookkeeper, yeah. 19 MEMBER HYTE: Where was she before that? 20 DR. SILVER: Well, she lives in Toledo. 21 been back and forth. She has a sick mother. She spends 22 most of her time either in Las Vegas or in Toledo. 23 MEMBER HYTE: So she lives in Toledo but she 24 works for you? 25

DR. SILVER: No, no. She lives here in Las 1 Vegas. But over the last two years she's been back and 2 forth several months out of the year in Toledo. 3 MEMBER HYTE: Is she on a salary? 4 DR. SILVER: She is now since she started 5 working at the Cheyenne. 6 MEMBER HYTE: Is she full time there? 7 DR. SILVER: Yes. 8 MEMBER HYTE: Is she spending time in Toledo? 9 DR. SILVER: Well, she just came back. She was 10 there for six weeks. 11 MEMBER HYTE: Did you pay her for the time that 12 she was out of town? 13 DR. SILVER: No. 14 MEMBER HYTE: How much do you pay her? 15 DR. SILVER: A thousand dollars a month. 16 MEMBER HYTE: Mr. Silver, do you take any 17 responsibility for this whole chain of events at the Crystal 18 19 Palace? DR. SILVER: I have to take some, I suppose. 20 MEMBER HYTE: Do you accept any responsibility 21 for the problems that have occurred at the Red Rock 22 partnership before it diminished in size? 23 DR. SILVER: Well, I think it worked out 24 excellently. We have nine partners or 11 partners -- nine 25

partners who did exactly what they wanted to. They sold out 1 their investment, made 50 percent on it in less than two 2 3 years. We bought the remaining part of their 4 partnership and we have it now. 5 MEMBER HYTE: How many lie detector tests have 6 7 you taken voluntarily? DR. SILVER: Well, I just took another one last 8 week. 9 MEMBER HYTE: How many have you taken? 10 DR. SILVER: Half a dozen. 11 MEMBER HYTE: How many exactly? 12 DR. SILVER: Well, rather than be caught in a 13 lie -- you have all of them and I have one here today. 14 you want to count them I will go through them with you. But 15 at least half a dozen. 16 MEMBER HYTE: Have you taken any that you have 17 not told us about? 18 DR. SILVER: No. I have never taken one before 19 I took one here. 20 MEMBER HYTE: Okay. But have you taken any in 21 relation to this licensing? 22 DR. SILVER: I have never taken a lie detector 23 24 test. MEMBER HYTE: Have you taken any since you 25

started this hearing that you have not told us about? 1 DR. SILVER: No. 2 MEMBER HYTE: Are there any results of any of 3 those tests that you have not told us about or made available to us? 5 DR. SILVER: To my knowledge, you have all the 6 lie detector tests. 7 MEMBER HYTE: The complete reports? 8 DR. SILVER: With the exception of this one 9 here. 10 MEMBER HYTE: Will you present that now? 11 MR. PECCOLE: Yes, I will. This will be 12 I would like to read into the record what it is. 13 This was a polygraph examination dated February 2nd, '84. 14 The purpose of the examination was to determine if 15 Mr. Silver thought he had intent to deceive or mislead the 16 Gaming Commission when he neglected to include information 17 given to the Commission that a conviction of Gordon Ellis 18 for battery upon the premises of the Crystal Palace was 19 later appealed. 20 The pre-test interview included Mr. Silver 21 stating he felt that he was adequately defending himself 22 from allegations that he had instructed a customer to be

23

24

25

beaten when he stated that the customer who was allegedly

beaten on the property was taken into custody, fined and

convicted the following day. He stated his initial purpose 1 of defending himself of the allegation was suitably met by 2 revealing the details of the incident and to have further 3 elaboration upon the efforts of another owner, Dr. Myer, to appeal that conviction in order that their own casino might 5 become financially liable, would further confront the 6 Commission with facts not necessarily related to his defense 7 in the primary issue of having a customer beaten. 8 any wilfull attempt to mislead the Commission and stated he 9 had never instructed any person, including Gordon Ellis, to 10 be beaten up. The following relevant questions were asked 11 during the examination, along with the selected control 12 questions to protect the truthful person. Mr. Silver's 13 vocal responses were as follows. 14

"Question 2: Regarding your forthrightness in knowingly withholding information from the Gaming Commission, do you intend to answer my questions about that truthfully?

"Answer: Yes.

"5: Did you have intent to mislead the Commission by withholding information about the Ellis appeal?

"Answer: No.

"Number 7: Do you think the appeal relates to your defense that you did not have Mr. Ellis beaten up?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1	"Answer: Yes.
2	"Number 9: Did you have Mr. Ellis beaten up?
3	"Answer: No.
4	"Analysis: After careful analysis of the
5	polygraph data, no deception is indicated. In the opinion
6	of this examiner Mr. Silver was truthful in the above noted
7	statements."
8	We introduce that as Exhibit 4.
9	MEMBER HYTE: Do they give the levels of
10	deception there or truthfulness?
11	There is no scales referred to in this report.
12	(Exhibit 4 marked.)
13	DR. SILVER: Scales?
14	MEMBER HYTE: You read the entire report?
15	DR. SILVER: That was it.
16	MEMBER HYTE: A question that relates to that.
17	Have you been honest and forthright in all comments or any
18	statements you have made to this Board?
19	DR. SILVER: To the best of my knowledge, I
20	have, Mr. Hyte.
21	MEMBER HYTE: Are you completely honest in all
22	your business dealings?
23	DR. SILVER: I try to be. Let's say this.
24	Right now I don't owe anybody any money. I have no unpaid
25	debts. I pay my income taxes every year and I go to work.

