

26th June 2011

Abortionist Report: Dr. Larry Burns, D.O.



[[http://1.bp.blogspot.com/-FgjADGzvaAo/Tgf-](http://1.bp.blogspot.com/-FgjADGzvaAo/Tgf-Yqqdhxl/AAAAAAAAAck/24Q2rFKtZXA/s1600/Larry+Burns+Clinic.png)

[Yqqdhxl/AAAAAAAAAck/24Q2rFKtZXA/s1600/Larry+Burns+Clinic.png](http://1.bp.blogspot.com/-FgjADGzvaAo/Tgf-Yqqdhxl/AAAAAAAAAck/24Q2rFKtZXA/s1600/Larry+Burns+Clinic.png)]

The intention of this post is to summarize the lawsuits brought against Larry Burns since 1990. Burns is the doctor at the [Abortion Surgery Center](http://www.abortionsurgerycenter.com/) in Norman, OK. The clinic's address is: 2453 Wilcox Drive Norman, Oklahoma 73069.

I used [The Oklahoma State Courts Network](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/start.asp?viewType=) website to begin my research and completed my research by obtaining the actual files connected to the court dockets through [my county court clerk's office](http://www.clevelandcountycourtclerk.com/).

Summary of Lawsuits

1. [CJ-1990-86](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=91901&db=Cleveland)
 Date Filed: January 12, 1990
 Charge: Damages
 Result: dismissed without prejudice

2. [CJ-1994-729](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=105320&db=Cleveland)
 Date Filed: April 21, 1994
 Charge: Negligence
 Result: dismissed with prejudice

3. [CJ-1997-2002](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=107406&db=Cleveland)
 Date Filed: September 26, 2007
 Charge: Medical Malpractice
 Result: dismissed with prejudice

4. [CJ- 1999-1540 \[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=118140&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=118140&db=Cleveland)

Date Filed: July 23, 1999

Charge: Medical Malpractice

Result: dismissed without prejudice

5. [CJ-2002-63 \[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=251651&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=251651&db=Cleveland)

Date Filed: January 11, 2002

Charge: Medical Negligence

Result: dismissed with prejudice

Helpful Definitions

Plaintiff: This is the person filing the lawsuit.

Defendant: This is the person facing allegations.

Dismissed with Prejudice: This means that no more lawsuits may be filed based on the evidence used in this lawsuit.

Dismissed without Prejudice: This means that another lawsuit can be filed in the future based on the evidence in this lawsuit.

A Closer Look at These Lawsuits

1. [CJ-1990-86 \[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=91901&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=91901&db=Cleveland)

This lawsuit was filed in 1990 for damages. We quote from the Plaintiff's original petition to the District Court of Cleveland County detailing the damages allegedly caused by Dr. Burns:

“Defendant, in endeavoring to surgically terminate plaintiff’s pregnancy, did not exercise the degree of care or skill ordinarily exercised by others of his profession in the same or similar localities, in that **an acute infection of the pelvic region occurred as a direct result** of the surgical procedure performed by defendant, and **defendant negligently failed to properly diagnose and treat the infection** and the complications resulting therefrom.”

The Plaintiff also indicated that she suffered terribly during the nearly two-year period that her condition went undiagnosed and untreated. This is a statement from the Plaintiff:

“After an abortion by Dr. Larry Burns...I began to have **extremely painful problems in my pelvic region which were severe enough to make it impossible for me to walk or function in any manner**. I spent days on the couch with hot pads and pain pills unable to go about my daily routines. These episodes lasted about five days of extreme pain when ovulation was supposedly taking place and five days when menses were supposed to take place. **In the time between the extreme periods of pain, I was very lethargic and energyless** and was told by friends that I look extremely ill.”

After 3 months this lawsuit was dismissed without prejudice by the Plaintiff.

2. [CJ-1994-729 \[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=91901&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=91901&db=Cleveland)

[submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=105320&db=Cleveland](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=105320&db=Cleveland)

&

3.

[CJ-1997-2002](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=107406&db=Cleveland)

[\[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=107406&db=Cleveland)

[submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=107406&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=107406&db=Cleveland)

The first lawsuit was filed in 1994 for negligence. The second lawsuit was filed in 1997 for medical malpractice. We quote from the first lawsuit only because the second lawsuit was almost identical in the charges it alleged against Dr. Burns:

“As a direct and proximate result of the negligence of the Defendant... Plaintiff, suffered severe and continuing injuries to her body and mind, **required emergency intervention and surgery to preserve her life**, required further surgical procedures to relieve her continued suffering, and will continue to incur expenses for medical treatment in the future, all to her damage in excess of \$10,000.”

