



2.

By Board Decision No. D-3535 effective 27 June 1988, the license of petitioner to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of California was revoked pursuant to Business and Professions Code Section 2305 in that he suffered license revocations in other jurisdictions as follows:

- (A) On or about November 17, 1983, in case number 190 before the State Board of Medical Licensure for the Commonwealth of Kentucky, respondent's license to practice medicine was revoked by the Commonwealth of Kentucky. The revocation was based upon respondent's conviction on November 10, 1982 in Kentucky District Court relative to four misdemeanor charges involving sexual conduct against a minor.
- (B) On or about August 5, 1985, in case number OPL-85-30 before the Division of Occupational and Professional Licensing for the State of Utah, respondent's license to practice medicine was revoked by the State of Utah. The revocation was based on unprofessional conduct by reason of misrepresentations made on his application for licensure renewal. On or about December 3, 1984, respondent had submitted an application to the Division of Registration for the State of Utah upon which he certified that he had not been called before a licensing board for interrogation and that he had not had a license to practice medicine suspended or revoked. This is despite the fact that on November 17, 1983, respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Kentucky was revoked and on November 14, 1984 respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Oregon was suspended.

3.

In or about September 1989 petitioner filed a petition for reinstatement of revoked certificate (license).

4.

Respondent failed to establish a record of clear and convincing rehabilitation from the conduct set forth in Finding 2(A), and respondent failed to establish a record of clear and convincing rehabilitation from the conduct set forth in Finding 2(B).

5.

The evidence as a whole failed to establish that, with due regard to the public safety and welfare, petitioner's license should be reinstated at this time. Petitioner has undergone certain psychotherapy which, per se, is not sufficient rehabilitation, at this time, particularly in view of the severity of the acts which lead to revocation of petitioner's license.

\* \* \* \* \*

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

Petitioner has failed to establish good cause for reinstatement of his revoked license.

\* \* \* \* \*

ORDER

The petition of Nabil N. Ghali for reinstatement of revoked certificate is denied.

This Decision shall become effective on the 6th day  
of June, 1990.

IT IS SO ORDERED this 7th day of May, 1990.

PANEL OF DISTRICT 12  
MEDICAL QUALITY REVIEW COMMITTEE  
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

BY Guy Hartman M.D.  
GUY HARTMAN, M.D., Chairman

RLJ:mh