MEMBER HYTE: I will take a recess for a 1 minute. 2 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Do you need a recess? 3 MEMBER HYTE: No, I will just sit back for a minute and see if Patty has any questions. 5 I got a little confused on the MEMBER BECKER: 6 report that you submitted this morning, Dr. Silver. It says 7 in 1979 -- this is on the termination of employees -- it 8 says there is, and then you have a number for the months on 9 the terminations that were signed by Delbert Newman? 10 DR. SILVER: Yes. 11 MEMBER BECKER: Now, for some of those months 12 Mr. Newman wasn't working at the establishment. 13 DR. SILVER: Yes. 14 MEMBER BECKER: But his name somehow appears on 15 16 them. DR. SILVER: Well, the month -- he wasn't there 17 for part of one month. I think that is in March where you 18 see someone else signed 20 of them. But then, every month 19 after that he signed virtually all of them. 20 MEMBER BECKER: Okay. And you went through the 21 records of the Crystal Palace and came up with people who 22 were terminated; is that correct? 23 DR. SILVER: Well , let's say people that 24 changed jobs. A comment was made, and I am just defending

the comment that was made that was an incorrect comment.

The comment was that 70 people quit at the Crystal Palace
during the time Mr. Newman was in the hospital. That is an
incorrect statement.

MR. PECCOLE: And that has been several times that figure has come up from both the Board and the Commission, 70 people. We are questioning your information at this date.

MEMBER BECKER: Dr. Silver, you went through -DR. SILVER: To set the record straight,
Mr. Finneran did all of this.

MEMBER BECKER: I am just trying to understand what occurred. That's all.

So these are people that just left the Crystal Palace during that time period?

DR. SILVER: These are employees that turned over. If you look, we turn over 30 employees almost every month. And we have other employees that turned over that month.

MEMBER BECKER: Right. Now you went through calendar months, January, February, March, or Mr. Finneran did -- excuse me -- but in March and April, those obviously, because that is when the documents were signed by other people, are the months that Mr. Newman wasn't on the premises, at least as consistently as he was during the

other months.

If you take other than a calendar month, total of those two months are 99 people. So, there is a possibility over a four-week period there were 70 employees that came and left. I don't really think the number --

DR. SILVER: That is really not correct, Miss Becker. That is not correct.

MR. PECCOLE: And it is not terminations --

DR. SILVER: We are looking at numbers now and you are making up other things that are not what we are looking at. We never ever, fact, we never -- and you stated we had a turnover in one month of 70 employees. Now that's on the record. That was mentioned here. It was mentioned at the Commission.

MR. PECCOLE: And that was supposedly during a period of time when Mr. Liddle had taken over, which was a period I think like two weeks.

DR. SILVER: That is incorrect. All I am trying to do is present correct information. Now this information is available at the Crystal Palace. It's taken from all of our employee jackets.

MEMBER BECKER: What I am saying in March you had 40 individuals -- excuse me -- 39; correct?

DR. SILVER: 39 turnover?

MEMBER BECKER: And April it was 60; is that

1 correct? 2 DR. SILVER: Okay. That's all. MEMBER BECKER: 3 MR. PECCOLE: Miss Becker, I think --CHAIRMAN AVANCE: What is the level of 5 employees there? When we are talking about 30 employees in one month, what is the total employment picture there? 7 DR. SILVER: Well, at this time we probably had 8 about 150 or 160. We were up to probably 200 in the busiest 9 months, and some of this also reflects that some of them go 10 because business starts to fall off also. The point is that 11 it was the statement was made that during Mr. Liddle --12 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: We understand that. 13 The other thing about DR. SILVER: Fine. 14 Mr. Liddle that was not read into the record was the fact 15 that Mr. Liddle, who was accused and charged of illegal wire 16 tapping, the case was dismissed against him. 17 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: I just had one question in 18 regards to some previous testimony. You had hired a 19 20 security firm --21

DR. SILVER: Griffith.

22

23

24

25

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: -- to go down and look at problems, and you were going to be solving some of those. What did they find out? Can you refresh my memory on that? DR. SILVER: Okay. The general concept was

that the operation was loose. General concept was that the bar was a loose operation, that the restaurant was a loose operation, that we didn't have good reception of vendor material, and also we didn't have a good handle on cards of employees for hitting the time clock, and that there was a question of how good our dealers were. But you know, Laughlin is not a place where there is a tremendous employment pool.

Anybody you fire in our place can go across the street and get a job in another place. So what happens is you have to deal with the employees in a little different

kind of level than you do up here, or in Vegas.

MR. PECCOLE: I think an important point, too,
Mr. Avance, is that from having read the Griffith report and
your having read the Doda letter back to us, they both reach
almost the same conclusion, which was that it was being
handled too loosely.

MEMBER BECKER: Dr. Silver, who did you share that report with?

DR. SILVER: The three owners.

MEMBER BECKER: Did you share it with

Mr. Newman?

DR. SILVER: No.

MEMBER BECKER: How could be correct the problems if you didn't tell him what they were?

DR. SILVER: Well, we helped him by telling him there were problems here and problems here and problems here.

. 8

MEMBER BECKER: Mr. Peccole's comment is correct, but there was a six-month time frame in between the two observations.

DR. SILVER: Oh, no. This was ongoing. This was constantly ongoing.

