

Early medical abortion with methotrexate and misoprostol <sup>2</sup> <sup>2</sup> ☆

Lynn Borgatta MD, MPH <sup>a</sup>, Michael S Burnhill MD, DMSC <sup>c†</sup>, Judith Tyson MD <sup>b</sup>, Kathryn K Leonhardt MD, MPH <sup>c</sup>, Richard U Hausknecht MD <sup>d</sup>, Sue Haskell (DO) <sup>e</sup>

▣ Show more

[https://doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844\(00\)01090-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0029-7844(00)01090-5)

[Get rights and content](#)

## Abstract

**Objective:** To evaluate the introduction of an early medical abortion program with [methotrexate](#) and [misoprostol](#), using a standardized protocol.

**Methods:** A total of 1973 women at 34 [Planned Parenthood](#) sites participated in a case series of early medical abortion. Ultrasound was used to confirm [gestational age](#) of less than 49 days from the first day of the last [menstrual period](#). Women were given intramuscular methotrexate 50 mg/m<sup>2</sup> of body surface area on day 1, and then they inserted misoprostol 800 µg vaginally at home on day 5, 6, or 7. Women were advised to have a suction [curettage](#) if the pregnancy appeared viable 2 weeks after methotrexate or if any [gestational sac](#) persisted 4 weeks after methotrexate. Outcomes were complete medical abortion and suction curettage.

**Results:** Sixteen hundred fifty-nine women (84.1%) had a complete medical abortion, and 257 (13.0%) had suction curettage. The most common reason for curettage was patient option (8.9%). At 2 weeks after methotrexate use, 1.4% of women had curettage because of a viable pregnancy; at 4 weeks, 1.6% of women had curettage because of a persistent but nonviable pregnancy. One percent of women had curettage because of physician recommendation, most commonly for bleeding. Suction curettage rates decreased with site experience ( $P < .006$ ) and were lower at early gestational ages ( $P < .004$ ) and in nulliparous women ( $P < .004$ ).

**Conclusion:** Medical abortion with methotrexate and misoprostol is safe and effective and can be offered in a community setting.

[Previous](#)

[Next](#)

[Recommended articles](#)

[Citing articles \(24\)](#)

\* Partial support for this project was provided by the Merck Foundation.

<sup>1</sup> The views expressed herein do not necessarily represent the views of Planned Parenthood Federation of America, Inc.

<sup>2</sup> We thank Olivera Vragovic, MBA, for her assistance with statistical analysis.

† Deceased.

[View full text](#)

Copyright © 2001 The American College of Obstetricians and Gynecologists. Published by Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.



[About ScienceDirect](#)

[Remote access](#)

[Shopping cart](#)

[Advertise](#)

[Contact and support](#)

[Terms and conditions](#)

[Privacy policy](#)



We use cookies to help provide and enhance our service and tailor content and ads. By continuing you agree to the **use of cookies**.

Copyright © 2020 Elsevier B.V. or its licensors or contributors. ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.

ScienceDirect® is a registered trademark of Elsevier B.V.