“**Defendant’s conduct toward Plaintiff was deliberately reckless, willful and wanton with heedless disregard for the health of his patient...and...should be punished in an amount in excess of \$10,000.**”

Both of these lawsuits were dismissed with prejudice by the Plaintiff before any of the charges were actually detailed. The swift nature of these dismissals is noteworthy.

4. [CJ- 1999-1540](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=118140&db=Cleveland) [\[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=118140&db=Cleveland)

[submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=118140&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=118140&db=Cleveland)

&

5.

[CJ-2002-63](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=251651&db=Cleveland)

[\[http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=251651&db=Cleveland)

[submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=251651&db=Cleveland\]](http://www.oscn.net/applications/oscn/GetCaseInformation.asp?submitted=true&viewtype=caseGeneral&casemasterID=251651&db=Cleveland)

The final two lawsuits were filed by the same woman. Her first lawsuit was filed in 1999 and was dismissed without prejudice. She sought to obtain compensation for her injuries allegedly caused by Dr. Burns again in 2002. That case was dismissed with prejudice.

The following quote is the Plaintiff’s explanation for the suits she filed against Dr. Burns:

“When I called them in Norman after the procedure when **I was sick and throwing up and my tube almost broke**, they referred me to the emergency room in Tulsa. Dr. Burn’s nurse told me during this conversation that they did the procedure too early on me and they should have waited to do the procedure when my pregnancy was further along since I was only a few weeks pregnant at the time. Since I was only two weeks pregnant at the time, she said I had been charted at two weeks and **the procedure should not have been done**. She said there may be a problem with a tube and they had been trying to get a hold of me; that I should go to the emergency room and that Dr. Burns would refund my money. Also **by failing to recognize my tubal pregnancy** and arranging for prompt treatment for this condition, and then by continuing with the abortion, they have caused me great pain and stress, **put me in a potential life threatening situation and caused me to have major surgery and hospitalization.**”

Other information in the lawsuit alleges that Burns did have the equipment necessary to detect this problem and he simply did not use it.

Interpreting These Lawsuits

All of this information is public and can be obtained from the Cleveland County Court Clerk’s office. None of the lawsuits filed against Dr. Larry A. Burns, D.O. ended in him being found guilty of the charges alleged against him. All of the previously mentioned lawsuits filed by the plaintiff were dismissed with or without prejudice and are also classified as “dismissed-settled,” on the OSCN website. In every one of these lawsuits it was the plaintiff who dismissed their own suit and not the judge. This can mean one of two things. First, it can mean that the plaintiff realized they did not have a case, so they withdrew their suit. This is not likely considering the plaintiff would not have filed the suit if they did not have a reasonable case against the defendant in the first place. Second, it can

mean the defendant, Dr. Burns, settled out of court. This means that Dr. Burns paid the plaintiff for the damage he had allegedly caused before the lawsuit actually went to court. This is often done to prevent there being a guilty verdict on a doctor's record, to prevent a higher settlement amount, and to prevent expensive court costs.

The main point that needs to be grasped is that **there are serious risks involved in obtaining an abortion.**

Sometimes women suffer terrible complications from obtaining an abortion. These complications can range from sterility to serious psychological trauma to death.

Please be wise and consider other options for the sake of your health and safety. If you choose to keep your baby, there are many people out there willing to help you through this difficult time. If you do choose to put your baby up for adoption, there are many families waiting to adopt and organizations that can help you through that process.

For more information about your other options, please call this number:

1-800-395-4357



[[http://2.bp.blogspot.com/-](http://2.bp.blogspot.com/-wPG1SwO3d9g/TggBfGK54YI/AAAAAAAAAcs/FLJIm5pnAdI/s1600/Other+options+than+abortion.png)

[wPG1SwO3d9g/TggBfGK54YI/AAAAAAAAAcs/FLJIm5pnAdI/s1600/Other+options+than+abortion.png](http://2.bp.blogspot.com/-wPG1SwO3d9g/TggBfGK54YI/AAAAAAAAAcs/FLJIm5pnAdI/s1600/Other+options+than+abortion.png)]

Posted 26th June 2011 by Unknown

Labels: [Abortion Clinic](#), [Abortionist](#), [Abortionists](#), [Larry Burns](#), [Norman](#), [Oklahoma](#)

27 View comments



Anonymous [June 27, 2011 at 12:10 AM](#)

You do realize there are MORE risks w/ carrying a pregnancy to term, don't you? Also you need to put the serious risks involved w/ abortion in context. How often do they occur per 1000 people? And again there are more risks involved w/ carrying a pregnancy to term.

[Reply](#)

[Replies](#)