MEMBER BECKER: Between the Griffith report and our undercover operation there was a six months' time difference, the Griffith report being prior to the undercover operation. So obviously, it was not corrected.

DR. SILVER: That is not exactly true. It was corrected. But unfortunately, as I just mentioned, Mr. Avance, it is very difficult or it was very difficult to get help in Laughlin. It takes you a while. In other words, if you have got a poor dealer, it is sometimes better to have a poor dealer than have the game closed. We were faced with that situation many times.

We even started a school to try and get some people to get into dealing so that we could get more dealers because the employment pool is so poor down there. We have changed three or four cooks within one shift in the restaurant. How can you get three or four people to keep a standard procedure going on reception, how many steaks did

you take out and cut, did you mark it down on this sheet?

It is just a very difficult thing to do, believe me, but we did try, Miss Becker.

MEMBER BECKER: But you did not share the report with Mr. Newman?

DR. SILVER: No. If I do an undercover surveillance and I have a guy that is working for me, and I don't really know him well, then I am not going to tell him that I had an undercover person down there watching him.

That's all.

But I am going to go to those areas that the undercover people came out because again, I like to hire professionals. They came down and then said there is a problem here and there is a problem there. We addressed those problems.

We then started to use polygraphs on many of the people there. Mr. Newman didn't like that. In fact, Mr. Newman's son left the day Mr. Finneran took over, stating that he might as well leave anyway, he'll never pass the polygraph.

MEMBER BECKER: Chairman Avance, I don't have any other questions.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Mr. Hyte.

MEMBER HYTE: I'd like to just clarify the record on the terminations. How many employees did you have

DR. SILVER: Well, they didn't all want to 1 leave. We made a lot of them leave. And we had -- I mean, 2 we were hiring people that would drive in on motorcycles and 3 have their bags on the back of the motorcycle. MEMBER HYTE: You testified to me earlier you 5 did not know how many people left voluntarily and how many 6 left --7 DR. SILVER: No, I don't know that exactly.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER HYTE: We can't dwell on that information because we don't have that information before us. All we can assume, the information that we have is that we have had anywhere from 60 down to eight in November of '79 employees leave in any one month.

Now I would like to point out in '79, there were a total of 405 turnovers at that property.

MR. PECCOLE: Mr. Hyte, could I ask you a question? Didn't you have this information available to you when you made the accusation that 70 people were terminated by Mr. Liddle?

The information that was MEMBER HYTE: available was from our summary information. I am developing new information here.

MR. PECCOLE: Well, supposedly, you shouldn't be developing new information. This information should be information that was available.

MEMBER HYTE: Mr. Peccole, your clients provided me with this information. I am not going to ignore it.

MR. PECCOLE: It was submitted for the purpose that you said 70 were terminated by Mr. Liddle.

MEMBER HYTE: Fine. Assuming this information is correct, I will concede on that issue. I am going to develop some other issues here for a minute.

1980, there were 339 turnovers. 1981, 334. 1982, 340. 1983, 275.

Keep in mind, that in 1983, '82, '81 and 1980, there were other properties that opened, and as indicated on your schedule here, were potential reasons why you had many employees leave. However, you don't have an indication like that in '79.

The point I am going to make is that in 1979, when there was no real draw of those employees because of competition, you did have a high turnover. That was during the period of time when there was a lot of internal fighting in the property.

Since the receiver was appointed or since the bankruptcy -- excuse me -- since the bankruptcy was filed, all three partners have been removed from the location and do not deal with the property on a day-to-day basis.

DR. SILVER: Let's look at one thing to make

your numbers not really speak correctly. Okay? What was 1 the total number of employees employed during the month of 2 February 1979? 3 MEMBER HYTE: Let me ask you that. That's the point. How can you DR. SILVER: 5 make the statement without having that number? 6 MEMBER HYTE: You told me it is anywhere from a 7 hundred fifty to 200. 8 DR. SILVER: That is 25 percent different. Now 9 you are taking one number. If we take 36 against -- we had 10 more people employed in 1979, Mr. Hyte. Let's make the 11 numbers accurate now. 12 MEMBER HYTE: How many people were employed in 13 the year 1979? 14 DR. SILVER: I don't know exactly but we had 15 more people employed in '78 and '79 than we had in '80, '81, 16 '82 or right now. We right now have the lowest number. 17 MEMBER HYTE: Please don't argue with me, 18 Mr. Silver. 19 I am not arguing. Can't I give DR. SILVER: 20 correct information? Is that a problem? 21 I asked you how many employees MEMBER HYTE: 22 you had working there in 1979 on the average. 23 DR. SILVER: And I told you that I didn't know 24 exactly. But I did tell you an approximate amount. 25

then you have extrapolated from that and you have come down 1 to get a number where you have started out with the number that we don't know from the beginning. That's all I am saying is I don't think that that's mathematics there.

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MEMBER HYTE: I developed enough information in I don't need to ask any more questions in that this area. area. I am through asking questions.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Any other questions, Miss Becker?

> I don't have any. MEMBER BECKER:

DR. SILVER: Could I just make one statement, Mr. Avance and I know we will be finished.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: If you could make it brief.

DR. SILVER: I would like to make one statement. Over the last year now we went out and we worked, and this is really for you, Mr. Hyte, because I think that one of the concerns we keep talking about is this bankruptcy, but I was able to get six major saving and loan institutions, federally insured saving and loan institutions to come forward and to commit seven million dollars to me to buy a place that is in bankruptcy in the State of Nevada where there is a lot of bankruptcies going on and a lot of insolvencies.

This was not a trust fund. This was not a pension fund. But this was a plain old savings and loan, federally insured, that would have provided another 400 jobs down in Laughlin and would have paid all of these creditors off, including interest to the unsecured creditors and also would have opened this new place that we have over there.

Now, I think that's a hell of a wise man that can do that in an atmosphere that we are having today. Last week the Marina just also declared a problem because apparently, it was a convenient thing for them to do because of their situation with their landlord.

so I would just like -- that should go on record that I think that was a wonderful business move. I certainly hope that the Board views that as a thing that would be a very positive thing for this state.

MEMBER BECKER: Dr. Silver, was part of that money the money raised from the Hoover group, part of the seven million you are talking about?

DR. SILVER: There was no money raised from the Hoover group. Okay?

MEMBER BECKER: Is the commitment --

DR. SILVER: There was no money raised from the Hoover group. There are six savings and loans who had committed seven million dollars to me based on my personal guarantee to buy the Crystal Palace. I have a travel lodge franchise and I was going to build --

MEMBER BECKER: That is totally separate from

the Hoover group. 1 DR. SILVER: That has nothing to do with the 2 Hoover group. 3 MEMBER HYTE: How about Mr. Hoover? 4 DR. SILVER: That has nothing to do with him. 5 MEMBER HYTE: None of those savings and loans 6 were not associated with Mr. Hoover? 7 DR. SILVER: They are not associated with 8 9 Mr. Hoover. MEMBER HYTE: Or any people in the Hoover 10 group? 11 DR. SILVER: Or none of the people in the 12 Hoover group. 13 MEMBER BECKER: Or introduced by them. 14 DR. SILVER: The only one, Mr. Hoover, who has 15 a title company, was able to go out and to put this package 16 out into a variety of these banks. These banks then had 17 their board of directors review it and determine whether or 18 not they thought it was a judicious and prudent business 19 investment. 20 MEMBER BECKER: So this is the package that 21 Mr. Hoover --22 DR. SILVER: This is not a package that has 23 anything to do with Mr. Hoover other than Mr. Hoover acting 24 25 as a title company.

MEMBER BECKER: So he submitted it to obtain the financing. I don't question that they approved you. I am not at issue with you on that. I am just trying to understand. This is the package he submitted.

DR. SILVER: Yes, ma'am., we are paying Mr. Hoover as a financial finder or title company to go out and try and put financing together for us. There are two companies in California that we are also using and they weren't quite as quick as he was.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Other questions or comments?

MEMBER BECKER: Does Mr. Peccole have a
statement?

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Do you have anything, Mr. Peccole?

MR. PECCOLE: I will try to be brief. Just in the days of these hearings that we have had in this matter, the things that I have seen raised here are just more or less a recall of what had already been before the Commission, and that they had put to rest. The bickering between the partnership, that is admitted.

How do you get to be a good businessman in gaming if you don't go through some trials and tribulations? That's what happened here. It doesn't make him any less a good businessman, and obviously, the implication has been he doesn't know what he is doing.

But everybody that we brought forward, the letters that you have, indicate that he is a solid businessman. Not only that, he is honest and he has integrity.

We have heard allegations thrown out here, again, the Ellis matter. That was a gray herring as far as I am concerned. The question was did Mr. Silver have Mr. Ellis beat up in the club. That was the insinuation that was left in the record.

So, we came forward and showed what had happened. There was a fight that took place. It was instituted by Mr. Ellis.

But aside from all that, got to come back to the question: Is Dr. Silver capable of running a one owner operation with a good manager. He doesn't have any additional partners.

My opinion is he's very capable of doing that.

I would also point out that there is this insinuation that keeps going around that his Red Rock medical partnership didn't make it. Everything in the record indicates that it did.

Now, I don't know how you guys do business, but partnerships have problems all the way along. You just learn from each individual partnership problem, and you go to the next one.

It is just like I think even Jeff Silver said it, Mr. Bible said it. It can be like a marriage that falls apart. That doesn't mean that you are not capable of going out and getting married again.

I know that the insinuation here has also been that Dr. Silver has not been honest, and then all of a sudden the question is how many polygraphs have you taken and all that. He simply went to have those polygraphs taken to try to show this Board that he is trying to be truthful and honest with you people.

For some reason, it is not getting through because the implication keeps coming back in his face that you are not being truthful with us.

Now, we haven't seen any of the evidence that you say has been developed that would indicate that he caused the problems at Crystal Palace. I think each of you have to admit that it was a partnership problem. It wasn't just Dr. Silver, as your initial '79 reports indicated.

I think that now that you have heard both sides, I think that you cannot truthfully say that it wasn't a partnership blowup, and that it worked itself out. It is in Chapter 11. It could have even been more successful and came out of the Chapter 11, depending on how this Board handled it.

I think that you have a man that is capable of

being a licensee, and I would ask you to read the Jolcover letter. Mr. Jolcover was an audit agent for this Board. His letter is astounding because he is a licensee himself. He is in accounting. And he says, "This man standing next to me is highly capable and would be an actual benefit to the gaming community."

I think that you have got to look at a situation from the standpoint that hey, we are in a society where you give a guy a chance to go out and give it the good old college try. If he doesn't make it, then it goes under.

I also would ask the Board, if you have doubts, then consider a limited license. He is not afraid to accept the responsibility of a limited license.

He indicates that there may be some problem with the Crystal Palace financing. But I think aside from that --

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: That is a different question.

MR. PECCOLE: That is a different question

altogether.

DR. SILVER: Mr. Hyte, I'd just like to also tell you we are negotiating with Caesars Palace to do their health care and also with Centel to take care of all their employees out at Red Rock. The viability of Red Rock is just moving right forward.

SIERRA NEVADA REPORTERS - (702) 329-6560

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Any other comments or

questions by the Board before we have a motion? 1 MEMBER HYTE: I have some comments that I'd

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

like to make just for the record.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Now is the time.

MEMBER HYTE: I feel that Mr. Silver has not been completely honest in answering and setting the record straight on several incidences that we have discussed here today, particularly the Ellis incident.

I have reason to believe that Mr. Silver has not been honest and forthright in answering questions during the closed session relating to his professional practice. can't believe that Mr. Silver can honestly state that he's blameless for the Crystal Palace situation.

Mr. Silver was a key partner who chose to fight rather than take a mature look at the reality of things and negotiate some solutions. All the partners are guilty. I am not blaming Mr. Silver completely for this.

Collectively they failed. The property is run smoothly only after they were removed. I put forth the fact that it's not just because Mr. Newman was removed.

I think that all three had no intentions of resolving their differences before two of them forced themselves and voluntarily went into bankruptcy.

I think that Mr. Silver's repeated argument that Mr. Myer and Newman is to blame is weak when the final results are analyzed. The property experienced the highest revenues during the time that they were there, the highest they have ever achieved.

And the first year was the only year that the property made any profit, which is good, I think, considering the fact that the first year most properties experience some start-up expenses and other inefficiencies. Mr. Silver's unwillingness to accept the blame indicates in my mind that he lacks the required level of business maturity and business probity.

A key element of success in any business is to recognize corporate and personal weaknesses and to make plans to correct them. No one, and I repeat no one is perfect. All humans err occasionally. Some more than others. I think Mr. Silver has gone out of his way to show this Board and the Commission that he can do no wrong.

Not a realistic and mature response in my mind. I believe the decision by Mr. Silver and Brown to follow counsel and to file bankruptcy only to avoid a perceived closure by the Gaming Commission showed their unwillingness to deal with the gaming authorities directly and the true spirit of gaming.

It was obvious to them that the Board had some problems with their actions, but they may have been correctable after approval by the Commission of a court

appointed receiver, which I might add did not have to be Mr. Newman. It could have been someone that all three of them could have agreed on.

I think that the bankruptcy has hurt the creditors, not because the company was insolvent, because it wasn't. But because they needed to avoid a receivership which would not have hurt the creditors if the Gaming Commission had appointed the receiver.

Mr. Silver's attitude toward this Board and prior Boards may make it difficult to deal with him as a licensee in our regulatory responsibilities. And another thing on the bankruptcy. I feel that they have unfairly excluded one of the partners of their organization and the receivership would have allowed all the partners equal proportion at grounding.

I think that many of Mr. Silver's written credit references were manufactured and not spontaneous on the part of the referer. Those are some of my feelings.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Comments, Miss Becker?

MEMBER BECKER: Chairman Avance, I will spare

you comments because my motion is so lengthy.

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Accept a motion.

MEMBER BECKER: Do you have comments first?

CHAIRMAN AVANCE: No, I have no comments.

MEMBER BECKER: Mr. Chairman, I would move,

pursuant to the Board's statutory authority under NRS 463.1405, subsection (2), that we recommend denial of Dr. Silver's application on the following grounds: Pursuant to NRS 463.170, subsection (1), the applicant has failed to meet his burden of proving to the Board that he is qualified to receive a license, having due consideration for the proper protection of the health, safety, morals, good order and general welfare of the inhabitants of the State of Nevada and declared policy of this state.

Pursuant to 463.170, subsection (3)(a), the applicant has failed to satisfy the Board that he has adequate business probity, competence and experience in gaming or generally. Indeed, the applicant has only had a short and unsuccessful prior gaming experience. While at the Crystal Palace he would either hire individuals unqualified for the positions he placed them in or else he would not allow his manager to do his job. This directly contributed to the constant and very high rate of changes in personnel.

I would like it noted for the record that in the response to the order to show cause filed by the Crystal Palace attorney on June 28th, 1979, in response to the Gaming Control Board's allegations that there was a large turnover of employees, it states: "Our employment turnover has amounted to 343 employees since January 1979. This

figure includes both those employees terminated and those having resigned. The casino has had as many as 240 employees but due to adverse business conditions the casino presently has approximately a hundred sixty-five employees."

Obviously, either the records presented today or this were incorrect. Both were presented on behalf of the Crystal Palace.

Dr. Silver was repeatedly questioned by the Gaming Control Board, both in the order to show cause and at the May 1979 hearing, and in my comments on the motion today, any transcripts that are referred to, the entire transcript will be presented to the Commission so that if I excerpted just a portion, we will make sure the Commission gets the entire transcript.

Dr. Silver was repeatedly questioned by the Gaming Control Board in regard to Marge Friedman's qualifications, yet she remained employed at the Crystal Palace and was earning more than the assistant general manager. Now she is employed at the Cheyenne Gambling Hall.

Pursuant to NRS 463.170, subsections (2)(a),

(b) and (c), this Board is not satisfied that the applicant

is a person of good character, honesty and integrity,

whereas he appears to the Board as a person who refuses to

accept responsibility for his own actions, but rather always

makes excuses.

For example, he now claims the previous manager at the Crystal Palace was incompetent but testified before the prior Gaming Control Board, two prior, I mean, it would be the Trounday, Bunker, Stratton Board, in May of 1979 that the manager was competent.

Dr. Silver did not allow the manager to do his job. Also in interviews with individuals in the Laughlin area, including members of the town council, the comments on Del Newman were consistenly favorable while the comments in regard to Dr. Silver and his ability in gaming were consistently unfavorable.

The Board notes that the district judge originally named Delbert Newman as manager, Delbert Newman the manager as the receiver, but Mr. Newman refused the offer.

Dr. Silver insists that all his problems with the Gaming Control Board are related to Dr. Myer. But the Board records revealed that information -- excuse me -- that informant information led to an undercover operation at the Crystal Palace. The reports of the agents of that undercover operation are consistent and are not favorable to Dr. Silver.

And Dr. Silver on a number of occasions over the last two months, along with Dr. Brown, stated that Dr. Myer created all of the problems. Yet in May 1979,

Mr. Bunker informed Dr. Silver that the problems were from other areas other than Dr. Myer's complaints.

Dr. Silver was not accurate in filing his
personal history application with the Gaming Control Board
in that he failed to list 23 lawsuits. This becomes very
relevant in reviewing Dr. Silver's original licensing
hearing on April 12th, 1978, which beginning on page 82
states by Mr. Jeff Silver: "Did there ever come a time when
you filed your application for this gaming license that you
knew something about Garramone other than the fact he was a
bad risk as far as the loan is concerned? Did you learn
anything about him as far as his reputation in the law
enforcement community?"

*Dr. Silver: No. Had I known that he would have never gotten the money. I mean, he had some very good people that were in his company. He had several physicians from town. He had Bob Maheu from Summa Corporation. And he had some people that were big business people from L.A. that were at this meeting when they were trying to get the company off the ground and it is certainly a very legitimate company. They sell health food and I guess if run properly it should be a very successful company."

"Mr. Jeff Silver: Why did you leave the 15,000 obligation that Mr. Garramone received from you off of your invested capital questionnaire?"

"Dr. Silver: Well, it is a bad debt. I don't 1 think it should be listed there, if I am writing it off as a 2 bad debt." 3 "Mr. Jeff Silver: You are not doing that. 4 still have an expectation to collect it." 5 "Dr. Silver: That is only in my mind, sir. 6 That is not in material things." 7 "Mr. Jeff Silver: Has your accountant advised 8 you that this was a write-off item?" 9 "Dr. Silver: My accountant advised me I did 10 the wrong thing and my chances of getting the money back are 11 just about nil." 12 "Mr. Jeff Silver: How would you characterize 13 the omission of that item on your submission to us? Was it 14 purposeful or willful omission or a mistake?" 15 "Dr. Silver" --16 MR. PECCOLE: Could I ask you, is that from a 17 hearing that took place where they voted and removed his 18 limited license condition? 19 MEMBER BECKER: Mr. Peccole, I would like to 20 finish the motion. It is April 12th. 21 MR. PECCOLE: There has got to be some kind of 22 res judicata, double jeopardy. We are going back into 23 stuff --24 CHAIRMAN AVANCE: You can raise that at an 25

appropriate ti 1 12th, 1978, I will make M 2 ch is a public record is sure that the & 3 submitted to th $\mathcal{O}^{\mathcal{V}^{\mathsf{T}}}$ 4 "Dr. Silver: I think it is a mistake in how it 5 I mean, I have lent several thousands of dollars 6 is viewed. to many people over the years that I have not gotten paid 7 I didn't list them in that application." 8 This is where it becomes pertinent. 9 "Mr. Jeff Silver: So, there are others that 10 may still be outstanding obligations that you have not 11 listed?" 12 "Dr. Silver: That is possible." 13 "Mr. Jeff Silver: Well, I don't know whether 14 you appreciate the importance of the information we receive, 15 but we have to be able to evaluate your application on your 16 best recollection of the truth and without any omissions so 17 that we can decide whether or not you are a proper person to 18 be licensed in the State of Nevada." 19 "Dr. Silver: That application was submitted to 20 the best of my recollection with no error in it. 21 is an error, it was a honest error of nonrecollection of

6

22

23

24

25

that." "Mr. Jeff Silver: But there is an error in that the item was not listed."

"Dr. Silver: It was not listed." 1 "Mr. Jeff Silver: So there is that one error." 2 "Chairman Trounday: You also did not list all 3 the lawsuits you have been involved with in the past" -excuse me -- "Chairman Trounday: You also did not list all 5 the lawsuits you have been involved in your past; is that 6 correct?" 7 "Dr. Silver: Yes, that is true." 8 "Chairman Trounday: Why did you not list all 9 of those?" 10 "Dr. Silver: Well, they were lawsuits that 11 they seemed absolutely -- first of all, there is a large 12 block in there of medical malpractice suits which have been 13 filed. Many of them were filed and dropped. Okay? 14 "Now, some of them have been filed right now 15 and were supposed to have been dropped, which they have not 16 been, and if you find this incriminating, then I think that 17 it is important because there is one specific suit in there 18 which was filed by error. The attorneys filed it by error. 19 They called me and said it was a mistake. They were 20 withdrawing the suit. 21 "Now, you people are saying that this content 22 of not listing these things is very important, and I agree. 23 But under those terms, I did not know that that suit was not 24 withdrawn. Okay? That suit still stands. 25

investigators told me that.

"Now, there are several other suits in there, one when I moved to this state, a moving company moved me and there was a discrepancy in how much the move cost. It was twice as much as what they told me it would be. And there were several thousands of dollars of damage done to the things that were moved. They asked me to settle the moving thing and I asked them to settle the damage problem. And it all ended up by me just paying them. They filed the suit, collected from me and that was the end of that. I still haven't filed suit against them or not collected for the damaged furniture."

"Chairman Trounday: The magnitudes of the lawsuits you didn't list doesn't concern me other than the fact you didn't list them. So we had to dig the material out."

"Dr. Silver: I specifically told the investigator that medical malpractice suits, there are many doctors who have many of them filed against them. I use them as one category, and if that was not sufficient, again, that was my error in my thinking. They are all matters of record. There was nothing trying to be hidden."

Then on this pending application Dr. Silver's explanation to the Commission at the November 17th, 1983 hearing, beginning on page 76 reads, by Mr. Peccole: "Then

the next question I had posed was having to do with the involvement of different lawsuits. Now that evidently was a big concern to the Control Board and I would like Dr. Silver to explain the situation concerning his litigations."

"Dr. Silver: Yes. The litigation is" -excuse me -- "The litigious nature comment made by the
Control Board, over the course of 20 years almost of
practice I've been instructed by collecting agencies if we
perform a medical service we should be entitled to be paid
for it and if we are not paid for it we can institute some
kind of lawsuit.

"Several of these lawsuits represent my collecting agency instituting a lawsuit for me to collect an unpaid professional fee. Then there are several other lawsuits involving a Rayco station that I purchased in Needles, that the man who owned it fraudulently sold it."

MR. PECCOLE: That should have been radio.

MEMBER BECKER: "I thought using the good court system and suing the man to try to get my down payment back was the correct way to go about it. Apparently, Miss Becker said that's being litigious.

"We also have a medical center on West
Charleston and the builder with the kind of materials he
used to build it and with trees that wouldn't grow, and we
refused pay him until he replanted our trees and made our

roof not leak and all of the various things that involved this 45 million dollar building. Several other lawsuits were filed.

"Needless to say I have also been -- right now I have three medical malpractice suits of which two are being summarily dismissed because they have no case.

Lawyers can file a lawsuit against a physician and after finding out they do not have a case they can drop the lawsuit.

Now, I have never had to pay a malpractice claim that I was guilty of malpractice in my 20 years of practice. However, I have been sued. That is the extent of my being litigious.

"I would say that more than half of the suits that you are talking about are lawsuits that I filed against a variety of people."

"Commissioner Avansino: Would it be accurate to characterize that as 33 different lawsuits?"

"Dr. Silver: An interesting comment, sir.

When I sat before the investigators they asked me how many lawsuits I have filed. I said to them approximately 10 or 11. My answer was only based on the fact I was talking about suits that were filed against me. I didn't even take into consideration me suing someone else. I followed by saying, 'however, if my answer is not correct, it is

certainly a matter of record to go down to the courthouse and count them up.' I wasn't trying to be deceptive or --"

"Chairman Bible: Doctor, the concern, I believe to be more precise, was on your personal history record, which you completed and signed. You listed 10 and our investigators revealed 33. So they felt -- it was a feeling that there was a material deviation between the 10 you listed and the 33 that were found."

"Dr. Silver: But I did tell them when they said how many, I was talking from the ones that I was sued for, not the ones I had sued someone else, and I did follow it by saying if I'm not correct it is obviously a matter of record."

The burden is not on Gaming Control Board investigators to ascertain what lawsuits Dr. Silver is involved in but on Dr. Silver to accurately file his application.

Further, Dr. Silver did not share with his attorney the fact that an order to show cause was filed against the Crystal Palace. That order to show cause outlined the Board's concerns but nothing was done to correct those concerns.

Prior to the filing of the order to show cause,
Dr. Silver attended a Gaming Control Board hearing on May
9th, 1979, to evaluate his one-year limited license. At

that time Mr. Liddle, Mr. Kuchs and Miss Friedman's lack of gaming experience were discussed with Dr. Silver. At the end of that hearing the Board took a public vote to issue an order to show cause, a very unusual act for a Gaming Control Board.

At that meeting Dr. Silver stated in response to a question from Chairman Trounday:

"Chairman Trounday: Let me pursue that for just a moment. I think you stated, Dr. Silver, that you were in fact running the place, particularly when -- I have forgotten the name of the casino manager -- was ill. You are the man that was in fact running the operation; is that true?"

"Dr. Silver: Yes. Basically some of the business decisions were made along with Dr. Brown, who is another 17 percent shareholder, and combined we do control the decisions made. On several occasions Dr. Myer was asked to sign personally for some things which we needed to buy and he refused to. And he has done everything to try and impede anything we have tried to do in terms of expanding the business operation."

Although Drs. Brown and Silver were voting to control the company, the problems were not resolved. The Griffith report was not shared with the general manager even though it occurred in late 1978. The problems were still

ongoing in May of 1979.

In fact, Chairman Trounday stated at that meeting that -- By Chairman Trounday: "Now, we are in the posture of dealing with the extension of a one-year limited license applicable to Dr. Frank Silver. My concern for you, Dr. Silver, and as well as Mr. Bixler, is that I think you have been the most active partner from all indications that we have. Whatever problems that are there, we have heard a good number, plus having our own observations there. So, in essence, I somewhat have to hold you as being the most responsible in this sense."

This Board is not satisfied that Dr. Silver is a person whose prior activities and habits do not pose a threat to the public interest of this state, or to the effective regulation and control of gaming, or create or enhance the -- excuse me -- to the effective regulation and control of gaming or create or enhance the dangers of unsuitable methods and activities in the conduct of gaming or the carrying on of the business.

This is because of his dismal prior involvement with gaming at the Crystal Palace, his litigious nature and attempts to immunize himself from potential judgment creditors by shielding his assets and not carrying insurance.

Also, Dr. Silver made a determination to file

for reorganization under the protection of the federal bankruptcy judge to the detriment of the Crystal Palace's creditors -- to use the court as a shield against gaming authorities.

Dr. Silver's attitude about the Ellis matter deserves comment. Dr. Silver knowingly misled his attorney and the Commission. First, there is no confusion about the civil and the criminal cases. The Board relied upon the fact the Crystal Palace had settled a civil lawsuit brought by Mr. Ellis. Dr. Silver then presented to the Commission a criminal conviction for Mr. Ellis, two separate issues, civil, criminal.

The issue is not whether you asked to have someone beat up. The issue is the fact that you presented a conviction of battery by a justice of the peace to the Commission, and failed to tell them that it had been appealed and that the district judge after a full hearing, a hearing in which, although you weren't present in the courtroom, you were present outside the courtroom, and the district attorney, there was a D.A. involved, chose not to call you as a witness. Then that criminal conviction of battery by a justice of the peace was reversed.

Mr. Ellis was found after a new trial, a full trial on the merits, to be not guilty. That was not shared with the Commission.

Dr. Silver's attitude is accurately reflected
in his comments to the Gaming Control Board made on May 9th,

1978.

"Dr. Silver: Fine. I am in it now." Talking about the gaming business. "I am trying to learn. I am trying to learn as much as I can about it. But unfortunately, I do have a background in business. This is another form of a business, I believe.

Now, right at this point, I was told last year that the condition for that license was my association with Mr. Garramone. I have not seen or spoken with Mr. Garramone. I have had nothing to do with them. I have carried out all of the particular directives this Board and this Commission gave me. Now if there is a problem involving this casino, it's not one of the casino making money. It is doing very well.

"If we have a problem with a dissident stockholder, that is true. He doesn't like the way the majority of the people who have the majority of the money are running it.

"Well, that is tough apples. That is a business decision. If he doesn't like it, he will have to take other methods to correct it.

"But I can't in any way see how that affects why I am here today. I am here to remove the condition on a

license which has to do with Mr. Garramone. I have complied in every way with this Board. I don't treat his wife anymore. His father was operated on. I refuse to have anything to do with their surgery.

Now I don't know what this Board wants me to do regarding any other condition they didn't state last year."

"Chairman Trounday: Any time there is a problem in a particular operation, we have a concern."

"Dr. Silver: Okay."

"Chairman Trounday: Just a moment. There has been a problem brought to our attention that there is a considerable amount of disagreement among the various stockholders. We just want to find out what that is all about."

"Dr. Silver: Let me say one thing,
Mr. Trounday. When we bought this place from Mr. Soper and
Mr. Rogers, there were 15 other shareholders who were quite
upset about the whole thing. I don't know if that was
brought to light at the time we bought it. Was it?"

"Mr. Bixler" -- who is Dr. Silver's attorney --

"Dr. Silver: But they were quite upset at the time. I didn't feel that it was in the domain of a licensing board to take a position with some of the dissidence that goes on within the operation of the

business.

"The business was just checking out -- was just checked out by the Control Board. They came in. They run our cage down and they have done that several times. They watched us through our soft and hard count, and we were told that everything was fine:

"We are making money down there and we run a pretty clean and honest establishment. I cannot for the life of me understand what the problem is here today."

"Chairman Trounday: The problem is your year is up and we want to talk to you about the problem that we have heard that is taking place there. If you think we don't have the prerogative to go into that area, that is your business. But I am telling you right now, don't push it too far because we have a serious concern about some of the things that are taking place down there. And we have some good solid information that didn't come from anybody involved in the organization, period."

"Dr. Silver: Fine. We are ready to try and defend that, if we can."

"Chairman Trounday: We just wanted to find out from you what is happening, and that is why I asked the question. I think Mr. Bunker has some questions. We want some answers to the questions that have been brought up."

I do not believe that the polygraph

1	examinations overcome the facts as they have been presented.
2	Dr. Silver in his own mind may believe that he has not
3	misled anyone. But in fact, I think he has misled the
4	Commission and this Board. For these reasons I recommend
5	denial of his application.
6	MR. PECCOLE: I'd like to make one comment.
7	From the length
8	CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Not at this point,
9	Mr. Peccole.
10	MR. PECCOLE: From the length, Miss Becker had
11	already made up her mind. It was not a meeting that would
12	have made any difference.
13	CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Mr. Peccole, next Thursday
14	will be your opportunity.
15	MEMBER BECKER: Mr. Peccole, this was a cut and
16	paste job that was done last night, which incorporated
17	Dr. Silver's comments today.
18	MR. PECCOLE: You made your decision last
19	night. Why did we have to come down here?
20	MEMBER BECKER: Which incorporated Dr. Silver's
21	comments today.
22	CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Any other comments, Mr. Hyte?
23	MEMBER HYTE: I have none.
24	CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Madam Secretary.
25	ROLLCALL

ACTING SECRETARY McCAW: Aye. MISS BECKER: Aye. Aye. MR. HYTE: MR. AVANCE: CHAIRMAN AVANCE: Next item, please.